

TO: Senator Anne M. Carney

Representative Amy D. Kuhn

Members of the Joint Standing Committee on Judiciary

DATE: January 29, 2026

RE: LD 2106: An Act To Prohibit the Disclosure of Nonpublic Records Without Proper Judicial Review

Senator Carney, Representative Kuhn, and members of the Joint Standing Committee on Judiciary:

My name is Henry Saniuk, and I live in South Portland. I am writing as a private citizen in **support of LD 2106**.

I support this bill because it sets clear and reasonable boundaries around when nonpublic records and access to private spaces may be shared with federal enforcement agencies. Requiring a judicial warrant before sensitive information is disclosed is a basic and necessary safeguard that reflects long established principles of privacy, accountability, and due process.

In South Portland, the actions and presence of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) have had a real and damaging effect on the community. People are afraid to go about their daily lives. Parents worry about sending their children to school. Neighbors hesitate to seek medical care, visit public buildings, or engage with local services. This fear does not stay contained to those directly affected. It spreads through families, workplaces, and neighborhoods, weakening trust and making the entire community feel less safe.

LD 2106 would not stop lawful enforcement, but it would provide meaningful breathing room for communities like mine. By requiring proper judicial review before nonpublic records are disclosed or access to restricted areas is granted, this bill helps restore a sense of stability and predictability. It reassures people that there are clear rules in place and that basic rights will be respected. That reassurance matters. It allows people to live their lives, care for their families, and participate in their community without constant fear.

This bill also gives clear guidance to schools, health care providers, libraries, and other institutions so they are not pressured into making legal decisions without proper authority. That clarity protects both the institutions and the people they serve.

LD 2106 strikes a reasonable and necessary balance. It respects the rule of law while acknowledging the real harm that unchecked access to private information can cause. For these reasons, I urge the Committee to vote **Ought to Pass** on LD 2106.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Henry Saniuk
South Portland