

Jonathan Martell  
Sanford

Dear Committee Members,

The only reason this is here today is due to the court cases regarding so called “bump stocks” ruling against the BATF ruling reclassifying them as a machine gun.

The 6th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals ruled that the accessories are not subject to a 2018 ban imposed by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives (ATF) at the order of then-President Donald Trump.

“And because we find that ‘single function of the trigger’ refers to the mechanical process of the trigger, we further hold that a bump stock cannot be classified as a machine gun because a bump stock does not enable a semiautomatic firearm to fire more than one shot each time the trigger is pulled,” the panel wrote.

In the very own words of the court, this description in the bill is an inaccurate description of how these work. This is merely a backdoor attempt at a ban something that is not a machine gun simply because certain people don't like them.

Lets stick with actual definitions by federal law.

Thank you for your time,

Jonathan Martell  
Sanford, ME