

Danny Emerson
South Berwick
LD 1072

I oppose LD 1072, "An Act Regarding the Bureau of Motor Vehicles", specifically, Sec. 4. 29-A MRSA §160 is enacted to read: 22 §160. Restriction of weapons in bureau offices. "A person may not carry firearms, dangerous weapons, explosives, incendiary devices or implements that by their nature are capable of being used to injure a person or destroy property in any bureau office. This section does not apply to a law enforcement officer who is on duty or off duty.

The last thing that Mainers need is a New York style ban on carrying self-defense guns while outside the home.

Maine has always been one of the safest states in the nation. It is not the "wild west" as many indicated it would be if Constitutional Carry were enacted. It was enacted, and we are still the safest state in the nation. This is a solution looking for a problem that does not exist. It is yet another partisan attack on our Maine and United States Constitutional right to bear arms (shall never be questioned/infringed), recently upheld by the Supreme Court of the United States Bruen decision.

Mainers have a long track record of carrying and using firearms in a responsible and safe manner. So law-abiding Maine residents should not be forced to choose between self/family/loved ones protection, or going to the BMV.

Again, I oppose LD 1072, "An Act Regarding the Bureau of Motor Vehicles", specifically, Sec. 4. 29-A MRSA §160 is enacted to read: 22 §160. Restriction of weapons in bureau offices, and it "ought not to pass" unless this section is stricken.