Schlissel Technical Consulting 194 Westminster Avenue Arlington, MA 02474 (617) 947-9507

March 12, 2025

Re: Comments on LD 343, An Act to Direct the Public Utilities Commission to Seek Information Bids Regarding Small Modular Nuclear Reactors in the State

Dear Senator Lawrence, Representative Sachs, and Members of the Energy, Utilities, and Technology Committee

My name is David Schlissel, and I am the President of Schlissel Technical Consulting. I have engineering degrees from MIT and Stanford University and have also studied nuclear engineering in non-degree classes at MIT. I have worked as a consultant and expert witness on engineering and energy issues in the fields of energy and the environment since 1973.

Attached is a presentation entitled: "Cost & Schedule Risks for New SMRs & Large Nuclear Reactors," which includes recent data on nuclear construction delays, projected vs. actual costs of new nuclear projects, including SMRs, and the relative costs of power from new reactors vs. other non-fossil fuel energy sources.

Based on the very limited experience to date with SMRs, the long record of the nuclear industry, and claims now being made as part of the promotion of SMRs, the evidence strongly suggests that new investments in nuclear SMRs will cost far more and take far longer to build than proponents now claim.

As the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has warned, the world needs to rapidly reduce its dependence on fossil fuels. Given that none of the SMR designs currently being marketed in the U.S. has ever been built, let alone operated, there is no evidence on which anyone should trust that new SMRs will be online in the near future. Consequently, no SMR can be expected to contribute to rapid emission reductions needed in the coming years to achieve state or national climate goals, and, in fact, pose significant financial risks to ratepayers and taxpayers.

I hope the data presented here helps inform the committee of the economic disadvantages and financial risks of pursuing the expansion of nuclear power in Maine, as suggested by LD 343.

Sincerely,

David Schlissel

SCHLISSEL

Cost & Schedule Risks for New SMRs & Large Nuclear Reactors

Comments on LD 343, An Act to Direct the Public Utilities Commission to Seek Informational Bids Regarding Small Modular Nuclear Reactors in the State

> David Schlissel March 13, 2025

1

My Background

- Engineering degrees from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) and Stanford University
- Law Degree from Stanford School of Law
- Studied nuclear engineering & project management in non-degree program at MIT
- Worked on energy, utility, environmental and numerous nuclear issues for over five decades
- Testified as an expert witness in state regulatory commissions in over 35 states and before the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, and in state and federal court proceedings
- Filed expert testimony in over 130 state regulatory commission proceedings
- See my work at <u>www.ieefa.org</u> and <u>www.Schlissel-technical.com</u>

SMR & Large Reactor Risks – Cost Increases and Schedule Delays

- Small modular reactors (SMRs) involve untested technologies
- No SMR has been built in the U.S., is under construction or approved by the U.S. nuclear regulatory commission
- The nuclear industry has a long history of huge cost overruns and years-long schedule delays
 - For example, the two most recent reactors built in the US at the Vogtle Nuclear Project, went into service more than 6 years late, & cost more than \$36 billion to build, or \$22 billion more than estimated when nuclear construction started

4x

2x

1x

SMR as of 2016

(operating)

3.0x

China

Original cost estimate

as of 2015

(operating)

4.1x

Russia

as of 2021

(under constr.)

Argentina

- No SMR project has met initial cost and schedule predictions costs ballooned during project planning phases and again after construction began
- Numerous other small reactor projects failed or were cancelled before plant completed

SCHLISSEL TECHNICAL CONSULTING

4





4

Estimated Costs of SMRs Being Marketed in U.S. Have Risen Sharply, Years Before Construction Scheduled to Start

- Further increases in the costs of these SMRs can be expected in the 10 years, or longer, before construction is completed and the plants produce power
- Proposed NuScale reactor project in Idaho was cancelled in late 2023 after estimated cost skyrocketed and communities in Utah refused to write blank checks for the project



SCHLISSEL

5

Recent Reactors With New Designs Have Experienced Years of Schedule Delays

- The SMRs built in China and Russia took as long as three to four times longer to build than estimated at start of construction
- Recent large reactor projects in also have taken much longer to complete than originally claimed by proponents – with numerous delays of of 4 to 5, and as long as 12, years



24 Month Lower End of Range of Estimated Construction Schedules for New SMRs 48 Month Higher End of Range of Estimated Construction Schedules for New SMRs

SCHLISSEL





- As nuclear project construction costs go up, the cost of the power from the new reactors will be more expensive than shown here
- This chart also likely overstates cost of power from renewables
- Source 2024 National Energy Technology Laboratory ATB (Annual Technology Baseline)



Conclusions Nuclear projects pose substantial financial, fiscal & economic risks for state & federal & taxpayers & utility ratepayers who will be asked to bear rising costs Just ask the customers of Georgia Power who recently experienced "Rate Shock" when hit with a 23.7% rate increase to pay for the 2 Vogtle reactors This was on top of an over 10% rate increase in 2011 which forced Georgia Power's ratepayers to pay for the reactors while they were being built There is no benefit or award from rushing to be one of the first to start reactor projects – better to take time & learn from others' successes and mistakes

9

For More Information

- <u>David@Schlissel-technical.com</u>
- www.IEEFA.org/smr
- www.Schlissel-Technical.com

SCHLISSEL