## TESTIMONY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF INLAND FISHERIES AND WILDLIFE

## BEFORE THE JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE ON INLAND FISHERIES AND WILDLIFE

## IN OPPOSITION TO L.D. 205

"AN ACT TO AMEND THE BAG LIMIT FOR TURKEY"

**SPONSORED BY:** Senator TIMBERLAKE of Androscoggin

CO-SPONSORED BY: Senator BLACK of Franklin and Representative LYMAN of Livermore Falls, Representative WOOD of Greene

## DATE OF HEARING: Wednesday, February 12, 2025

Good afternoon, Senator Baldacci, Representative Roberts and members of the Inland Fisheries and Wildlife Committee. I am Bob Cordes, Special Projects Biologist at the Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife, speaking on behalf of the Department, in opposition to L.D. 205.

This bill provides that bag limits for wild turkey established by rule by the Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife must be the same as bag limits for ruffed grouse.

While we appreciate the intent of this bill and the desire to simplify hunting regulations, aligning bag limits for turkey and grouse would potentially threaten the sustainability of our wild turkey population, or significantly reduce hunting opportunities for ruffed grouse, wild turkey, or both species. Although wild turkey and ruffed grouse are game birds, they are distinct species with unique life history traits, management considerations, habitat needs, and social tolerance. The wild turkey has a limited distribution in Maine due to habitat availability and impacts of severe winter conditions that occur in northern, western and eastern Maine. Turkey populations can be impacted by overharvest, particularly when females are harvested in the fall. In contrast, the ruffed grouse has a robust statewide population, with population levels primarily driven by spring and summer weather, which can affect young-of-the-year survival. Unlike with turkeys, hunting mortality has been shown to have very little influence over grouse numbers, even in marginal habitats.

The Department recently went through an extensive planning process to develop wild turkey management, goals and objectives. This process included multiple opportunities for public input, including a scientific survey of public attitudes towards wild turkeys.

That effort identified several wildlife managements districts (WMDs) that were approaching or exceeding social tolerance and in need of population stabilization. To address this issue, in 2019 the Department established a season framework with liberalized fall bag limits in those specific WMDs to stabilize populations through the harvest of females. However, in many northern WMDs the wild turkey population is small and very vulnerable to overharvest.

Currently, total bag limits for wild turkey in the fall range from 1 to 5 turkeys, with several WMDs closed to fall hunting completely. Bag limits for ruffed grouse are set at 4 per day, statewide. If the Department was required to implement this bill, we would have to either increase the bag limit of wild turkey to match those of ruffed grouse, reduce the bag limit of ruffed grouse to match those of wild turkey, close hunting seasons for one or both species in some WMDs, or adjust the bag limits for both species to align them with each other. Since the Department is statutorily mandated to ensure the sustainability of all our native wildlife species, one option would be to propose a significant reduction to bag limits for ruffed grouse, and possibly even close grouse hunting seasons in some WMDs, to prevent population declines or local extirpation of wild turkeys. This would undoubtedly be very upsetting to tens of thousands of hunters that enjoy hunting ruffed grouse. Alternatively, we could propose a significant reduction in the length of the wild turkey season, to prevent the overharvest that would otherwise occur by increasing the bag limit to match ruffed grouse. Again, this approach would likely be met with significant resistance from wild turkey hunters.

Alternatively, the Department could increase bag limits for turkeys to match those of ruffed grouse and accept that overharvest may result in significant population declines in some WMDs. However, this approach would be in conflict with the goals of the publicly derived Turkey Management Plan and would likely reduce or eliminate opportunities for spring turkey hunting in some WMDs.

I would be glad to answer any questions at this time or during the work session.