Service response of the committee,

I are here to express my strong opposition to LD 104. This bill poses a serious thread to the integrity and survival of Maine's medical cannabis program.

LD 4 appears to be written without input from those who actually work in, rely on, or understand the medical cannabis industry. If passed, it would—without que fion-devastate the caregiver system, restrict patient access, and undermine the program's original intent: to provide safe, affordable, and effective meanine to be people of Maine.

This all increases changes that are not only unnecessary but harmful. It prioritizes regulation to regulation's sake, and disregards the real-world impact on patients, car every and small, community-based operators who have built this program from the ground up. LD 104 would impose additional burdens that many in the medial cannabis community simply cannot absorb—leading to closures, reduced access, and higher costs for vulnerable patients.

The aredical program in Maine is one of the most respected in the country because it pats patients first. LD 104 would destroy that reputation and dismantle a same matrix working for thousands of Mainers.

Thi III note be killed!

I strongly compare this committee to vote Ought Not to Pass on LD 104 and stand with the parents and caregivers who depend on a compassionate, functional, an endependent medical cannabis program.

The you for your time and consideration.

Respectfully.

Leo Paqueite

Senator Hickman, Representative Supica, and members of the Committee,

My name is Leo Paquette, I am an operator in both the Adult Use and Medical cannabis programs and I am here today to testify in strong opposition to LD 1847.

LD 1847 represents a dangerous overreach that threatens the very foundation of Maine's medical cannabis program — a program that has served patients safely and compassionately for decades. This bill, as written, imposes excessive regulation that will disproportionately harm small caregivers, reduce patient access, and undermine a community-driven system that has been both effective and accountable.

Patients across Maine rely on the medical program for consistent, affordable, and personalized care. Many have built trusted relationships with their caregivers — relationships that cannot be replaced by a one-size-fits-all approach dictated by excessive bureaucracy. LD 1847 would impose layers of regulatory burden with no evidence of public benefit, creating cost and compliance issues that small operators simply cannot sustain.

This bill appears designed not to improve patient safety or access, but to dismantle the caregiver model in favor of a more commercialized, restrictive system that serves corporate interests rather than community health and Maine small businesses. Maine's caregivers have repeatedly demonstrated their commitment to compliance and patient care. Punitive overregulation is not the answer.

l urge this committee to reject LD 1847 and instead work collaboratively with patients, caregivers, and advocates to preserve what is working and address any issues with transparency and balance — not with blunt-force regulation.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Respectfully,

Leo Paquette