William Smith Windham LD 660

I have several objections to LD660. The most glaring is the additional overhead and cost associated with implementing this law. I didn't see any language addressing how and who would absorb the these additional costs.

Also, there are several areas where definition and clarification is sorely needed. For example Section 4710-D Curriculum and professional development transparency subsection 3 where it is stated class offerings and curriculum materials "A. May not be based on surveys, inventories, or other evaluations, analysis, or assessments of"..." Which goes on to articulate various measures that are NOTt allowed and yet are necessary to assess the adequacy and fit of the curriculum with the students needs. There are other portions of this proposed legislation with similar, practical limitations.

This legislation Ought Not to Pass we significant modifications and language addressing funding needs for the additional work load represented by the requirements of LD660.