

MAINE ASSOCIATION OF CRIMINAL DEFENSE LAWYERS

P.O. Box 17642 Portland, ME 04112-8642 (207) 523-9869 mainemacdl@gmail.com

2024-2025 OFFICERS

President Jeremy Pratt

President-Elect Matthew D. Morgan

Vice President Sarah E. Branch

> *Secretary* Luke Rioux

Treasurer Justin Andrus

2024-2025 DIRECTORS

Jesse James Archer Randall Bates Dylan R. Boyd Daniel Dubé Andrew Edwards Benjamin T. Everett Kristine C. Hanly James Mason Joseph Mekonis Jennifer Rohde Robert J. Ruffner John Steed Caitlyn Smith Lisa Whittier

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Tina Heather Nadeau

February 10, 2025

Senator Anne Beebe-Center, Chair Representative Tavis Hasenfus, Chair Committee on Criminal Justice and Public Safety 5 State House Station, Room 436 Augusta, ME 04333

RE: LD 96: An Act to Amend the Motor Vehicles and Traffic Law Governing Mandatory Driver's License Suspension for Refusing Testing for Drugs or a Combination of Drugs and Alcohol

Dear Senator Beebe-Center, Representative Hasenfus, and Members of the Committee on Criminal Justice and Public Safety:

MACDL opposes LD 96.

The Maine Bureau of Highway Safety (MBHS) and Maine Criminal Justice Academy (MCJA) oversee Maine's Drug Recognition Expert (DRE) program. "Drug Recognition Expert," State of Maine Department of Public Safety, https://www.maine.gov/dps/bhs/law-enforcement/drug-recognition-expert (last visited Feb. 5., 2025). According to MBHS's website, the DRE program was approved by the Association of Chiefs of Police in 1995. Forty-seven states, the District of Columbia, and three branches of the military participate in the DRE program. MBHS reports that Maine has approximately 100 active DREs and 17 DRE instructors state-wide.

DREs are required to undergo a 7-day training course and then maintain recertification every 2 years through additional training and a required number of DRE investigations. The 7-day training includes training on administration of a series of tests. Some of those tests are documented on the State of Maine Drug Influence Evaluation sheet attached hereto. This training is highly specialized and requires administration of tests and understanding of how results from those tests may or may not be indicative of impairment by a variety of drugs, including many lawfully prescribed medications taken by thousands of Mainers every day.

LD 96 seeks to do away with the DRE system used by Maine and many others states for years and allows any law enforcement officer—without this specialized

training—to act as DRE. LD 96 would impose a requirement to submit to blood or urine testing when an untrained law enforcement officer has probable cause to believe someone is under the influence of a drug or drugs. LD 96 rejects the years of training the National Traffic Highway Safety Administration (NTSHA), MBHS, MCJA, and their nationwide counterparts have undertaken in creating and implementing the drug recognition expert program.

LD 96 moreover seeks to impose a mandatory and immediate license suspension without the benefit of due process and an administrative hearing prior to suspension—on any person who refuses to submit to a blood or urine test when an untrained law enforcement officer has ordered them to submit to blood or urine testing. A license suspension is one of the most severe punishments imposed as part of an OUI case and the immediate imposition of such a suspension without specialized training supporting the suspension is unfair to Maine motorists. Such a suspension is also contrary to our existing suspension system for OUIs arising from alcohol consumption. In those cases, law enforcement officers are required to undergo specialized NTSHA training to identify impairment through administration of standardized field sobriety testing. If specialized training is needed for identifying impairment by alcohol, then it certainly is needed for identifying impairment from illegal drugs and lawfully prescribed medications.

It is also important to note that rejecting LD 96 does not prohibit the State from presenting evidence of alleged impairment through non-DRE law enforcement at any trial. In *State v. Atkins*, the Law Court permitted law enforcement to testify at trial about observations of possible impairment by drugs without this training so long as the State was not offering the testimony as expert testimony,129 A.3d 952 (2015). Instead, this is a question about making sure that the requirement for highly invasive blood or urine tests and the immediate 275-day license suspension for failing to submit to such tests is based on a trained and experienced judgment.

For these reasons, MACDL opposes LD 96.

Sincerely,

<u>/s/ Matthew D. Morgan</u> Matthew D. Morgan, Esq. MACDL President Elect

alla		STATE OF MAINE DRUG INFLUENCE EVALUATION												
A	Evaluate	or:	DRE#: Rolling			ng Log#:		Evaluator's Agen			cy: Ca	se #		
	Recorder/	Witness:		□ None al □ Injur		operty	Arrest	Arresting Officer's Agency:						
ARRESTEE'S NAME (Last, First, Middle)				Date of Birth Sex Race Arresting Officer (Na						me, 1D#)				
Date Examined / Time /Location Breat / / Resul										al Test: Urine 🔲 Blood 🗌 Oral Fluid 🔲 Test or tests refused 🗖				
Miranda Warning Given by:		□ Yes V □ No	What have	you eaten	today?	When? V	Vhat have /	e you been	n drink	cing?	How muc	h? Tin	ne of last dr	ink?
Time now/ Actua	u [When did you last	sleep? Ho	w long		u sick or inju s □ No	red?				Are you dia □ Yes [betic or epiler	ntic?	
										are of a doctor or dentist?				
Are you taking an □ Yes □ No		n or drugs?	- <u> </u>		Attituc	le:				(Coordinatio	on:		
Speech:				Breath O	dor:					Face:				
Corrective Lenses □ Glasses □ C	Contacts, if s	o □ Hard □ Soft		ed	Eyes:	ormal 🔲 Blo					None 🗆 L	eft 🔲 Right	Tracking	Unequal
Pupil Size:	Equal 🗖 Ur	nequal		sting Ny Yes □N		15		tical Nysta Yes 🔲 N		s /		ow stimulus s □ No	Eyelids	NormalDroopy
Pulse and time		HGN		Right	t Eye	Left Eye		Conver	gence		/30	ONE LEG	STAND	/30
1 / 2 /		Lack of Smoot Maximum Dev					Ri	ght eye	Let	t eye		0	\square	
3. / Romberg I	Balance	Angle of Onser Walk and tur									-	\Box \forall	U R	
			I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I			Starts too Stops wal Misses he Steps off Raises arr Actual ste	king el-toe line ns		e	2 nd		Sways whi Uses arms Hopping Puts foot d	to balanc	
Time Estin	mation ated as 30 se	Describe Tu	ırn			Canno	do test	(explai	n)		Туре о	f footwear:		
Draw	v lines to	spots touched		PUPIL Left		Room ligh (2.5 – 5.0)		rkness) – 8,5))irect) – 4.5)	Nasal a	area:		
	\int	\rangle		Right					-		Oral ca	wity:		
(2)	5	(D)	$\widehat{\Lambda}$			Reboun	d Dilatio				Reaction	n to Light:		
			~	RIGHT ARM							LE	LEFT ARM		
4	VE	\overline{z} / 4	(3)			T_		1			(\sim	73	
(5)			6				/	R		-	(Fr.			
						C		~					\sum	
Blood pro / Muscle tone:	essure mmHg	Tempera 0	F		Ę		\subseteq	\langle	-	-				
Normal Comments:	Flace			-1.0			11/1		1	10				
What drugs or me using?			How m		1.000	me of use?	(Locatio	041 00# 1 5					ticipating du	uring evaluation
Officer's Signatur		Date/ Time o			DRE W	as notified:		ion compl				/	approved b	y / date/DRE#:
Opinion of Ev	aluator:	 □ Not Impaired □ Medical 	Alcoho			CNS Stime Hallucinog		Sec. (1997)		ve Anesth Analgesic	360206300	☐ Inhalant ☐ Cannabis		



STATE OF MAINE DRUG INFLUENCE EVALUATION

DRE Case Number:	Page	of	Pages
Arrestee's Name:			
Evaluator:			
Arresting Officer:			

while at

1. Location:

On at hours, a drug influence evaluation was conducted on

2. Witnesses:

3. Breath Test:

A breath test was conducted with a result of

4. Notification and Interview of Arresting Officer:

5. Initial Observation of the Suspect:

6. Medical Problems and Treatment:

7. Psychophysical Tests:

- A) Modified Romberg Balance:
- B) Walk and Turn:
- C) One Leg Stand (left leg):
- D) One Leg Stand (right leg):
- E) Finger to Nose:

8. Major Indicators:

9. Signs of Ingestion:

10. Suspect's Statements:

11. DRE's Opinion:

It is my opinion as a Certified Drug Recognition Expert, that is under the influence of and is not able to operate a vehicle safely.

12. Toxicological Sample:

The subject consented to a sample and was entered into evidence.

13. Miscellaneous:

DRE's Statement of Probable Cause: My basis of probable cause is contained in the attached copy of the DRE report and evaluation, the contents of which, upon knowledge and information that I believe to be true, are incorporated herein by reference and are subject to my undersigned oath.

Sworn before me under oath:

(Notary Public)

(Signature of DRE)

Dated: _____

(DRE's Name Printed or Typed)

End Commission Date: _____

(Department of DRE)

Revision 09/2023