

Duane Douglass
North Berwick
LD 1696

Dear Committee Members:

This proposed bill has the same problem it had the last time it was submitted. An "abnormally dangerous firearm" is just too subjective a term for me to determine if I am in compliance with the law or not. Your "abnormally dangerous" and my "abnormally dangerous" could be two very different things, and seems to be merely a windfall for lawyers.

This proposal ought not to pass due to the ambiguous terms used, but the recent amendment compounds the confusion. It seems to say the Attorney General could fine me \$10,000 if I offer ammunition in an oppressive manner, or fine me \$50,000 if I sell an accessory scope in an unconscionable manner, or fine me \$25,000 if I sell modifications, such as better sights or engraving.

LD1696 is a legal nightmare, and should not pass.