TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF LD1488, "AN ACT REGARDING CANNABIS TESTING AND THE MANUFACTURE OF CANNABIS AND HEMP PRODUCTS"

Senate Chair Hickman, House Chair Supica and Distinguished Members of the Joint Standing Committee on Veterans and Legal Affairs, my name is Andrew Kaplan of Camden, and I am the co-founder of Freedom's Edge Hard Cider, and also a producer of a hemp THC beverage called Dr Lovejoy's Maine-Made Elixirs. I am here to testify in support of LD 1488, "An Act Regarding Cannabis Testing and the Manufacture of Cannabis and Hemp Products."

This bill would make it easier for Maine businesses to compete with out of state producers of hemp-based THC, by removing the prohibition on using equipment or facilities used in cannabis production for processing hemp. While this is a step forward, I am here to urge the committee to take a further step, and amend this bill to add some regulations for hemp beverages such as Dr Lovejoy's.

For the past two years, hemp THC beverages have been sold legally at Maine beverage stores, under the authority of the Department of Ag. Last summer, at the department's request, a working group of industry, government and health professionals was convened and recommended some regulations for these beverages. I've attached these to my written testimony. The one that everyone agrees on is 21+ age gating, both through labeling, which is already being done voluntarily, as well as through protocols at retail. The working group felt strongly that the legislature should ensure that whatever agency was tasked with enforcement should be adequately resourced, similar to the role BABLO plays in enforcing liquor laws.

One of the group's other recommendations, which is maybe less obvious but I think equally important, is to cap the THC content of hemp beverages at 5 mg per can. Currently all of the Maine-made brands are voluntarily abiding by this limit, while several of the out of state brands are not. 5mg is important because at that level, an average person who is not a habitual THC user will experience a single serving as roughly the same as having one beer or hard cider in terms of intoxicating effect and duration. A heavy THC user would not experience much of a high at all from 5mg...and that's fine. That's not the target customer for these

drinks. Someone who is looking for a much larger dose than 5mg is someone who is already shopping at a rec or medical dispensary...and they should keep doing that.

By limiting hemp beverages to 5mg, you dramatically reduce the chances that someone who's not a regular THC user will wind up unintentionally impaired. In so doing, you open up the market to folks who are not looking to get wasted. They're just looking for something that gives them a slight lift socially without alcohol, or a bit of help relaxing or getting to sleep. Our research has shown that most people who drink Dr Lovejoy fit into this category, and the vast majority are people who either never or only very rarely set foot in a dispensary. In this way, the 5mg limit, along with some clear labeling, can help reduce the possibility of consumer confusion between cannabis-based THC, which is sold only in highly regulated stores, and hemp-based THC, which is not.

Thank you for your time and consideration. I would be happy to answer any questions that you may have.



STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, CONSERVATION & FORESTRY BUREAU OF AGRICULTURE, FOOD & RURAL RESOURCES 28 STATE HOUSE STATION AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333

JANET T. MILLS
GOVERNOR

AMANDA E. BEAL COMMISSIONER

November 1, 2024

Sen. Henry Ingwerson, Senate Chair Rep. Bill Pluecker, House Chair Joint Standing Committee on Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry Via Email

RE: INTOXICATING HEMP-DERIVED PRODUCTS

The 131st Legislature's Joint Standing Committee on Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry (ACF) considered LD 1996, An Act to Regulate Synthetic Hemp-derived Cannabinoids. That bill recognized that Maine law provides no mechanism for limiting access to intoxicating hemp-derived products (IHDPs). This is true despite the intoxicating effects of some products that fall within the federal definition of hemp. Following a public hearing and work session on LD 1996, the committee voted unanimously that it ought not to pass. That vote notwithstanding, the committee recognized the gravity of the concerns behind the legislation. On February 14, 2024, you sent a letter requesting that the Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry (DACF) establish a working group to investigate this issue and develop recommendations. This letter constitutes DACF's response to that request.

As requested, DACF convened a working group that included hemp producers and processors, public health experts (both from within State government and the private sector), and retail industry representatives. We also invited staff from State agencies with experience regulating retail products for their technical expertise. Craig Lapine, Director of DACF's Bureau of Agriculture, Food & Rural Resources (BAFRR), and Gary Fish, State Horticulturalist and manager of the State's Hemp Program, cochaired the working group. Brooklyn Bolduc of BAFRR's Agricultural Resource Development Division provided staff support. Members included the following:

74		
Community Members	Alan Lapoint	Hemp beverage manufacturer
	Ben Edwards	Owner, Schoppe Farm
	Chas Gili	Owner, Merrymeeting Bay Hemp Co.
	Patty Hymanson, MD	Public health advocate and former state
		legislator
	Erica Haywood	Owner, LoveGrown Hemp
	Lizzy Hayes	Owner, Panorama Seeds
State Staff	Gabi Pierce	Policy Analyst, Department of Administrative
		and Financial Services (DAFS)
	Louis Luchini	Director, DAFS Bureau of Alcoholic
		Beverages and Lottery Operations (BABLO)
	Larry Sanborn	Liquor Inspector, BABLO

CRAIG LAPINE, BUREAU DIRECTOR AGRICULTURE, FOOD & RURAL RESOURCES 90 BLOSSOM LANE, DEERING BUILDING



PHONE: (207) 557-1623 FAX: (207) 287-7548 WWW.MAINE.GOV/DACF

John Hudak	Director, DAFS Office of Cannabis Policy (OCP)
Alexis Perry	Maine CDC
Ben Metcalf	Inspection Program Manager, BAFRR Quality Assurance and Regulation Division

The group held one in-person meeting on June 20, 2024, and one virtual meeting on August 30, 2024. Input from group members was supplemented by responses to a questionnaire circulated among stakeholders during July and August.

Participants were unanimous that the status quo in which IHDPs are unregulated threatens public health and safety as well as the viability of Maine's hemp industry. Hemp growers and processors recognize that accidental intoxications or other adverse impacts on Maine children and youth would be detrimental to growers and processors. Regulators and public health advocates expressed concerns regarding the health and safety impacts of these unregulated and untested intoxicating substances on consumers.

Concerns for consumers are amplified by the high potential for consumer confusion about the distinction between these unregulated IHDPs and adult-use cannabis that is tested, tracked, and age-gated within the State's existing adult-use cannabis program. Further compounding those concerns is the reality that many unregulated IHDPs are packaged in a manner intended to mimic either existing adult-use cannabis products or, more dangerously, snack foods or sweets marketed to children. Thus, it was clear to all working group members that the continued unregulated manufacture and sale of these IHDPs in Maine should be stopped.

While not unanimous in every case, the working group broadly backed the following approach to regulating IHDPs:

1. Age-gate at 21 all hemp products other than fiber and grain.

A preponderance of the working group members advocated that the most straightforward way to protect both public safety and Maine's hemp industry is to age-gate all hemp products, intoxicating or not. They recommended an exemption for fiber and seed products, which are unlikely to contain enough cannabinoids to be intoxicating.

A challenge for age-gating IHDPs is defining which products and compounds are intoxicating. Chemists have proven adept at creating new cannabinoids, so any fixed list of regulated molecules will likely develop loopholes as soon as it is promulgated. As a result, the working group concluded that the least complicated approach would be to age-gate all hemp products regardless of their potential to be intoxicating. This approach may add a burden for those marketing or selling CBD and full-spectrum products, but it makes enforcement clear-cut and straightforward. This recommendation is consistent with last year's discussions at the State House regarding LD 1996.

2. Cap THC content at 5 mg for IHDP beverages.

Working group members felt that even with an age gate, 5 mg of hemp-derived tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) should be the maximum concentration per serving for hemp beverages. They also thought that this 5 mg THC/beverage serving cap should apply to any combination of THC, whether it is solely delta-9 THC or a combination of delta-8, -9, -10. As noted above, the market has demonstrated substantial creativity, and new THC molecules (and other cannabinoids) are regularly introduced to the consumer market.

Participants recommended that tinctures and other concentrated supplements be exempted from this cap.

Take steps to minimize product confusion. 3.

As noted above, many unregulated IHDPs are packaged intentionally to confuse consumers by mimicking either existing adult-use cannabis products or non-intoxicating consumer products. This confusion can be amplified within stores when IHDPs with copycat names or labels are displayed with or near the nonintoxicating products they mimic. The working group recommends the State prohibit both copycat packaging and displaying IHDPs and non-intoxicating products together.

Adequately resource the agency tasked with enforcement. 4.

Enacting and implementing an age gate will require granting new authority to some state agency; no agency is currently statutorily sanctioned to enforce restrictions on federally legal hemp products. The working group recommended that the agency granted this authority be provided with adequate capacity and resources to take on the job and specific enforcement authority to implement the restrictions.

Like many Maine people, we at DACF are concerned about the State's inability to age-gate or restrict access to these products. We hope you find the recommendations of this working group helpful in your continued consideration of the topic.

Singerely,

Craig Lapine **Bureau Director**

Sen. Teresa Pierce, Cumberland cc:

Karen S. Nadeau, ACF Committee Legislative Analyst