	S	ummary o	of Net E	nergy E	Billing Agreem	nents			-
		C	entral Mai	ne Powe	r Company				
		1	Through S	eptember	30, 2022				
	Capacity (kW)	Percent of Peak Demand (7)	Number of Projects	Average Project Size (kW)	Esitmated Annual Production (kWh)	Estimated Annual Delivery Revenue Loss from kWh Netting Agreements	Estimated Annual <u>Gross</u> Payments for Tariff Rate Agreements	Estimated Annual <u>Net</u> Expense from Tariff Rate Agreements	
Operational Projects									
kWh Netting Agreements	147,799.34	9.02%	7,475	20	226,005,814	\$19,832,236			
Tariff Rate Agreements Total	135,402.05 283,201.39	8.27% 17.29%	75 7,550	1,805 38	221,247,466 447,253,280		\$41,801,832	\$20,703,674	
					,,,,200,200				
Active Non-Operational Projects	A start of second simplifying the fits and shall also be a second s								_
kWh Netting Agreements	357,095.00	21.80%	106	3,369	625,630,440	\$54,899,697			_
Tariff Rate Agreements	614,847.96	37.54%	165	3,726	1,077,213,626		\$203,525,511	\$100,802,419	
Total	971,942.96	59.34%	271	3,587	1,702,844,066		1.0		
Pending Projects (2)									
kWh Netting Agreements	104,503.91	6.38%	848	123	176,556,800	\$15,493,036			
Tariff Rate Agreements	149,100.40	9.10%	101	1,476	252,895,112		\$47,781,244	\$23,665,166	
Total	253,604.31	15.48%	949	267	429,451,912				
Total Projects								\frown	1
kWh Netting Agreements	609,398.24	37.20%	8,429	72	1,028,193,054	\$90,224,969		1	
Tariff Rate Agreements	899,350.41	54.91%	341	2,637	1,551,356,204		\$293,108,587	\$145,171,260	
Total	1,508,748.65	92.11%	8,770	172	2,579,549,258	\sim			
Notes:									
(1) Reflects projects with an executed N	let Energy Billin	g Agreement	but that are	e not yet o	perational.				
(2) Reflects projects that have filed an a									
(3) Among active operational NEB agree									
(4) There are approximately 1,408 Net E							t yet defined off-tak	er accounts.	
(5) There are approximately 34,690 cust						nts.			
(6) There are 16 previously operating ge(7) CMP Annual Peak Demand for 2022		in the second	t Energy Bi	lling Agree	ments.				
									_

----- ŝ

Clayton Dan McKay Dixfield LD 417

Chairman Lawrence, Chairman Zeigler and Honorable Persons of the EUT Committee

The attached CMP compilation of net energy billing costs should make you want to pause or expire the NEB program at a time when electric customers are struggling to pay bills and pay for food.

This program supplies energy during daylight hours only. Obviously, this energy has no effect on wholesale prices. Any energy producing plant output it replaces will gain any lost revenue during peak hour supply periods

Cost shifting, at the retail level from customers to solar developers and cost shifting from sunshine hours to peak hours makes this program counterproductive.

Stop this failed program and work on a plan that has real benefits, bwfore it is too late.