

05/02/25

RE: LD 1567

To Whom it May Concern:

It is my professional opinion that the "Radiation Treatment" labeling proposed in LD 1567 is not necessary, would not provide any benefit to consumers, and would cause undue burden on companies who use irradiation for product quality and safety. As a registrant of a radiation producing device, facilities are already subject to the requirements of Parts 10-144 CMR Ch. 220 Parts D, E, and H.

Irradiation of products intended for human consumption is common and presents no risk to the consumer. There is no possibility for radiation to remain in the product. The only effect of the irradiation process is to remediate unwanted substances in the product.

Please feel free to contact me with questions about this matter.

Sincerely,

Ryan

Ryan Zipper, MS Radiological Physicist Maine Qualified Expert

Ryan Zipper Physics Consultants, Inc. LD 1567 05/02/25 RE: LD 1567 To Whom it May Concern: It is my professional opinion that the "Radiation Treatment" labeling proposed in LD 1567 is not necessary, would not provide any benefit to consumers, and would cause undue burden on companies who use irradiation for product quality and safety. As a registrant of a radiation producing device, facilities are already subject to the requirements of Parts 10-144 CMR Ch. 220 Parts D, E, and H. Irradiation of products intended for human consumption is common and presents no risk to the consumer. There is no possibility for radiation to remain in the product. The only effect of the irradiation process is to remediate unwanted substances in the product. Please feel free to contact me with questions about this matter. Sincerely, Ryan Zipper, MS Radiological Physicist Maine Qualified Expert