

Rebecca Ray Green
Hampden
LD 227

I am unable to attend in person, but would like this to be considered for publish testimony.

I STRONGLY oppose the LD 227 bill due to the risk it presents for children, both unborn and born. Conceptually, the bill is only listed as a draft - in ANY business world, drafts are not final products, nor should this one be considered as such. Regarding the 'health care services' that are proposed this plan does NOT seem to be carefully and thoughtfully written. The item that I have the most disgust with is the fact that there is no religious exemption. If a facility that is associated with a religious organization has an employee that goes against the organizations beliefs, and terminates or disciplines the employee for violating organizational rules of ethics, the organization would be punished. That is wrong. If an employee agrees to work somewhere, is made aware of the rules and guidelines for what the job entails and the the moral/ethics of the organization and then willfully goes against those morals, the employer should NOT be unable to discipline the employee OR he punished because they are standing up for their morals and ethics.

I do not believe this bill is well thought out, it is reckless and should be completely disregarded on the basis that it is a rough draft, is not taking into account all perspectives of things and yet again seems like someone trying to 'push papers' through to get something they personally want and NOT that OF the people.