

Program Design and Outcomes of Selected Pre-Petition Legal Representation Programs

Commission To Develop a Pilot Program To Provide Legal Representation to Families in the Child Protection System

Revised for
Oct. 3, 2022 Meeting

State	Program (cite to sources of info.)	Eligibility Requirements	Referral Sources	Types of services	Service Providers	Funding Sources	Data Collection Protocols	Available Outcome Data
CA	Children’s Law Center of California: <i>Pritzker Pre-Filing Project</i> (Los Angeles) ^A	Pregnant or parenting youth in foster care	Primarily from other Children’s Law center attorneys or case managers.	Advocate for the parenting youth re: child welfare involvement with their children; Protection orders, child custody, landlord-tenant and other ancillary legal issues; Connect client to needed services; Connect clients to MH, immigration and other experts. ^B	Attorney; and Parent-support case manager (social worker with lived experience).	Philanthropic funding sources. <i>* Note: Hoping to pursue Title IV-E reimbursement in the future ?</i> Rob Wyman of Casey Family Programs indicates Title IV-E funding may be in place now. Our contact at CLC is researching this issue for us.	Outcomes tracked: Whether petition for removal is filed; Family reunification status; and Relative placement.	As of 7/2/12: Only 3 of 168 (1.8 %) of clients had their children removed (one was later successfully reunified). ^C
CA	Dependency Advocacy Center: 2 pre-petition programs <u>First program:</u> <i>Santa Clara County Corridor</i> ^D	Santa Clara county resident; Who is an adult on probation and a parent at risk of involvement with Dept. of Family and Children’s Services (DFCS)	Primarily adult probation officers; and Sometimes community partners.	Referrals to community services; Peer and social work support regarding DCFS; Legal advice, help filing court forms, representation or referrals for: guardianships, restraining orders, custody, housing, and caregiver affidavits (custody	Attorney; Social worker; Mentor parents.	Operates under contract with county adult probation using county funds	Client satisfaction survey; Was petition filed? If > 45 days of services provided, assess client self-sufficiency across 10 domains; <i>Note: working to obtain data to track recidivism in criminal justice system; DAC is currently notified if a child protection petition is later filed (but</i>	Has been in effect for 5 years; Still waiting for program to send us their data

Program Design and Outcomes of Selected Pre-Petition Legal Representation Programs

Commission To Develop a Pilot Program To Provide Legal Representation to Families in the Child Protection System

Revised for
Oct. 3, 2022 Meeting

State	Program (cite to sources of info.)	Eligibility Requirements	Referral Sources	Types of services	Service Providers	Funding Sources	Data Collection Protocols	Available Outcome Data
				plan if parent is re-incarcerated)			<i>doesn't receive substantiation info.)</i>	
CA	<p>Dependency Advocacy Center: 2 pre-petition programs</p> <p><u>Second program:</u> <i>First Call for Families</i>^E</p>	<p>Santa Clara county resident;</p> <p>Has interacted with Dept. of Family & Children's Services (DFCS) within past year (ex: unfounded past report of abuse or neglect or who currently have a voluntary services plan) who seek pre-petition advocacy and support</p>	<p>Primarily DFCS caseworkers; also</p> <p>Community partners; and</p> <p>Self-referrals.</p> <p><i>* Note: soon opening office co-located in a hospital's high-risk pregnancy clinic to obtain referrals</i></p>	<p>(1) <i>Warm line:</i> support, legal advice and community referrals; and</p> <p>(2) <i>Individualized support</i> (if needed & staff available):</p> <p>Peer and social work support regarding DFCS;</p> <p>Legal advice, help filing court forms, representation or referrals for: guardianships, restraining orders, custody, housing and related issues</p>	<p>Attorney;</p> <p>Social worker; and</p> <p>Mentor parent.</p>	<p>1st year: short-term county funds;</p> <p>On Sept. 1, 2022, expanding staff and will operate under a contract with DFCS using other county funds</p> <p><i>* May pursue Title IV-E reimbursement in the future;</i></p> <p><i>* Note: DAC and other California parents' attorney providers currently receive Title IV-E funding through DFCS when appointed to represent parents in court proceedings post-petition</i></p>	<p>(1) <i>Warm line:</i> collect data on type of warm line service provided and whether client referred to other services.</p> <p>(2) <i>Individualized support:</i></p> <p>Client satisfaction survey;</p> <p>Was petition filed?</p> <p>If client > 45 days, assess client self-sufficiency across 10 domains;</p> <p>If client > 60 days, obtain data from DCFS whether any reports have been substantiated at 6-months and 12-months after services complete.</p>	<p>Not yet available (program began in 2021)</p> <p><i>* Anecdotally, only one client with individualized support had a court petition filed and the child was returned after only a brief time.</i></p>
CO	<p>Office of Respondent Parents' Counsel (ORPC): <i>Preventative Legal</i></p>	<p>Indigent parents in Jefferson County with unmet legal needs that may be affecting the safety of their child</p>	<p>Caseworkers (including from county Dept. of Human Services and TANF programs);</p>	<p>Pre-filing child welfare advocacy;</p> <p>Housing;</p> <p>Custody and visitation;</p>	<p>Attorney (contracts with Colorado Legal Services to help with specific</p>	<p>Uses Title IV-E reimbursement dollars:</p> <p>1st: ORPC provides statewide</p>	<p>Conduct short interview with parent upon case closure;</p>	<p>Not yet available (program began in 2022).</p>

Program Design and Outcomes of Selected Pre-Petition Legal Representation Programs

Commission To Develop a Pilot Program To Provide Legal Representation to Families in the Child Protection System

Revised for
Oct. 3, 2022 Meeting

State	Program (cite to sources of info.)	Eligibility Requirements	Referral Sources	Types of services	Service Providers	Funding Sources	Data Collection Protocols	Available Outcome Data
	<i>Services</i> (Jefferson County) ^F		Community-based organizations; Self-referrals; Other.	Guardianship; Parentage/ paternity; Protection orders; Advice on some criminal matters (outstanding warrants, sealing records and expungement, de-registration); and Immigration (VAWA and U-Visa petitions). <i>* Note: will not assist with the following: divorce (but may have Colorado Legal Services assist in certain DV cases); criminal defense representation (but will help connect client to a public defender); employment; civil rights; small claims and private lawsuits</i>	issues— <i>e.g.</i> , housing and immigration); Social worker; and Parent advocate.	indigent parent post-petition representation, which is funded by state funds. 2 nd : ORPC invoices these post-petition legal services through DHS, which receives Title IV-E reimbursement. 3 rd : Title IV-E reimbursement funds finance several ORPC pilot projects, including the Preventative Legal Services project.	Follow-up parent interview 6-months after case closure. Outcomes measured include: Whether petition for removal is filed; and If petition is filed, whether children are removed.	
IA	Iowa Legal Aid: Parent Representation Project (pre-filing cases) ^G	Low-income families involved in child welfare system in four project counties (Blackhawk,	Majority of referrals from Iowa Dept. of Human Services; and	Legal advice and support with child welfare system; Child custody and child support;	Attorney; Case manager; and Parent advocate.	Began in 1 county with a grant from the state’s court improvement project (CIP);	(Not known)	In 2018, Iowa Legal Aid: Closed 62 pre-filing cases, helping 118 children

Program Design and Outcomes of Selected Pre-Petition Legal Representation Programs

Commission To Develop a Pilot Program To Provide Legal Representation to Families in the Child Protection System

Revised for
Oct. 3, 2022 Meeting

State	Program (cite to sources of info.)	Eligibility Requirements	Referral Sources	Types of services	Service Providers	Funding Sources	Data Collection Protocols	Available Outcome Data
		Dubuque, Jackson and Linn)	Also receive referrals from contracted service providers.	Guardianships; Protection orders; Expungements; Housing issues; and Denials of public benefits. Also refer families to community resources (MH or substance abuse counseling, public benefits, affordable housing, etc.) ^H		Then obtained private funding. <i>* Note: Iowa Legal Aid currently has the contract to provide legal services in 2 of the State Public Defender's 6 pilot project counties (discussed below)</i>		avoid court involvement
IA	Office of the State Public Defender: <i>Project to Preserve Families</i> ¹	Families in any of the 6 pilot project counties with a civil legal issue that, in the opinion of the State Public Defender, if not addressed will result in removal of the child	Most referrals from Iowa Dept. of Human Services; Some referrals from probation officers. <i>* Hope to expand to receive school-based referrals in the future</i> <i>* Note: by statute, the State Public Defender has sole discretion to determine family</i>	Legal advice and support with child welfare system; Open to assisting with any civil legal issue affecting child safety, including: Child custody and child support; Guardianships; Protection orders; Housing issues, etc.	Attorney (state public defender in 1 county; Iowa Legal Aid in 2 counties; contracted attorneys in 3 counties); Social worker (state public defender office); Parent advocate.	100% funded with Title IV-E reimbursement dollars obtained based upon post-petition representation of parents by the State Public Defender <i>* similar to the process described for Colorado above</i>	Outcomes tracked: whether petition is filed; whether the child is removed; and, if so, the length of removal. 6- and 12-month client surveys; Attorneys questionnaires about success of the pilot project.	Not yet available (program began in Sept. 2021) ^J

Program Design and Outcomes of Selected Pre-Petition Legal Representation Programs

Commission To Develop a Pilot Program To Provide Legal Representation to Families in the Child Protection System

Revised for
Oct. 3, 2022 Meeting

State	Program (cite to sources of info.)	Eligibility Requirements	Referral Sources	Types of services	Service Providers	Funding Sources	Data Collection Protocols	Available Outcome Data
			<i>eligibility for pilot project services</i>					
MA	Greater Boston Legal Services: <i>Domestic Violence Family Preservation Project</i> ^K	Low-income survivors of domestic violence involved with Department of Children and Families (DCF) whose children haven't been removed	Community partner organizations; Other GBLS attorneys; and Self-referral through GBLS intake	Advocate during DCF investigation (inform of rights, negotiate required services; appeal substantiations); DV protection orders; Custody, child support, divorce; guardianships; and Tax, housing, welfare, immigration and healthcare issues (via referral to other GBLS staff attorneys).	Attorney	Began with one attorney funded through an Equal Justice Works Fellowship; Second attorney funded (at least in part) with ARPA funds.	Collect client demographic data; Track following outcomes: Avoiding juvenile court involvement; Day DCF case closed and length DCF case open; Income of family at beginning and end of representation; Government benefits obtained; Outcome of Probate & Family case; and Whether safety/restraining order obtained.	Not yet available (program began in Sept. 2021)
MI	U. Mich. Law School, Child Advocacy Clinic: <i>Detroit Center for Family Advocacy</i> ^L Program closed; was in effect from 2009 - 2016	Parents/custodians (grandparents or other relatives) of children from Wayne County for whom the child welfare agency has substantiated abuse or neglect but has not removed the	Michigan Department of Human Services (90% of referrals); Wayne County Juvenile Court; Private agencies; and	Assist with child welfare system; Guardianships; Child support, custody, divorce and paternity; Protection orders; Public benefits; and	Attorney; Social worker; and Parent advocate.	Private funds were used to leverage state matching funds from Michigan's Child Care Fund	Demographics; Monitor: whether petition filed and whether children removed; length of time served by program (hours by staff and months case open), type of service received and	From 7/2009 to 6/2012: Served 110 children in 55 families: Prevented filing of petition in 92.7% of cases

Program Design and Outcomes of Selected Pre-Petition Legal Representation Programs

Commission To Develop a Pilot Program To Provide Legal Representation to Families in the Child Protection System

Revised for
Oct. 3, 2022 Meeting

State	Program (cite to sources of info.)	Eligibility Requirements	Referral Sources	Types of services	Service Providers	Funding Sources	Data Collection Protocols	Available Outcome Data
		children or filed a court petition	Self-referrals	Other ancillary issues. ^M			whether goal was achieved; Stakeholder surveys (child welfare agencies, judges, AAGs, GALs, community organizations); Client satisfaction surveys.	(petitions filed for 4 children, of those removed, none went to non-kin foster care and all were returned quickly); Achieved legal objective of ancillary services in 98.2% of cases
NJ	Legal Service of New Jersey: Family Stability Project ^N	Indigent parents involved with child welfare agency in a pre-petition stage are referred based on safety concerns the agency cannot resolve with in-home services	Child welfare agency caseworkers; CASA; Other defense attorneys.	Advice on child protective case; Ancillary legal issues—e.g.: Public benefits; Evictions and housing voucher terminations; Divorce, custody, child support; Special education and other school-related issues; Healthcare; and Immigration.	Attorneys (project attorneys can refer clients to other LSNJ attorneys with expertise in a specific issue); Social workers; and Parent allies.	State funding; CIP funding for 1 attorney in 2021	Collect demographic information (race, ethnicity, LGBTQ+, etc.); Outcome data: whether petitions were filed and whether children were removed.	From 2018 to 2020: Out of over 200 referrals, none of the more than 300 children were removed
NY	The Bronx Defenders: Early	Income-eligible parents and	Hospitals, schools, social	Legal and social work advocacy	Attorney; ^P	Discretionary funding from	Collect data on:	In FY21:

Program Design and Outcomes of Selected Pre-Petition Legal Representation Programs

Commission To Develop a Pilot Program To Provide Legal Representation to Families in the Child Protection System

Revised for
Oct. 3, 2022 Meeting

State	Program (cite to sources of info.)	Eligibility Requirements	Referral Sources	Types of services	Service Providers	Funding Sources	Data Collection Protocols	Available Outcome Data
	<i>Defense Program (NYC)</i> ^o	caregivers in the Bronx being investigated by Administration for Children’s Services; and Current clients who become pregnant and are at risk of losing the newborn.	services agencies and other community organizations (program does outreach and training at many such organizations); Self-referral (24-hour hotline, email intake and walk-in hours).	during the investigation; Connecting families to supportive services and material resources; Ancillary legal issues: housing and public benefits.	Social worker; and Parent Advocate.	New York City Council for pre-petition advocacy; Private funding for work with pregnant clients to prevent removal of the to-be born child; <i>* Hope in future to incorporate pre-petition work into the Bronx Defenders’ contract to provide post-petition parent representation; the city may also pursue Title IV-E reimbursement.</i>	Number of parents served; Number of safety conferences attended; Outcomes: whether ACS filed a petition and/or removed the children.	Represented 131 low-income parents in Bronx facing investigation; No case was filed in 72% of cases; Of remaining cases where court proceedings were initiated, children remained in the home or with family members in all except 2 cases.
NY	Center for Family Representation, Inc.: <i>Community Advocacy Project (NYC)</i> ^q	Parent subject to investigation by Administration for Children’s Services in Manhattan or Queens (will soon expand to the Bronx)	Other legal services agencies and community organizations; NYC 311 call line; Self-referrals. <i>* Note: referrals from ACS are rare</i>	Social work advocacy and explain parent’s rights during the investigation <i>*Note: option to consult with an in-house attorney for housing, protection order, immigration and criminal legal</i>	Social workers; and Parent advocates.	Discretionary funding from New York City Council for pre-petition advocacy; Plan to pursue incorporating this work into the Center’s contract to provide post-	Collect client demographic information at intake and track: Time investigation remains open; Outcome of investigation (court petition? removal? if so, to whom - kinship?);	Not yet available (program began in 2019) ^s

Program Design and Outcomes of Selected Pre-Petition Legal Representation Programs

Commission To Develop a Pilot Program To Provide Legal Representation to Families in the Child Protection System

Revised for
Oct. 3, 2022 Meeting

State	Program (cite to sources of info.)	Eligibility Requirements	Referral Sources	Types of services	Service Providers	Funding Sources	Data Collection Protocols	Available Outcome Data
				<i>advice and/ or direct assistance</i> ^R		petition parent representation in child protection proceedings.	Number of referrals made for other services.	
OK	Legal Aid Services of Oklahoma (LSAO): <i>Family Representation Contract</i> ^T	Actively involved with Child Welfare Services in some capacity; also subject to representation qualification requirements of LASO	Oklahoma Dept. of Human Services caseworkers as well as judicial referrals	Legal matters impacting the stability of the home with the exception of criminal and appellate cases. Included but is not limited to divorce and custody; paternity; protection orders; guardianships; housing and utility issues, and benefit entitlement appeals	Attorney	Contract between Dept. of Human Services and LASO	None at this time. Are working to establish a research study to determine efficacy of intervention under the contract.	None at this time (see column to left).
VT	Vermont Parent Representation Center, Inc.: had 2 pilot pre-petition programs <u>First program:</u> <i>Family Intervention Team</i> ^U 2-year project; closed in 2012	Families* for whom Dept. of Children & Families (DCF) had completed an investigation or assessment and had opened a services case <i>* Only represented 1 parent in each case</i>	Referral sources: DCF (50%); Community providers (29%); Probate Courts (13%); and Other (8%: via web, 2-1-1 or other clients).	Advocacy with DCF (including also appeals of substantiations, and represent in CHINS case if later opened); Guardianships; Housing (ex: help with §8 vouchers, eviction defense);	Attorney; Social worker; and Peer Advocate.	State legislative funding for one year (until budget crisis due to Hurricane Irene); and Later private foundations and donors.	Number referrals, how many became clients; Number of visits to parents in home/community; Parent satisfaction surveys; % children not removed (and if	During 2-year pilot (2010-2012): 78% of families whose children were not in custody of the State or a relative at outset also did not have a

Program Design and Outcomes of Selected Pre-Petition Legal Representation Programs

Commission To Develop a Pilot Program To Provide Legal Representation to Families in the Child Protection System

Revised for
Oct. 3, 2022 Meeting

State	Program (cite to sources of info.)	Eligibility Requirements	Referral Sources	Types of services	Service Providers	Funding Sources	Data Collection Protocols	Available Outcome Data
				Protection orders; Public benefits (ex: TANF); Other ancillary issues advocacy.		<i>* Note: some staff occasionally provided pro bono services.</i>	removed, % later reunited; % older children who were already removed who were reunited. <i>* Note: a request to DCF for information on longer-term outcomes (at 6- and 12- month intervals) was denied</i>	child removed while part of pilot project; 50% of other children previously removed were reunified with the parent; 100% of parents found project helpful to achieve desired outcomes
VT	Vermont Parent Representation Center, Inc.: <u>Second program:</u> <i>Rapid Intervention Prenatal Program (RIPP)</i> ^v 2-year project; closed in 2016	Mothers in medication assisted treatment who were pregnant or parenting infants (under 1 month) regardless of whether there was yet DCF involvement <i>* Note: DCF policy prevented involvement until 30 days before the child's due date</i>	Treatment providers; Community partners; and Self-referrals.	Same as above and also: Advocacy with hospital, medical providers, and service providers; Providing transportation and other needed services/supports to facilitate visits if child was removed (to help facilitate reunification).	Attorney; and Family advocate (skilled but not licensed as MSW).	Private foundations and donors <i>Note: some staff occasionally provided pro bono services.</i>	Same as above <i>* Note: did not again request long-term data given denial of data for Family Intervention Team pilot project</i>	During 2-year pilot (2014-2016): 63% of 27 women served were successful in maintaining custody of children within 12 months of being served by RIPP
WA	Center for Children & Youth Justice:	“But-for” test: families with a civil	CPS and FAR caseworkers from Dept. of	Legal advice and range of assistance	Legal Aid Attorney (from the	3-year pilot project funded via private	Demographic information; Referral source;	During the 3-year pilot project, the

Program Design and Outcomes of Selected Pre-Petition Legal Representation Programs

Commission To Develop a Pilot Program To Provide Legal Representation to Families in the Child Protection System

Revised for
Oct. 3, 2022 Meeting

State	Program (cite to sources of info.)	Eligibility Requirements	Referral Sources	Types of services	Service Providers	Funding Sources	Data Collection Protocols	Available Outcome Data
	<i>Family Advocacy Center</i> (King County) ^W 3-year pilot project closed in 2019.	legal issue that, if resolved, would: Prevent removal; Close Child Protective Services (CPS) investigation; or Close a Family Assessment (FAR) Response case. Clients must be at or below the income self-sufficiency standards for King County.	Children, Youth and Families (FAC attorneys first trained DCYF staff on the program and eligibility criteria).	on ancillary civil legal issues: Most frequent: guardianship, paternity, divorce, parenting plans (<i>i.e.</i> , parental rights orders); and protection orders. Less frequent: housing; public benefits; criminal records “cleanup.” Parent ally also provided advice regarding the child welfare process. ^X	Northwest Justice Project); Social worker (from Center for Children & Youth Justice); and Parent ally (from Parents for Parents).	philanthropic foundations and individual donors.	Duration, type and scope of service provided; Relevant court rulings; and Internet & phone client satisfaction surveys. <i>* Utilized Northwest Justice Project’s data-collection systems for all except client surveys and required release from client to provide information to FAC</i>	civil legal objectives were met in 95% of preventative cases.
WA	Family Intervention Response to Stop Trauma (F.I.R.S.T.) Clinic (Snohomish County) ^Y	Pregnant mothers and parents of substance-exposed infants (recently born, still in the hospital) in the county who are subject to a child welfare investigation and who receive some sort of state assistance (TANF, WIC, food stamps, state medical insurance, etc.).	Medical providers and community partners (attorneys, hospital staff, and a substance treatment provider); Dept. of Children, Youth & Families (DCYF) caseworkers (including referral of all “screened out”	Legal advocacy regarding potential or actual DCYF investigation; Assist in obtaining community services and supports (<i>e.g.</i> , substance-use evaluations and treatment); Ancillary legal issues: protection orders and guardianships or	Attorney; Social worker; and Parent Ally.	Began as pro bono services; In 2020, state appropriated \$500,000 over two years (led to large increase in caseload); Also received funding from Casey Family Programs, the Giddens Foundation (local non-profit), and via	Worked with Casey Family Programs to create Google Sheets data tool: Demographic data (race, ethnicity, refugee & disability status; marital status; any other children; public assistance); DCYF history of parent(s); Family service needs (housing,	Between July 2019 and Nov. 2021: Petition filed for only 15% of 123 clients; 10% of clients agreed to voluntary safety plans and kept their children. Between 2018 and 2020, infant removals

Program Design and Outcomes of Selected Pre-Petition Legal Representation Programs

Commission To Develop a Pilot Program To Provide Legal Representation to Families in the Child Protection System

Revised for Oct. 3, 2022 Meeting

State	Program (cite to sources of info.)	Eligibility Requirements	Referral Sources	Types of services	Service Providers	Funding Sources	Data Collection Protocols	Available Outcome Data
			reports/intakes on pregnant mothers whose children are not yet born); and Some self-referrals.	connection to criminal attorney; Provide concrete goods for baby’s needs (diapers, clothing, etc.).		state contract (for clients without open DCYF cases). <i>Future: state Dept. of Health and Human Services has contacted F.I.R.S.T. Clinic to discuss potential expansion using opioid settlement funds</i>	DV, drug & alcohol, etc.); Outcomes: whether client agreed to voluntary services or placement; whether petition filed; whether child removed; whether guardianship entered.	decreased by 37% in Snohomish County (not just for F.I.R.S.T. clients), compared to a 17% reduction statewide over the same time period.

^A Email correspondence with Kaveh Landsverk, pre-filing program attorney, Children’s Law Center of California. See Jody Leibman Green & Kaveh Landsverk, American Bar Association, *Breaking the Foster Care Cycle, One Young Family at a Time* (July 1, 2021) at <https://www.americanbar.org/groups/litigation/committees/childrens-rights/articles/2021/summer2021-breaking-the-foster-care-cycle-one-young-family-at-a-time/>; see also Am. Bar Ass’n & Nat’l Council of Juv. & Fam. Ct. Judges, Judge’s Action Alert, *Supporting Early Legal Advocacy before Court Involvement in Child Welfare Cases* (March 2021), at https://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/administrative/child_law/early-legal-advocacy.pdf; Rob Wyman, *Testimony before the Maine Commission to Develop a Pilot Program to Provide Legal Representation to Families in the Child protection System* (Aug. 1, 2022) at <https://legislature.maine.gov/doc/8716> (hereinafter “Rob Wyman, Testimony”).

^B Rob Wyman, at Casey Family Programs, indicated via email correspondence that the Pritzker Pre-Filing Project will not directly represent clients on immigration issues.

^C The data presented in the chart derives from Green & Landsverk, *Breaking the Foster Care Cycle, One Young Family at a Time*, supra note A. In email correspondence, Kaveh Landsverk indicated that, as of July 2022, of 73 child clients he had served, 61 were in the custody of a parent, 3 had been placed with relatives, and 9 were in foster care.

^D Zoom interview with Hilary Kushins, Chief Program Officer at the Dependency Advocacy Center. See Family Justice Initiative, *Guide to Implementing FJI System Attributes: Attribute 4: Timing of Appointment* (2020) at <https://15ucklg5c821brpl4dycpk15-wpengine.netdna-ssl.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/48/2020/03/fji-implementation-guide-attribute4-1.pdf>.

^E Zoom interview with Hilary Kushins, Chief Program Officer at the Dependency Advocacy Center. See also Dependency Advocacy Center: First Call for Families (website) at http://www.sccdac.org/?page_id=501 (last visited Aug. 10, 2022); Rob Wyman, *Testimony*, supra note A.

^F Zoom interview with Jill Cohen, Social Worker and Director of Programs, Office of Respondent Parents’ Counsel. See also Lauren Gase, et al., *Office of Respondent Parents’ Counsel: Preventive Legal Services Implementation Guide* (May 11, 2022), at https://coloradolab.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/ORPC-Preventive-Legal-Services-Implementation-Guide_May-2022.pdf; Executive Director Melissa Michaelis Thompson, *Office of Respondent Parents’ Counsel: Fiscal Year 2022-23 Budget Request* at 28-30 (Nov. 2, 2020), at <https://coloradoorpc.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Final-ORPC-FY-2022-23-Budget-Request.pdf> (explaining that the ORPC obtains, based on its post-

Program Design and Outcomes of Selected Pre-Petition Legal Representation Programs

Commission To Develop a Pilot Program To Provide Legal Representation to Families in the Child Protection System

Revised for
Oct. 3, 2022 Meeting

petition legal representation of parents, Title IV-E reimbursement funds and uses those funds for several initiatives, including “Increasing RPC access to an interdisciplinary team, which may include social workers, parent advocates, experts, and other professionals” and “Expanding available legal services to parents and families . . . [including] during investigations . . . to address a family’s ancillary civil legal issues that may impact the removal of children and reunification, such as protective orders, housing and eviction issues, and guardianships.”).

^G The summary in the chart of Iowa Legal Aid’s Parent Representation Project is based on research current through March 4, 2021. See Iowa Legal Aid, *Parent Representation Project*, at https://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/administrative/child_law/ila-parent-rep-project.pdf (undated pamphlet); see also Amber Gilson & Michelle Jungers, American Bar Association, *Preserving Families Through High-Quality Pre-Petition Representation* (March 4, 2021) at <https://www.americanbar.org/groups/litigation/committees/childrens-rights/articles/2021/spring2021-preserving-families-through-high-quality-pre-petition-representation/>; See also Casey Family Programs, *How can pre-petition legal representation help strengthen families and keep them together?* (Feb. 13, 2020) at <https://www.casey.org/preventive-legal-support/>; Am. Bar Ass’n & Nat’l Council of Juv. & Fam. Ct. Judges, Judge’s Action Alert, *Supporting Early Legal Advocacy before Court Involvement in Child Welfare Cases*, *supra* note A; Family Justice Initiative, *Guide to Implementing FJI System Attributes: Attribute 4: Timing of Appointment*, *supra* note D.

^H Rob Wyman, at Casey Family Programs, indicated via email correspondence that the Iowa Parent Representation Pilot Project does not provide legal representation or assistance regarding immigration, workers compensation or torts issues.

^I Zoom interview with Jeff Wright, Iowa State Public Defender. See also Imprint Staff Reports, [Iowa Law to Test the Benefit of Early Legal Help in Child Welfare Cases](https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/publications/LGE/88/Attachments/SF2182_GovLetter.pdf) (July 1, 2020); see also 2020 Iowa Acts ch.1040, at https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/publications/LGE/88/Attachments/SF2182_GovLetter.pdf.

^J The Iowa State Public Defender’s Office obtained grant funding for data collection and analysis to be conducted by Iowa’s Division of Criminal & Juvenile Justice Planning, which is a research and data analytics agency within the state’s Department of Human Rights, see <https://humanrights.iowa.gov/cjpp>.

^K Zoom Interview and email correspondence with Alyssa Rao, Esq., Equal Justice Works Fellow Attorney, Greater Boston Legal Services, Family Law Unit. See also Rob Wyman, *Testimony*, *supra* note A; Lauren Gase, et al., Office of Respondent Parents’ Counsel, *Preventive Legal Services Implementation Guide*, *supra* note F, at Appx. B.

^L Zoom interview with Professor Vivek Sankaran, University of Michigan Law School. See also University of Michigan Law School, *Detroit Center for Family Advocacy Pilot Evaluation Report 7/2009 - 6/2012* (Feb. 2013); Detroit Center for Family Advocacy, U. Mich. L. Sch., *Promoting Safe and Stable Families* (2014), at <https://artscimedia.case.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/35/2014/02/14194055/CFARReport.pdf>; ; See Vivek Sankaran, *Using Preventive Legal Advocacy to Keep Children from Entering Foster Care*, 40 Wm. Mitchell L. Rev. 1036, 1042-1043 (2014) at <https://repository.law.umich.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1946&context=articles>; The Detroit Center for Family Advocacy closed in 2016 due to a lack of funding. See Vivek Sankaran, *What We Need to Protect American Families*, *The Imprint: Youth & Family News* (Oct. 30, 2018) at <https://imprintnews.org/opinion/need-protect-american-families/32590>.

The following sources of funding and technical assistance were cited in the Detroit Center for Family Advocacy’s 2014 report: Casey Family Programs, Community Foundation for Southeast Michigan, Dewitt C. Holbrook Memorial Fund, McGregor Fund, Pillsbury Family Advocacy Fund, Retired Justice Bobbe & Jon Bridge, Skillman Foundation, Quicken Loans Foundation and W.K. Kellogg Foundation. The center also received an in-kind donation of administrative support from the University of Michigan Law School.

^M The Detroit Center for Family Advocacy assisted with powers of attorney, parking tickets, central registry expunctions and educational advocacy.

^N LSNJ’s program was formerly known as the Family Representation Project. See Gianna Giordano & Jey Rajaraman, American Bar Association, *Increasing Pre-Petition Legal Advocacy to Keep Families Together* (Dec. 15, 2020), at <https://www.americanbar.org/groups/litigation/committees/childrens-rights/articles/2020/winter2021-increasing-pre-petition-legal-advocacy-to-keep-families-together/>; see also Casey Family Programs, *How can pre-petition legal representation help strengthen families and keep them together?*, *supra* note G; Family Justice Initiative, *Guide to Implementing FJI System Attributes: Attribute 4: Timing of Appointment*, *supra* note D; Am. Bar Ass’n & Nat’l Council of Juv. & Fam. Ct. Judges, Judge’s Action Alert, *Supporting Early Legal Advocacy before Court Involvement in Child Welfare Cases*, *supra* note B.

Additional information about LSNJ’s program was provided via email by Rob Wyman, of Casey Family Programs.

Program Design and Outcomes of Selected Pre-Petition Legal Representation Programs

Commission To Develop a Pilot Program To Provide Legal Representation to Families in the Child Protection System

Revised for
Oct. 3, 2022 Meeting

^O Email correspondence with Emma Ketteringham, Managing Director, Family Defense Practice, The Bronx Defenders. *See also* The Bronx Defenders: Family Defense Practice (website) at <https://www.bronxdefenders.org/our-work/family-defense-practice/> (last visited Aug. 11, 2022); *see also* Lauren Gase, et al., *Office of Respondent Parents' Counsel: Preventive Legal Services Implementation Guide*, *supra* note F, at Appx. B; Am. Bar Ass'n & Nat'l Council of Juv. & Fam. Ct. Judges, Judge's Action Alert, *Supporting Early Legal Advocacy before Court Involvement in Child Welfare Cases*, *supra* note B; Family Justice Initiative, *Guide to Implementing FJI System Attributes: Attribute 4: Timing of Appointment*, *supra* note D.

^P Social workers and parent advocates attend safety conferences with their clients at the Administration for Children's Services (ACS); while local policy prohibits attorneys from attending ACS safety conferences, an attorney at The Bronx Defenders who is dedicated to pre-petition legal representation oversees the social workers and parent advocates and provides additional legal assistance to pre-petition clients.

^Q Zoom interview with Malena Arnaud, Social Work Supervisor, Center for Family Representation, Inc., Community Advocacy Project. *See also* Center for Family Representation: Community Advocacy Project (website) at <https://cfrny.org/community-advocacy-project/more-about-cap/> (last visited Aug. 10, 2022); *see also* Elizabeth Fassler & Wanjiro Gethaiga, *Representing Parents During Child Welfare Investigations: Precourt Advocacy Strategies*, 30 Child L. Practice 17 (2011) at <https://cfrny.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Representing-Parents-During-Child-Welfare-Investigations-April-2011.pdf>; Am. Bar Ass'n & Nat'l Council of Juv. & Fam. Ct. Judges, Judge's Action Alert, *Supporting Early Legal Advocacy before Court Involvement in Child Welfare Cases*, *supra* note B.

^R A social work service model was chosen for the Community Advocacy Project because current local policy prevents ACS caseworkers from speaking to parents' attorneys without counsel present. The Center for Family Representation, which houses the Community Advocacy Project, is one of several contracted providers of indigent parent representation in child protection cases in NYC and, in that capacity, has attorneys that specialize in housing, immigration and criminal matters who can, on occasion, assist clients in the Community Advocacy Project. While the Center assists clients in obtaining orders of protection, it does not provide legal representation in custody matters.

^S The Center for Family Representation earlier operated a Community Advocacy Team program, through which parents who were the subject of an investigation were provided the assistance an attorney, social worker and parent advocate. Between July 2007 and November 2010, CFR's Community Advocacy Teams successfully prevented court filings in 70% of their cases. In addition, foster care placements were successfully avoided in 90% of the cases where petitions were filed. *See* Elizabeth Fassler & Wanjiro Gethaiga, *Representing Parents During Child Welfare Investigations: Precourt Advocacy Strategies*, 30 Child L. Practice 17 (2011) at <https://cfrny.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Representing-Parents-During-Child-Welfare-Investigations-April-2011.pdf>.

^T Email correspondence with Ronald Baze, General Counsel, Oklahoma Department of Human Services. *See also* Oklahoma Human Services Waypoint Podcast Episode 5: *OKDHS and Legal Aid Services of Oklahoma help families engaged with the child welfare system navigate legal issues* (Sept. 21, 2021) at <https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/waypoint-podcast-episode-5-okdhs-and-legal-aid/id1566960281?i=1000536190430>; Am. Bar Ass'n & Nat'l Council of Juv. & Fam. Ct. Judges, Judge's Action Alert, *Supporting Early Legal Advocacy before Court Involvement in Child Welfare Cases*, *supra* note B; Casey Family Programs, *How can pre-petition legal representation help strengthen families and keep them together?*, *supra* note G; Lauren Gase, et al., *Office of Respondent Parents' Counsel: Preventive Legal Services Implementation Guide*, *supra* note F, at Appx. B.

^U Zoom interview and email correspondence with Trine Bech, founder and former Executive Director, Vermont Parent Representation Center, Inc.; *see* Vermont Parent Representation Center, Inc., *Bending the Curve to Improve Our Child Protection System: A Multiyear Analysis of Vermont's Child Protection System & Recommendations for Improvement* at 40-47 (Nov. 14, 2018), at <https://www.vtprc.org/2018/11/14/bending-the-curve-to-improve-our-child-protection-system-report/>; *see also* Vivek Sankaran, *Using Preventive Legal Advocacy to Keep Children from Entering Foster Care*, *supra* note L, at 1042-1043.

^V Zoom interview and email correspondence with Trine Bech, founder and former Executive Director, Vermont Parent Representation Center, Inc.; *see* Vermont Parent Representation Center, Inc., *Rapid Intervention Prenatal Program* (website) at <https://www.vtprc.org/rapid-intervention-prenatal-program/> (last visited Aug. 13, 2022); Vermont Parent Representation Center, Inc., *Bending the Curve to Improve Our Child Protection System*, *supra* note U.

^W Zoom interview and email correspondence with Gina Cumbo, Vice President for Innovation & Impact at the Center for Children & Youth Justice and Matthew Boyle, retired Family Advocacy Center Project Attorney from the Northwest Justice Project. *See also* Casey Family Programs, *How can pre-petition legal representation help strengthen families and keep them together?*, *supra* note G; Lauren Gase, et al., *Office of Respondent Parents' Counsel: Preventive Legal Services Implementation Guide*, *supra* note F, at
Prepared by nonpartisan legislative staff

Program Design and Outcomes of Selected Pre-Petition Legal Representation Programs

Commission To Develop a Pilot Program To Provide Legal Representation to Families in the Child Protection System

Revised for
Oct. 3, 2022 Meeting

Appx. B. The Family Advocacy Center project provided ancillary civil legal assistance, social work and parent ally support both to pre-petition clients and to post-petition clients (who were separately represented by a public defender in the child protection proceeding). The chart focuses on pre-petition work.

^X The Center for Children & Youth Justice (CCYJ) is a private non-profit organization that developed the Family Advocacy Center (FAC) pilot project in conjunction with a group of stakeholders, including the state's Department of Children, Youth and Families. CCYJ also provided funding and oversight for the FAC as well as social work services. CCYJ contracted with the state's largest legal aid provider, the Northwest Justice Project, to provide attorney services and with King County's branch of Parents for Parents to provide parent allies. While stakeholders originally anticipated that housing and public benefits assistance would be the most commonly required civil legal service, family law issues (restrictive parenting orders, guardianships, protection orders, etc.) were in fact the most common service required. The Northwest Justice Project attorney primarily provided legal advice and drafting assistance, with direct in-court representation rare and dependent on the ability of the client to engage in self-advocacy or, for example, on the severity of domestic violence in the case. Parent allies were able to assist clients in navigating the court process when direct in-court legal representation was not provided.

In cases where obtaining a guardianship order (these were called "non-parental custody" and not guardianship orders at the time of the pilot project) was identified as the critical civil legal issue, the Northwest Justice Project attorney represented the relative (usually a grandparent) seeking the guardianship and not the parent(s) of the child.

^Y Zoom interview and email correspondence with Talia AyAy, Vice President and Executive Director of the F.I.R.S.T. Clinic. *See also* Adam Ballout & Melinda L. Drawing, American Bar Association, The F.I.R.S.T. Legal Clinic: A New Frontier of Partnerships to Stop Trauma (July 14, 2022) at <https://www.americanbar.org/groups/litigation/committees/childrens-rights/articles/2022/summer2022-the-first-legal-clinic/>; *see also* Am. Bar Ass'n & Nat'l Council of Juv. & Fam. Ct. Judges, Judge's Action Alert, *Supporting Early Legal Advocacy before Court Involvement in Child Welfare Cases*, *supra* note B; Casey Family Programs, *How can pre-petition legal representation help strengthen families and keep them together?*, *supra* note G; Rob Wyman, *Testimony*, *supra* note A.