

Mark Chasse
Auburn
LD 2283

LD 2283 ought-NOT-to pass.

This legislation should not be about federal initiatives nor UN agendas. This should be about Maine making decisions about the constitutionality of gun safety and legislation and what's best for Mainers.

First off Maine is known for its high level of gun ownership and it's high level of gun safety. 170 shooting deaths vs 470 drug overdose deaths. 47k deaths nationally vs 400k deaths due to medical errors.

The series of gun bills initiated by the governor and introduced this year was in Mills words a result of the Card shooting.

While a tragic event, evidence gathered over time has shown that this incident was largely the result of failures of government systems as documented in a variety of reports from news sources including video interviews, police video, military sources, government Healthcare institutions and one very important forensic pathology report.

While the Military did get Card into the healthcare system, they failed to conduct brain scan testing which would have likely shown he had severe brain injuries that likely played a part in his behavioral changes. He could have received the correct care and the military would have likely confiscated his fire arms.

His superiors failed to heed warnings from his close friend that Card was devolving into destructive behavior.

Law enforcement didn't follow through on the enforcement using the yellow law.

The establishments Card visited had GUN FREE zone signs. Had they not, there was a good chance one of the victims would have been armed and might have limited the number of deaths and injuries.

News stories noted that some of the victim's families have not blamed guns. On the contrary they have since armed themselves.

These new gun laws and more failed government intervention would likely not prevent another incident of this type, but more gun ownership would equalize the odds as this was an errant event.

Finally, why wouldn't the legislature and governor wait until the commission's investigation results be completed before moving these bills forward? Eoukfnt that mske the most sense?

PS after reviewing this bill it doesn't appear to include language for admonishing anyone who falsely makes false claims. This should be addressed in this bill.

Thank you.