PLEASE NOTE: Legislative Information *cannot* perform research, provide legal advice, or interpret Maine law. For legal assistance, please contact a qualified attorney.

Amend the bill by striking out everything after the enacting clause and before the summary and inserting the following:

'Sec. 1. 18-A MRSA §5-304, sub-§(b), as amended by PL 2003, c. 323, §1, is further amended to read:

(b). The court may appoint a guardian or coguardians as requested if it is satisfied<u>the court finds</u> by clear and convincing evidence that the person for whom a guardian is sought is incapacitated, and that the appointment is necessary or desirable as a means of providing continuing care and supervision of the person of the incapacitated person and, if the allegedly incapacitated person has not attended the hearing, that an inquiry has been made as to whether that person wished to attend the hearing. Alternatively, the court may dismiss the proceeding or enter any other appropriate order.

Sec. 2. 18-A MRSA §5-304, sub-§(b-1) is enacted to read:

(b-1). If the allegedly incapacitated person files voluntary written consent to the appointment of a guardian with the court or appears in court and consents to the appointment, unless the court finds the consent suspect, the court may appoint a guardian or coguardians as requested upon a finding by a preponderance of the evidence that the person for whom a guardian is sought is incapacitated and that the appointment is necessary or desirable as a means of providing continuing care and supervision of the incapacitated person. For the purposes of this subsection, voluntary written consent is valid only if the consent was obtained by a visitor, a guardian ad litem or an attorney representing the allegedly incapacitated person and the allegedly incapacitated person gave the consent outside the presence of the person or persons seeking guardianship.

Sec. 3. 18-A MRSA §5-304, sub-§(b-2) is enacted to read:

(b-2). If the allegedly incapacitated person has not attended the hearing, the court must determine if an inquiry has been made as to whether that person wished to attend the hearing.

Sec. 4. 18-A MRSA §5-304, sub-§(c), as enacted by PL 1985, c. 440, §§2 and 13, is amended to read:

(c). In its order, the court may make separate findings of fact and conclusions of law. If a party requests separate findings and conclusions, within 5 days of notice of the decision, the court shall make them. As an alternative to the appointment of a guardian under subsection (b) or (b-1), the court may dismiss the proceeding or enter any other appropriate order.

Sec. 5. 18-A MRSA §5-307, as amended by PL 1979, c. 690, §19, is further amended to read:

§ 5-307.Removal or resignation of guardian; termination of guardianship

(a). On petition of the ward or any person interested in <u>histhe ward's</u> welfare, the court may remove a guardian and appoint a successor if in the best interests of the ward. On petition of the guardian, the court may accept <u>his resignantion the guardian's resignation</u> and make any other order <u>which that</u> may be appropriate.

(b). The ward or any person interested in <u>histhe ward's</u> welfare may petition for an order that <u>hethe</u> <u>ward</u> is no longer incapacitated, and for removal or resignation of the guardian. A request for this order may be made by informal letter to the court or judge and any person who knowingly interferes with transmission of this kind of request to the court or judge may be adjudged guilty of contempt of court.

(c). Before removing a guardian, or accepting the resignation of a guardian, or ordering that a ward's incapacity has terminated, the court, following the same procedures to safeguard the rights of the ward as apply to a petition for appointment of a guardian, may send a visitor to the residence of the present guardian and to the place where the ward resides or is detained, to observe conditions and report in writing to the court.

(d). In an action by the ward, upon presentation by the petitioner of evidence establishing a prima facie case that the ward is not incapacitated or the appointment is no longer necessary or desirable as a means of providing continuing care and supervision of the ward, the court shall order the termination unless the respondent proves by clear and convincing evidence that the ward is incapacitated and guardianship is necessary or desirable as a means of providing continuing care and supervision of the ward.

Sec. 6. 18-A MRSA §5-310-A, sub-§(c), as amended by PL 1995, c. 203, §3, is further amended to read:

(c). At the expedited hearing, the court may render a judgment authorizing the temporary guardianship to continue for a period not to exceed 6 months from the date of entry of the ex parte order. The temporary guardianship terminates on the date specified in the order or, if no date is specified in the order, 6 months following the date of entry of the ex parte order or at any prior time if the court determines the circumstances leading to the order for temporary guardianship no longer exist or if a judgment has been entered following a hearing pursuant to section 5-303 has been entered with findings made pursuant to section 5-304.

Sec. 7. 18-A MRSA §5-401, sub-§(2), as enacted by PL 1979, c. 540, §1, is amended to read:

(2). Appointment of a conservator or other protective order may be made in relation to the estate and affairs of a person if the court determines that (i): by clear and convincing evidence that the person is unable to manage histhe person's property and affairs effectively for reasons such as mental illness, mental deficiency, physical illness or disability, chronic use of drugs, chronic intoxication, confinement, detention by a foreign power, or disappearance; and (ii)by a preponderance of the evidence that the person has property whichthat will be wasted or dissipated unless proper management is provided, or that funds are needed for the support, care and welfare of the person or those entitled to be supported by himthe person and that protection is necessary or desirable to obtain or provide funds. If the allegedly incapacitated person files voluntary written consent to the appointment of a conservator with the court or appears in court and consents to the appointment, unless the court finds the consent suspect, the

court may appoint a conservator or coconservator as requested upon a finding by a preponderance of the evidence that the person is unable to manage the person's property and affairs effectively for reasons such as mental illness, mental deficiency, physical illness or disability, chronic use of drugs, chronic intoxication, confinement, detention by a foreign power or disappearance. For the purposes of this subsection, voluntary written consent is valid only if the consent was obtained by a visitor, a guardian ad litem or an attorney representing the allegedly incapacitated person and the allegedly incapacitated person gave the consent outside the presence of the person or persons seeking conservatorship.

Sec. 8. 18-A MRSA §5-408-A, sub-§(c), as amended by PL 1995, c. 203, §7, is further amended to read:

(c). At the expedited hearing, the court may render a judgment authorizing the temporary conservatorship to continue for a period not to exceed 6 months from the date of entry of the ex parte order. The temporary conservatorship terminates on the date specified in the order or, if no date is specified in the order, 6 months following the date of entry of the ex parte order, or at any prior time if the court determines the circumstances leading to the order for temporary conservatorship no longer exist or if a judgment has been entered following a hearing pursuant to section 5-407 has been entered with findings made pursuant to section 5-401.

Sec. 9. 18-A MRSA §5-430, as amended by PL 2007, c. 308, §2, is further amended to read:

§ 5-430. Termination of proceeding

The protected person, the protected person's personal representative, the conservator or any other interested person may petition the court to terminate the conservatorship. A protected person seeking termination is entitled to the same rights and procedures as in an original proceeding for a protective order. In an action to terminate a conservatorship brought by the protected person, upon presentation by the petitioner of evidence establishing a prima facie case that the person is able to manage the person's property and affairs, the court shall order the termination unless the respondent proves by clear and convincing evidence that the person is unable to manage the person's property and affairs effectively for reasons such as mental illness, mental deficiency, physical illness or disability, chronic use of drugs, chronic intoxication, confinement, detention by a foreign power or disappearance. The court, upon determining after notice and hearing that the minority or disability of the protected person has ceasedthat a conservatorship is no longer necessary, shall terminate the conservatorship upon approval of a final account. Upon termination, title to assets of the estate passes to the former protected person or to the former protected person's successors subject to provision in the order for expenses of administration or to conveyances from the conservator to the former protected person or the former protected person's successors, to evidence the transfer.'

SUMMARY

This amendment replaces the bill.

This amendment clarifies that the clear and convincing standard applies only to nontemporary, adult guardianships and conservatorships in which the protected person does not consent to the guardianship or conservatorship. Consent is valid only if the alleged incapacitated person appears in court or provides

voluntary written consent. It also clarifies that in conservatorship proceedings a court must find by clear and convincing evidence that the person is unable to manage the person's property or affairs but can find by a preponderance of the evidence that the person has property that needs protection. Finally, the amendment also clarifies the procedure for the termination of guardianship and conservatorship by a protected person. These provisions provide that the protected person must present a prima facie case that guardianship or conservatorship is not necessary and then the burden shifts to the respondent to show by clear and convincing evidence that the conservatorship or guardianship is necessary.