

Jeff Levine
Portland, ME

I am opposed to LD 867: "An Act To Prohibit Mandatory COVID-19 Vaccinations for 5 Years To Allow for Safety Testing and Investigations into Reproductive Harm." Given the clear benefits of the vaccines that exist - and no evidence to date as to any harm - the idea of an arbitrary five year moratorium on COVID-19 vaccines seems unreasonable.

The bill also doesn't do some basic work, like defining "mandatory." What does that mean in this context? That all residents must be vaccinated? All visitors? Employees in certain fields? All of the above? What constitutes a vaccination? Does it have to be injected?

LD 867 seems politically motivated - and somewhat wasteful of the Legislature's limited time to spend on important issues. By even referring to reproductive issues it seems designed to fan flames of fear rather than contribute to any public health goals.

Jeff Levine
Portland, ME