

Amendment to LD 859, An Act to Allow Municipalities to use Rank-choice Voting in Municipal Elections

Amend the bill by striking the title and inserting the following:

An Act to Give Municipalities More Options in Municipal Elections

Amend the bill by striking everything after the enacting clause and inserting the following. The differences from the original bill are highlighted in yellow

**Sec. 1. 30-A MRS §2528, sub-§10** is amended to read:

**10. Election by plurality vote; tie vote.** Election must be by plurality vote unless the town adopts a different method at a meeting held at least 180 days in advance of the annual meeting. In the case of a tie vote, the meeting must be adjourned to a day certain, when ballots are again cast for the candidates tied for the office in question, unless all but one tied candidate withdraw from a subsequent election by delivering written notice of withdrawal signed by the candidate and notarized to the municipal offices within the 7-day period following the election. After the 7-day period has expired, the municipal officers shall call a run-off election between the remaining candidates by posting a warrant in the manner required for calling a town meeting. If only one candidate remains, that candidate is declared the winner and sworn into office.

If the meeting is adjourned sine die before a tie vote is resolved or the tie vote is discovered after the meeting adjourns sine die and more than one candidate remains, a new meeting must be called to conduct a run-off election by the method described in this subsection.

A town may adopt a different method of resolving tie votes at a meeting held at least 180 days in advance of the annual meeting.