

April 3rd, 2025

To: JTC

From: Patty Barber Hiram

Re: Opposition to LD 676 An Act to Fund the Recommended -
ations of the Mountain Division Rail Use Advisory Council

Chairman Nangle, Chairwoman Crafts and Transportation
Committee members

I am Patty Barber from Hiram and I oppose LD 676.

I have testified many times to this committee but LD 676
is personal. This is my home railroad - I live $\frac{1}{2}$ mile
from these tracks.

In 2020 when Senator Bennett first proposed tearing out these
tracks, I watched as out of town and out of state
trail interests wielded their money, power and influence
to sway this RUAC.

I was shocked to see ONE Hiram resident made the trail
removal decision without townwide involvement nor
any town vote.

Many residents - including track abutters - had, and still have
no idea this process is happening. Out of the 12 RUAC
members MDOT chose only 1 represented a rail interest,
which totally explains the 11 to 1 vote for a trail.

An important distinction in this, as in all RUACs, is that
the trail is interim or temporary. The trail by law
needs to step aside when a rail use has come forward
with interest.

Well - a rail use has come forward

Profile Holdings has been expanding their transportation portfolio - with CSRR and VIP Bus in Portland. They have invested millions in train equipment and track laying capital. They have expanded personnel and engineering staff and have reached out to shippers, businesses and other interested parties.

All with a focus on expansion into Portland.

The RUEC language emphasizes intend, yet this law neglected to outline the actual process a railroad should take to declare interest and intend in rail operations.

Well - Intend is Now, and while the process forward for Profile Holdings and Maine DOT is being outlined, it is imperative that a pause be placed on removal of these tracks.

Essential to this pause is a pause in track removal funding, which is why I stand before you today in opposition to LD 676.

This placeholder bill, if passed, will allow 676 to sit on the appropriations table, where it will be searching for the full 33 million plus to fund this trail, utilizing the murky, obscure and non-transparent appropriations table process.

Please allow this railroad the ability to present
itself and its plan to MDOT and the municipalities
along the line, by voting Ought NOT to Pass on LD676

Thank you and I'd be happy to answer
any questions.