

## MAINE ASSOCIATION OF CRIMINAL DEFENSE LAWYERS

P.O. Box 17642 Portland, ME 04112-8642 (207) 523-9869 mainemacdl@gmail.com

2024-2025 OFFICERS March 17, 2025

President Jeremy Pratt Senator Anne Beebe-Center, Chair Representative Tavis Hasenfus, Chair

President-Elect Matthew D. Morgan Committee on Criminal Justice and Public Safety

5 State House Station, Room 436

Vice President Sarah E. Branch Augusta, ME 04333

Secretary Luke Rioux RE: LD 717: An Act to Amend the Maine Criminal Code Governing Restitution to Include the Costs of All Analyses of Suspected Illegal Drugs.

Treasurer Justin Andrus

Dear Senator Beebe-Center, Representative Hasenfus, and Members of the Committee on Criminal Justice and Public Safety:

2024-2025 DIRECTORS

MACDL opposes LD 717.

Jesse James Archer
Randall Bates
Dylan R. Boyd
Daniel Dubé
Andrew Edwards
Benjamin T. Everett
Kristine C. Hanly
James Mason
Joseph Mekonis
Jennifer Rohde
Robert J. Ruffner
John Steed
Caitlyn Smith
Lisa Whittier

Chapter 69 of Title 17-A governs restitution. The expressly defined purposes of restitution include making the victims of crimes whole for economic loss from the "offender" most responsible for the loss and to encourage rehabilitation and accountability of the "offender." "Offender" is defined as "an individual or an organization convicted of a crime."

## **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**

LD 717 removes a requirement that restitution expenses for drug testing are only appropriate in cases when the convicted offender trafficked in drugs and profited from his or her conduct. This existing requirement in Section 2002(3)(B) is consistent with the stated legislative purposes of restitution because people addicted to drugs and convicted of possession offenses are not the most responsible offender. Instead, people convicted of possession and other non-trafficking offenses that did not profit from their offenses are harmed as much—if not more—than society at large by the drug trade.

Tina Heather Nadeau

People addicted to drugs are also unlikely to be able to afford the cost of testing, which is around \$400 or more. Ability to pay is a specific factor under 17-A M.R.S. § 2005(2)(D). LD 717, therefore, will not have any meaningful impact if enacted because courts are extremely unlikely to order restitution in minor possession cases that already carry heavy mandatory minimum fines when the convicted person is indigent and struggling to overcome addiction.

LD 717 is yet another proposed misstep in a long series of missteps in the "war on drugs" that seeks to unnecessarily punish low-level offenders struggling with drug addictions.

For these reasons, MACDL opposes LD 717.

Sincerely,

/s/ Matthew D. Morgan Matthew D. Morgan, Esq. MACDL President Elect