Maine Chapter

INCORPORATED IN MAINE



Executive Committee

President Brian Youth, MD, FAAP

Vice President Anne Coates, MD, FAAP

Treasurer Christopher Motyl, DO, FAAP Jeffrey Stone, DO, FAAP

Secretary Genevieve Whiting, MD, FAAP Immediate Past President

Laura Blaisdell, MD, MPH, FAAP

Board of Directors

Mahmuda Ahmed, MD, FAAP Joseph Anderson, DO, FAAP Rebecca Brakeley, MD, FAAP Amy Buczkowski, MD, FAAP Melissa Burch, MD, FAAP Adrienne Carmack, MD, FAAP Gabriel Civiello, MD, FAAP Alyssa Goodwin, MD, FAAP Allison Grover, MD* Deborah Q. Hagler, MD, MPH, FAAP Dan Hale, MD, FAAP Jennifer Jewell, MD, MS, FAAP Stephanie Joy, MD, FAAP Emily Keller, MD, FAAP Alton Kremer, MD, PhD, FAAP Michele LaBotz, MD, FAAP Lawrence Losey, MD, FAAP Valerie O'Hara, DO, FAAP Calvin Schaffer, MD* Austin Steward** Andrea Tracy, MD, FAAP Lara Walsh, MD, FAAP Afnan Yahva** Margaret Zamboni, DO, FAAP

- *Resident Board Representatives
- **Medical Student Representatives

Staff

Dee Kerry, BS Executive Director

Emily Belanger, RN, BSN Education & Membership Manager

Madeleine DesFosses, BA Public Health & Advocacy Manager

Tiffany Harrington, MBA Development Director

30 Association Drive, Box 190 Manchester, ME 04351 office: 207-622-3374

Testimony in support of LD 107, 'An Act to Require Health Insurance Coverage for Biomarker Testing'

Senator Bailey, Representative Mathieson, and Distinguished Members of the Insurance and Financial Services Committee, my name is Dr. Alton Kremer. I am a resident of Falmouth, Maine and a board member for the Maine Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics (Maine AAP).

I am a retired physician who spent most of his career developing new anti-cancer medications. In addition to the Maine AAP, I serve as a volunteer on the board of CancerCare, a charity supporting cancer patients and their families. I am testifying to support and urge passage of LD 107, An Act to Require Health Insurance Coverage for Biomarker Testing. This bill is critically important because it addresses the very core of our ability to properly diagnose and treat patients. It is about access to needed health care.

Why is it so important?

It has become clear over the past decade or so that cancer is not one disease, even when we consider cancers by their tissue of origin, the way we have traditionally talked about cancer. Cancers are now defined by specific mutations, changes that occur in the genes of the cancer cell some of which drive them to become aggressive cancers. These genes and/or the proteins they produce are biomarkers. If we take the most common type of lung cancer (non-small cell lung cancer) as an example, in 2021 more than 70% of patients had a mutation driving the cancer. This same subdivision of cancers by mutation occurs in breast cancer, melanoma, colorectal cancer and others.

Treating patients based on the precise mutation, the biomarker, in their cancers is what we call precision medicine or personalized medicine. This allows physicians to give patients the drug that they, individually, need to treat the disease that they have. We have made great strides in our ability to do this. In 2021, for seven lung cancers defined by specific mutations and biomarkers, over fifteen drugs had been approved by FDA¹ and more are coming. As a specific and recent example, in September 2024, FDA approved the use of a drug for certain patients having nonsmall cell lung cancer with genetic mutations. In a clinical study for these patients, the drug stopped progression of the cancer for more than three years compared to less than half a year in the control group². These are major gains for patients. Biomarker testing is necessary to use these new drugs. Over the past several years, 60% of the oncology drugs that have been launched need biomarker testing before they can be used³. Many of these drugs treat cancers with one specific mutation in the cancer, be it lung cancer, breast cancer, ovarian cancer or others. Other drugs, called tumor-agnostic, can treat cancers from multiple different tissues that have the same mutation or biomarker.

The use of the immunotherapeutic drugs that have proven effective across a number of cancers in some settings also require biomarker testing. The approval of these drugs by the FDA is often in combination with a "companion diagnostic", a specific test that determines if the patient has the biomarker that the drug targets⁴. It is important to understand that if the safety and efficacy of a drug is shown only in people with a specific biomarker, then the FDA-approved label for the drug will restrict the indication to those people. This point derives from federal regulations and is clearly stated by FDA in their guidance⁴. Therefore, the use of these precision medicine cancer drugs in accordance with both labeling and clinical practice depends on the biomarker testing. It is clear that biomarker testing is important to patients, to all of us, including the benefit and effectiveness it provides to our health care system.

Unfortunately, today not all patients who could benefit from these precision therapeutics actually receive them. One of the reasons for this is at the level of insurance coverage. Two-thirds of oncology health-care providers have reported insurance coverage as an issue in testing patients for biomarkers⁵ and this then becomes a barricade against giving patients the treatments they need. In Maine, the coverage of 83% of commercial insurance plans is more restrictive than National Comprehensive Cancer Center guidelines⁶.

Precision medicine only works if we know the disease that the patient has. Biomarkers tell us the disease that the patient has and the drug the patient should receive. Biomarkers also tell us what drugs not to give. This is why it is so important to have insurance coverage for biomarker testing. Without biomarkers, we cannot deploy the weapons we have developed against cancer, and we cannot properly treat patients. Insurance coverage for biomarker testing, which this bill will provide, is essential in providing the best treatment for cancer.

References:

- 1. Chevallier, M., et al, World J Clin Oncol, 2021, 12: 217-237
- 2. Ramalingam, S, et al, J. Clin Oncol, 2024, 42, number 17_suppl
- 3. Global Oncology Trends, 2021, IQVIA Institute, June 2021
- 4. Food and Drug Administration Guidances: In Vitro Companion Diagnostic Devices, 2014, Principles for Codevelopment of an In Vitro Companion Diagnostic Device with a Therapeutic Product, 2016, and Developing and Labeling In vitro Companion Diagnostic Devices for a Specific Group of Oncology Therapeutic Products 2020
- 5. ACS CAN, Survey Findings Summary: Understanding Provider Utilization of Cancer Biomarker Testing Across Cancers, December 2021
- 6. Wong, WB, Anina, D, Lin, CW, and Adams, D, Per Med, 2022, 10.2217/pme-2021-0174