



# MAINE ASSOCIATION OF CRIMINAL DEFENSE LAWYERS

P.O. Box 17642  
Portland, ME 04112-8642  
(207) 523-9869  
mainemacdl@gmail.com

## 2024-2025 OFFICERS

February 24, 2025

*President*  
Jeremy Pratt

Senator Carney  
Representative Kuhn  
5 State House Station, Room 436  
Augusta, ME 04333

*President-Elect*  
Matthew D. Morgan

*Vice President*  
Sarah E. Branch

## **RE: LD 449: An Act to Authorize a Court to Conditionally Discharge Certain Criminal Defendants.**

*Secretary*  
Luke Rioux

*Treasurer*  
Justin Andrus

Dear Senator Carney, Representative Kuhn and members of the Judiciary Committee:

## 2024-2025 DIRECTORS

MACDL **supports** LD 449 An Act to Authorize a Court to Conditionally Discharge Certain Criminal Defendants.

Jesse James Archer  
Randall Bates  
Dylan R. Boyd  
Daniel Dubé  
Andrew Edwards  
Benjamin T. Everett  
Kristine C. Hanly  
James Mason  
Joseph Mekonis  
Jennifer Rohde  
Robert J. Ruffner  
John Steed  
Caitlyn Smith  
Lisa Whittier

LD 449 creates a statutory framework allowing Maine judges and justices to impose conditions to encourage rehabilitation of defendants and then later discharge them through dismissal upon successful completion of those conditions.

LD 449 is carefully crafted to consider the effects of the conditional discharge across multiple existing statutes, including licensing and criminal history.

LD 449 confronts a serious problem facing Maine courts: a backlog of thousands of cases above and beyond those pending before the pandemic. Existing laws have proven ineffective to address this backlog despite exceptional offers made at “blitzes” scheduled by the courts, speedy trial challenges brought by defendants, and a pending suit by the Maine Civil Liberties Union. We cannot continue to rely upon our existing tools and expect different results. LD 449 addresses this problem by authorizing judges and justices to dismiss charges in limited felony and misdemeanor matters after defendants have complied with conditions encouraging rehabilitation and public safety. Defendants are held accountable through the same kind of oversight used in existing bail, administrative release, and deferred disposition processes.

## EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Tina Heather Nadeau

The unconditional discharge process has one critical difference from existing processes: it does not require the consent of the attorney from the state. This distinction allows the court to identify cases that should be resolved notwithstanding individual and patchwork policies at different district attorneys’ offices refusing to rely upon filings under any circumstances or never using deferred dispositions in OUI cases. These patchwork policies result in inequitable outcomes across different districts and only further exacerbate the backlog.

For all these reasons, MACDL supports LD 449.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

/s/ Matthew D. Morgan

Matthew D. Morgan, Esq.

MACDL President Elect