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## How much would it cost consumers to give farmworkers a significant raise?

## A 40\% increase in pay would cost just $\$ 25$ per household

The increased media coverage of the plight of the more than 2 million farmworkers who pick and help produce our food-and whom the Trump administration has deemed to be "essential" workers for the U.S. economy and infrastructure during the coronavirus pandemic-has highlighted the difficult and often dangerous conditions farmworkers face on the job, as well as their central importance to U.S. food supply chains. For example, photographs and videos of farmworkers picking crops under the smoke- and fire-filled skies of California have been widely shared across the internet, and some data suggest that the number of farmworkers who have tested positive for COVID-19 is rivaled only by meat-processing workers. In addition, around half of farmworkers are unauthorized immigrants and $10 \%$ are temporary
migrant workers with "nonimmigrant" H-2A visas; those farmworkers have limited labor rights in practice and are vulnerable to wage theft and other abuses due to their immigration status.

Despite the key role they play and the challenges they face, farmworkers are some of the lowest-paid workers in the entire U.S. labor market. The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) recently announced that it would not collect the data on farmworker earnings that are used to determine minimum wages for $\mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~A}$ workers, which could further reduce farmworker earnings.

This raises the question: How much would it cost to give farmworkers a significant raise in pay, even if it was paid for entirely by consumers? The answer is, not that much. About the price of a couple of 12-packs of beer, a large pizza, or a nice bottle of wine.

The latest data on consumer expenditures from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) provides useful information about consumer spending on fresh fruits and vegetables, which, in conjunction with other data, allow us to calculate roughly how much it would cost to raise wages for farmworkers. (For a detailed analysis of these data, see this blog post at Rural Migration News.) But to calculate this, first we have to see how much a typical household spends on fruits and vegetables every year and the share that goes to farm owners and their farmworker employees.

The BLS data show that expenditures by households (referred to in the data as "consumer units") in 2019 was $\$ 320$ on fresh fruits and $\$ 295$ on fresh vegetables, amounting to $\$ 615$ a year or $\$ 11.80$ per week. In addition, households spent an additional $\$ 110$ on processed fruits and $\$ 145$ on processed vegetables. Interestingly enough, on average, households spent almost as much on alcoholic beverages $(\$ 580)$ as they did on fresh fruits and vegetables (\$615).

Data from the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Economic Research Service show that, on average, farmers receive less than $20 \%$ of every retail dollar spent on food, but a slightly higher share of what consumers spend for fresh fruits and vegetables. Figure A shows this share over time for fresh fruits and vegetables: Between 2000 and 2015, farmers received an average $30 \%$ of the average retail price of fresh fruits and $26 \%$ of the average retail price of fresh vegetables (2015 is the most recent year for which data are available). This means that average consumer expenditures on these items include $\$ 173$ a year for farmers ( $0.30 \times 320=\$ 96+0.26 \times 295$ $=\$ 77$ ).

# Farmers received an average 30\% of the retail price of fresh fruit and 26\% for fresh vegetables between 2000 and 2015 

Farm share of fruit and vegetable retail sales, 2000-2015


Note: Data for 2015 are the most recent data available from United States Department of Agriculture's Economic Research Service.

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service, Price Spreads from Farm to Consumer [Excel].

According to studies published by the University of California, Davis, farm labor costs are about a third of farm revenue for fresh fruits and vegetables, meaning that farmworker wages and benefits for fresh fruits and vegetables cost the average household $\$ 57$ per
year ( $0.33 \times \$ 173=\$ 57$ ). (However, in reality, farm labor costs are less than $\$ 57$ per year per household because over half of the fresh fruits and one-third of fresh vegetables purchased in the United States are imported.)

To illustrate, that means that farm owners and farmworkers together receive only about one-third of retail spending on fruits and vegetables even though most, and in some cases all, of the work it takes to prepare fresh fruits and vegetables for retail sale takes place on farms (the exact share of the price farmers receive varies slightly by crop). For example, strawberries are picked directly into the containers in which they are sold, and iceberg lettuce is wrapped in the field. Consumers who pay $\$ 3$ for a pound of strawberries are paying about $\$ 1$ to the farmer, who pays one-third of that amount to farmworkers, 33 cents. For one pound of iceberg lettuce, which costs about $\$ 1.20$ on average, farmers receive 40 cents and farmworkers get 13 of those 40 cents.

So, what would it cost to raise the wages of farmworkers? One of the few big wage increases for farmworkers occurred after the Bracero guestworker program ended in 1964. Under the rules of the program, Mexican Braceros were guaranteed a minimum wage of $\$ 1.40$ an hour at a time when U.S. farmworkers were not covered by the minimum wage. Some farmworkers who picked table grapes were paid \$1.40 an hour while working alongside Braceros in 1964, and then were offered $\$ 1.25$ in 1965, prompting a strike. César Chávez became the leader of the strike and won a $40 \%$ wage increase in the first United Farm Workers table grape contract in 1966, raising grape workers' wages to $\$ 1.75$ an hour.

What would happen if there were a similar $40 \%$ wage increase today and the entire wage increase were passed on to consumers? The average hourly earnings of U.S. field and livestock workers were \$14
an hour in 2019; a 40\% increase would raise their wages to $\$ 19.60$ an hour.

For a typical household or consumer unit, a $40 \%$ increase in farm labor costs translates into a $4 \%$ increase in the retail price of fresh fruits and vegetables ( 0.30 farm share of retail prices x 0.33 farm labor share of farm revenue $=10 \%$; if farm labor costs rise $40 \%$, retail spending rises $4 \%$ ). If average farmworker earnings rose by $40 \%$, and the increase were passed on entirely to consumers, average spending on fresh fruits and vegetables for a typical household would rise by $\$ 25$ per year ( $4 \%$ of $\$ 615=\$ 24.60$ ).

Many farm labor analysts consider a typical year of work for seasonal farmworkers to be about 1,000 hours. A $40 \%$ wage increase for seasonal farmworkers would raise their average earnings from $\$ 14,000$ for 1,000 hours of work to $\$ 19,600$. Many farmworkers have children at home, so for them, going from earning \$14,000 to $\$ 19,600$ per year would mean going from earning about half of the federal poverty line for a family of four ( $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 , 7 5 0}$ in 2019) to earning about three-fourths of the poverty line. For a farmworker employed year-round for 2,000 hours, earnings would increase from $\$ 28,000$ per year to $\$ 39,200$, allowing them to earn far above the poverty line.

Raising wages for farmworkers by $40 \%$ could improve the quality of life for farmworkers without significantly increasing household spending on fruits and vegetables. If there were productivity improvements as farmers responded to higher labor costs, households could pay even less than the additional $\$ 25$ per year for fresh fruits and vegetables.

If average farmworker earnings were doubled (rose by 100\%) through increased spending on fresh fruits and vegetables, a typical household would see costs rise by $\$ 61.50$ per year ( $10 \%$ of $\$ 615$ ).

That extra $\$ 61.50$ per year would increase the wages of seasonal farmworkers to $\$ 28,000$ for 1,000 hours of work, taking them above the poverty line for a family of four.
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Given that much of the testimony opposed to LD 1022 focused on the potential costs to consumers, I wanted to pass along this brief by agricultural labor economic Philip Martin of UC Davis, who notes that a 40 percent increase in farm laborer wages would mean just an additional annual cost of just $\$ 25$ for the typical American household. Doubling farmworkers' wages would increase costs for consumers by around $\$ 60$ per household per year.
I would urge the committee not to let concerns about the impact on food prices lead them to oppose this legislation.
A link to the piece is included below. I have also attached a PDF copy.
https://www.epi.org/blog/how-much-would-it-cost-consumers-to-give-farmworkers-a -significant-raise-a-40-increase-in-pay-would-cost-just-25-per-household/

