APPENDIX F

Minimum Data Set, Resident Assessment and Care Screening

Date

MINIMUM DATA SET (MDS) - Version 3.0 RESIDENT ASSESSMENT AND CARE SCREENING Nursing Home Comprehensive (NC) Item Set

Sectio	n /	Identification Information
A0050. 1	Туре	e of Record
Enter Code		 Add new record → Continue to A0100, Facility Provider Numbers Modify existing record → Continue to A0100, Facility Provider Numbers Inactivate existing record → Skip to X0150, Type of Provider
A0100. F	aci	lity Provider Numbers
	A.	National Provider Identifier (NPI):
	B	CMS Certification Number (CCN):
	D.	
	c.	State Provider Number:
A0200. I	<u>01. 5</u> 19 1	e of Provider
Enter Code	Ту	pe of provider 1. Nursing home (SNF/NF)
		2. Swing Bed
A0310. 1	Гур	e of Assessment
Enter Code	Α.	Federal OBRA Reason for Assessment
		01. Admission assessment (required by day 14)
		02. Quarterly review assessment CATs RUG IV 03. Annual assessment CATs RUG IV
		04. Significant change in status assessment
		05. Significant correction to prior comprehensive assessment
		06. Significant correction to prior quarterly assessment
		99. None of the above
Enter Code	в.	PPS Assessment PPS Scheduled Assessments for a Medicare Part A Stay
		01. 5-day scheduled assessment
		02. 14-day scheduled assessment
		03. 30-day scheduled assessment
		04. 60-day scheduled assessment 05. 90-day scheduled assessment
		06. Readmission/return assessment
	-	PPS Unscheduled Assessments for a Medicare Part A Stay
		07. Unscheduled assessment used for PPS (OMRA, significant or clinical change, or significant correction assessment) Not PPS Assessment
		99. None of the above
F-t-C	с.	PPS Other Medicare Required Assessment - OMRA
Enter Code		0. No
	-	1. Start of therapy assessment
		 2. End of therapy assessment 3. Both Start and End of therapy assessment
		4. Change of therapy assessment
Enter Code	D.	Is this a Swing Bed clinical change assessment? Complete only if A0200 = 2
		0. No
		1. Yes
A031	Осо	ntinued on next page

Identifier

Section A Identification Information	
A0310. Type of Assessment - Continued	
Enter Code E. Is this assessment the first assessment (OBRA, Scheduled PPS, or Discharge) since the most 0. No 1. Yes	recent admission/entry or reentry?
EnterCode F. Entry/discharge reporting 01. Entry tracking record 01. Discharge assessment-return not anticipated 11. Discharge assessment-return anticipated 11. Discharge assessment-return anticipated 12. Death in facility tracking record 99. None of the above	
Enter Code G. Type of discharge - Complete only if A0310F = 10 or 11 1. Planned 2. Unplanned	
A0410. Submission Requirement	
Enter Code 1. Neither federal nor state required submission 2. State but not federal required submission (FOR NURSING HOMES ONLY) 3. Federal required submission	
A0500. Legal Name of Resident	
A. First name:	B. Middle initial:
C. Last name:	D. Suffix:
A0600. Social Security and Medicare Numbers	
A. Social Security Number:	
B. Medicare number (or comparable railroad insurance number):	
A0700. Medicaid Number - Enter "+" if pending, "N" if not a Medicaid recipient	
A0800. Gender	
EnterCode 1. Male 2. Female	n naman kan manan banar manan tanggapaté ng lalanggapi terdi sa panggapat ban sa sa pangangan pang sa sa panga
A0900. Birth Date	
Month Day Year	one (17. junior 20. junior de la serie
A1000. Race/Ethnicity	
Check all that apply	enten zien zu deze generalisetzen zen eta
A. American Indian or Alaska Native	······································
B. Asian	
C. Black or African American	
D. Hispanic or Latinó	
E. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	
F. White	
MDS 3.0 Nursing Home Comprehensive (NC) Version 1.11.2 Effective 10/01/2013	Page 2 of 41

lesident	Identifier Date
Section A Identification Informatio	n
A1100. Language	
A. Does the resident need or want an interpreter to commu 0. No 1. Yes → Specify in A1100B, Preferred language 9. Unable to determine B. Preferred language:	inicate with a doctor or health care staff?
A1200. Marital Status	
Enter:Code 1. Never married 2. Married 3. Widowed 3. Widowed 4. Separated 5. Divorced 5. Divorced	
A1300. Optional Resident Items	
 A. Medical record number: B. Room number: C. Name by which resident prefers to be addressed: 	
D. Lifetime occupation(s) - put "/" between two occupations:	
A 1500. Preadmission Screening and Resident Review (PASRR) Complete only if A0310A = 01, 03, 04, or 05 EnterCode Is the resident currently considered by the state level II PAS	RR process to have serious mental illness and/or intellectual disability
 ("mental retardation" in federal regulation) or a related com 0. No → Skip to A1550, Conditions Related to ID/DD State 1. Yes → Continue to A1510, Level II Preadmission Scree 9. Not a Medicaid-certified unit → Skip to A1550, Cordinate 	atus eening and Resident Review (PASRR) Conditions
A 1510. Level II Preadmission Screening and Resident Review (F Complete only if A0310A = 01, 03, 04, or 05 Check all that apply	
A. Serious mental illness	
B. Intellectual Disability ("mental retardation" in federal re	gulation)
C. Other related conditions	

Dec	: പ	+
Res	ICI	ent

Section A Identification Information
A1550. Conditions Related to ID/DD Status If the resident is 22 years of age or older, complete only if A0310A = 01 If the resident is 21 years of age or younger, complete only if A0310A = 01, 03, 04, or 05
Check all conditions that are related to ID/DD status that were manifested before age 22, and are likely to continue indefinitely
ID/DD With Organic Condition
A. Down syndrome
B. Autism
C. Epilepsy
D. Other organic condition related to ID/DD
ID/DD Without Organic Condition
E. ID/DD with no organic condition
NoID/DD
Z. None of the above
A1600. Entry Date (date of this admission/entry or reentry into the facility)
Month Day Year
A1700. Type of Entry
EnterCode 1. Admission
2. Reentry
A1800. Entered From
01. Community (private home/apt., board/care, assisted living, group home)
02. Another nursing home or swing bed 03. Acute hospital
04. Psychiatric hospital
05. Inpatient rehabilitation facility
06. ID/DD facility 07. Hospice
09. Long Term Care Hospital (LTCH)
99. Other
A2000. Discharge Date
Complete only if A0310F = 10, 11, or 12
Month Day Year
A2100. Discharge Status
Complete only if A0310F = 10, 11, or 12
Enter Code 01. Community (private home/apt., board/care, assisted living, group home) 02. Another nursing home or swing bed
03. Acute hospital
04. Psychiatric hospital
05. Inpatient rehabilitation facility 06. ID/DD facility
07. Hospice
08. Deceased
09. Long Term Care Hospital (LTCH) 99. Other

	ent	

										e .	
Sectior	ı A		lde	ntificati	on Info	rmation					
A2200. Pi Complete					e for Signi	ficant Correctio	on				
			Day						-		
A2300, A				CC Chiagan Crimer in							
		ration en	d date:]-[Year			CATs	RUG IV RUG IH		
A2400. M	ade i Streighte of	nation particulation and					i de la composition d La composition de la c				
Enter Code	0. 1.	No →Sl Yes → (kip to B01 Continue t	00, Comatose o A2400B, Sta	irt date of m	ost recent Medica	· ·		-		
		Ionth	Day	ent Medicare	e stay:				RUG-IV		
		date of	most rece		stay - Enter ear	dashes if stay is o	ngoing:		RUE IV		

ldentifier

Look back period for all items is 7 days unless another time frame is indicated
Section B Hearing, Speech, and Vision
B0100. Comatose
Enter Code Persistent vegetative state/no discernible consciousness 0. No → Continue to B0200, Hearing RUG IV 1. Yes → Skip to G0110, Activities of Daily Living (ADL) Assistance
B0200. Hearing
Ability to hear (with hearing aid or hearing appliances if normally used) 0. Adequate - no difficulty in normal conversation, social interaction, listening to TV 1. Minimal difficulty - difficulty in some environments (e.g., when person speaks softly or setting is noisy) 2. Moderate difficulty - speaker has to increase volume and speak distinctly 3. Highly impaired - absence of useful hearing
B0300. Hearing Aid
Enter Code Hearing aid or other hearing appliance used in completing B0200, Hearing 0. No 1. Yes
B0600. Speech Clarity
Select best description of speech pattern 0. Clear speech - distinct intelligible words 1. Unclear speech - slurred or mumbled words 2. No speech - absence of spoken words
B0700. Makes Self Understood
EnterCode Ability to express ideas and wants, consider both verbal and non-verbal expression CATS RUG IV RUG II 0. Understood 1. Usually understood - difficulty communicating some words or finishing thoughts but is able if prompted or given time 2. Sometimes understood - ability is limited to making concrete requests 3. Rarely/never understood
B0800. Ability To Understand Others
Enter Gode Understanding verbal content, however able (with hearing aid or device if used) 0. Understands - clear comprehension 1. Usually understands - misses some part/intent of message but comprehends most conversation 2. Sometimes understands - responds adequately to simple, direct communication only 3. Rarely/never understands
B1000. Vision
Ability to see in adequate light (with glasses or other visual appliances) 0. Adequate - sees fine detail, such as regular print in newspapers/books 1. Impaired - sees large print, but not regular print in newspapers/books 2. Moderately impaired - limited vision; not able to see newspaper headlines but can identify objects 3. Highly impaired - object identification in question, but eyes appear to follow objects 4. Severely impaired - no vision or sees only light, colors or shapes; eyes do not appear to follow objects
B1200. Corrective Lenses
EnterCode Corrective lenses (contacts, glasses, or magnifying glass) used in completing B1000, Vision 0. No 1. Yes

Identifier

Date

	Date
Section C Cognitive Patterns	
C0100. Should Brief Interview for Mental Status (C0200-C0500) be Condu	uctoda
Attempt to conduct interview with all residents	Acteor
EnterCode 0. No (resident is rarely/never understood) -> Skip to and complete C0	700-C1000, Staff Assessment for Mental Status
1. Yes> Continue to C0200, Repetition of Three Words	
Brief Interview for Mental Status (BIMS)	
C0200. Repetition of Three Words	
Ask resident: "I am going to say three words for you to remember.	Please repeat the words after I have said all three
The words are: sock, blue, and bed. Now tell me the three words	c "
Number of words repeated after first attempt	
0. None	
	RUG W RUG III
1. One	
2. Two	
3. Three	
After the resident's first attempt, repeat the words using cues ("sock	, something to wear; blue, a color; bed, a piece
of furniture"). You may repeat the words up to two more times.	
C0300. Temporal Orientation (orientation to year, month, and day)	
Ask resident: "Please tell me what year it is right now."	
EnterCode A. Able to report correct year	
0. Missed by > 5 years or no answer	
1. Missed by 2-5 years	RUG IV RUG III
2. Missed by 1 year	
3. Correct	
Ask resident: "What month are we in right now?"	
	RUS W RUS DU
0. Missed by > 1 month or no answer	
1. Missed by 6 days to 1 month	
2. Accurate within 5 days	
Ask resident: "What day of the week is today?"	
Enter Code C. Able to report correct day of the week	RUG IV RUG III.
0. Incorrect or no answer	RUG IV RUG II
1. Correct	
C0400. Recall	
Ask resident: "Let's go back to an earlier question. What were tho	se three words that I asked you to repeat?"
If unable to remember a word, give cue (something to wear; a color;	a piece of furniture) for that word.
EnterCode A. Able to recall "sock"	
0. No - could not recall	
1. Yes, after cueing ("something to wear")	RUG IV RUG III
2. Yes, no cue required	
EnterCode: B. Able to recall "blue"	
0. No - could not recall	
1. Yes, after cueing ("a color")	RUG IV RUG III
2. Yes, no cue required	
EnterCode C. Able to recall "bed"	
0. No - could not recall	RUG IV RUG III
1. Yes, after cueing ("a piece of furniture")	
2. Yes, no cue required	
C0500. Summary Score	
Add scores for questions C0200-C0400 and fill in total score (00-15)	
Enter 99 if the resident was unable to complete the interview	CATS RUG IV RUG III

MDS 3.0 Nursing Home Comprehensive (NC) Version 1.11.2 Effective 10/01/2013

Resident	Identifier	Date
Section C	Cognitive Patterns	
C0600. Should the Staff As	sessment for Mental Status (C0700 - C1000) be Conducte	ed?
	vas able to complete interview) \rightarrow Skip to C1300, Signs and Symp was unable to complete interview) \rightarrow Continue to C0700, Short-te	
Staff Assessment for Menta	Status	
Do not conduct if Brief Interview	for Mental Status (C0200-C0500) was completed	
C0700. Short-term Memory	ΟΚ	医肌管 化合金管理合金管理合金
EnterCode Content of the set of	recall after 5 minutes plem	CATS RUG IV RUG III
C0800. Long-term Memory	OK	
Enter:Code 0. Memory OK 1. Memory prob		CATE
C0900. Memory/Recall Abil	ity	
↓ Check all that the reside	nt was normally able to recall	
A. Current season	·	
B. Location of own	room	
C. Staff names and	faces	
D. That he or she is	in a nursing home	
Z. None of the abo	/e were recalled	nazir. Presina kumin tenirim, 10 talen termina zu sezionen ruhten. Daiezain merzen katerteni operaziete
C1000. Cognitive Skills for	a ni Mandrei Mandrei Mandrei Angela an	
0. Independent 1. Modified ind 2. Moderately i	arding tasks of daily life - decisions consistent/réasonable ependence - some difficulty in new situations only mpaired - decisions poor; cues/supervision required aired - never/rarely made decisions	CAT: RUG IV RUG III
Delirium C1300. Signs and Symptom	s of Delizium (from CAMo)	
	rview for Mental Status or Staff Assessment, and reviewing medica	al record
	↓ Enter Codes in Boxes	
Coding:	A. Inattention - Did the resident have difficulty focusi difficulty following what was said)?	
0. Behavior not present 1. Behavior continuously	B. Disorganized thinking - Was the resident's thinkin conversation, unclear or illogical flow of ideas, or ur	
present, does not fluctuate 2. Behavior present, fluctuates (comes and goes, changes in severity)	C. Altered level of consciousness - Did the resident h startled easily to any sound or touch; lethargic - rej responded to voice or touch; stuporous - very diffi- comatose - could not be aroused)?	peatedly dozed off when being asked questions, but
	D. Psychomotor retardation - Did the resident have a sluggishness, staring into space, staying in one pos	
C1600, Acute Onset Mental		
EnterCode Is there evidence of 0. No 1. Yes	an acute change in mental status from the resident's baseline?	CATs

Copyright © 1990 Annals of Internal Medicine. All rights reserved. Adapted with permission. MDS 3.0 Nursing Home Comprehensive (NC) Version 1.11.2 Effective 10/01/2013

Resident	Identifier	Date
Section D Mood		
D0100. Should Resident Mood Interview	be Conducted? – Attempt to conduct interview wit	h-all residents
EnterCode (PHQ-9-OV)	erstood) —> Skip to and complete D0500-D0600, Staff A	ssessment of Resident Mood
1. Yes \rightarrow Continue to D0200, Re	sident Mood Interview (PHQ-9©)	
D0200. Resident Mood Interview (PHC	e9©) ave you been bothered by any of the followin	a problome?"
If symptom is present, enter 1 (yes) in column		g problems:
If yes in column 1, then ask the resident: "Abc	out how often have you been bothered by this?" ymptom frequency choices. Indicate response in co	olumn 2, Symptom Frequency.
	Symptom Frequency	1:
0. No (enter 0 in column 2) 1. Yes (enter 0-3 in column 2)	 Never or 1 day 2-6 days (several days) 	Symptom Symptom
9. No response (leave column 2	2. 7-11 days (half or more of the days)	Presence Frequency
blank)	3. 12-14 days (nearly every day)	Enter Scores in Boxes
A. Little interest or pleasure in doing thing	S	CATS RUG IV RUG III
B. Feeling down, depressed, or hopeless		
B. Feeling down, depressed, or noperess		
C. Trouble falling or staying asleep, or slee	ping too much	
D. Feeling tired or having little energy		
E. Poor appetite or overeating		
F. Feeling bad about yourself - or that you down	are a failure or have let yourself or your family	
G. Trouble concentrating on things, such a	s reading the newspaper or watching television	RUG IV RUG III
	r people could have noticed. Or the opposite -	
being so fidgety or restless that you hav	re been moving around a lot more than usual	
	ead, or of hurting yourself in some way	CATS RUG IV RUG III
D0300. Total Severity Score		
	ponses in Column 2, Symptom Frequency. Total sc erview (i.e., Symptom Frequency is blank for 3 or mc	
D0350. Safety Notification - Complete only	if D020011 = 1 indicating possibility of resident self	harm
Enter Code Was responsible staff or provider in 0. No 1. Yes	formed that there is a potential for resident self harm	<u>, man an ann an ann an an an an an an an</u> a' Ann an An Ann an Ann an

Copyright © Pfizer Inc. All rights reserved. Reproduced with permission. MDS 3.0 Nursing Home Comprehensive (NC) Version 1.11.2 Effective 10/01/2013



Resident	Identifier		Date		é
Section D Mood					
D0500. Staff Assessment of Resident Mood Do not conduct if Resident Mood Interview (D0200 Over the last 2 weeks, did the resident have any	-D0300) was completed				
If symptom is present, enter 1 (yes) in column 1, Syn Then move to column 2, Symptom Frequency, and	mptom Presence.		······································		
1. Symptom Presence2.0. No (enter 0 in column 2)1. Yes (enter 0-3 in column 2)	 Symptom Frequency 0. Never or 1 day 1. 2-6 days (several days) 2. 7-11 days (half or more of the days) 3. 12-14 days (nearly every day) 	1-75-1-10 Hold Hold	1. /mptom resence ↓ Enter Sco	2 Symj Frequ res in Boxes	otom Iency
A. Little interest or pleasure in doing things		CATs		RUG IV	RUG III
B. Feeling or appearing down, depressed, or h	opeless			RUG IV	RUG III
C. Trouble falling or staying asleep, or sleeping	g too much			RUGIV	RUG III
D. Feeling tired or having little energy				RUG IV	RLIG III
E. Poor appetite or overeating				RUGIV	RUG III
F. Indicating that s/he feels bad about self, is a	failure, or has let self or family down			RUGIV	RUG III
G. Trouble concentrating on things, such as rea	ading the newspaper or watching television			RUGIV	RUG 111
H. Moving or speaking so slowly that other peo or restless that s/he has been moving aroun	ople have noticed. Or the opposite - being so fidgety Id a lot more than usual			RUS IV.	RUG III
I. States that life isn't worth living, wishes for a	death, or attempts to harm self	CAT5		RUG IV	RUG III
J. Being short-tempered, easily annoyed				RUG IV	RUG III
D0600. Total Severity Score					
Add scores for all frequency response	es in Column 2 , Symptom Frequency. Total score must be	e betwee	en 00 and 30	· CATE RUG	ty rug hi
D0650. Safety Notification - Complete only i	if D0500I1 = 1 indicating possibility of resident self h	iarm			
Enter:Code Was responsible staff or provider info 0. No 1. Yes	ormed that there is a potential for resident self harm?				

Iden	tifier
iucii	unei

Section E Behavior	
E0100. Potential Indicators of Psychosis	
🗼 Check all that apply	
A. Hallucinations (perceptual experience	ces in the absence of real external sensory stimuli)
B. Delusions (misconceptions or beliefs	that are firmly held, contrary to reality)
Z. None of the above	
Behavioral Symptoms	
E0200. Behavioral Symptom - Presence & Fr	equency
Note presence of symptoms and their frequency	
	↓ Enter Codes in Boxes
Coding: 0. Behavior not exhibited	A. Physical behavioral symptoms directed toward others (e.g., hitting, kicking, pushing, scratching, grabbing, abusing others sexually) CATE RUG IV RU
 Denavior not exhibited Behavior of this type occurred 1 to 3 days Behavior of this type occurred 4 to 6 days, 	B. Verbal behavioral symptoms directed toward others (e.g., threatening others, screaming at others, cursing at others)
but less than daily	C. Other behavioral symptoms not directed toward others (e.g., physical
3. Behavior of this type occurred daily	symptoms such as hitting or scratching self, pacing, rummaging, public
	sexual acts, disrobing in public, throwing or smearing food or bodily wastes, or verbal/vocal symptoms like screaming, disruptive sounds)
E0300. Overall Presence of Behavioral Symp	
EnterCode: Were any behavioral symptoms in que	estions E0200 coded 1, 2, or 3?
0. No → Skip to E0800, Rejection of 1. Yes → Considering all of E0200, I	f Care Behavioral Symptoms, answer E0500 and E0600 below
E0500. Impact on Resident	
Did any of the identified symptom(s):	
EnterCode A. Put the resident at significant risk f	for physical illness or injury?
0. No	
EnterCode B. Significantly interfere with the resi	ident's care?
0. No	
1. Yes	
EnterGode C. Significantly interfere with the resi	ident's participation in activities or social interactions?
1. Yes	
E0600. Impact on Others	
Did any of the identified symptom(s):	
Enter Code A. Put others at significant risk for ph	ysical injury?
0. No 1. Yes	
Enter Code B. Significantly intrude on the privacy	y or activity of others?
0. No	
1. Yes	42
EnterCode C. Significantly disrupt care or living e	environment?
1. Yes	e
E0800. Rejection of Care - Presence & Frequ	ency
Enter Code 1. Behavior of this type occurred 1	
2. Behavior of this type occurred 4 3. Behavior of this type occurred d	to 6 days, but less than daily

Identifier
luenunei

Section	E Behavior
E0900. Wai	ndering - Presence & Frequency
Enter:Code-Ha	as the resident wandered? 0. Behavior not exhibited → Skip to E1100, Change in Behavioral or Other Symptoms 1. Behavior of this type occurred 1 to 3 days 2. Behavior of this type occurred 4 to 6 days, but less than daily 3. Behavior of this type occurred daily
E1000. Wai	ndering - Impact
	 Does the wandering place the resident at significant risk of getting to a potentially dangerous place (e.g., stairs, outside of the facility)? 0. No 1. Yes Does the wandering significantly intrude on the privacy or activities of others?
	0. No 1. Yes
	nge in Behavior or Other Symptoms fithe symptoms assessed in items E0100 through E1000
the particulation and any analysis of	ow does resident's current behavior status, care rejection, or wandering compare to prior assessment (OBRA or Scheduled PPS)? 0. Same 1. Improved 2. Worse 3. N/A because no prior MDS assessment

Identifier

Date

Section F Preference	ces for Customary Routine and Activities					
F0300. Should Interview for Daily and A If resident is unable to complete, attempt to	F0300. Should Interview for Daily and Activity Preferences be Conducted? - Attempt to interview all residents able to communicate. If resident is unable to complete, attempt to complete interview with family member or significant other					
Enter Code 0. No (resident is rarely/never ur Assessment of Daily and Activ 1. Yes → Continue to F0400, lr						
F0400. Interview for Daily Preference						
Show resident the response options and say	/: "While you are in this facility"					
	Enter Codes in Boxes					
	A. how important is it to you to choose what clothes to wear?					
Coding:	B. how important is it to you to take care of your personal belongings or things ?					
1. Very important 2. Somewhat important	C. how important is it to you to choose between a tub bath, shower, bed bath, or sponge bath?					
 Not very important Not important at all 	D. how important is it to you to have snacks available between meals?					
5. Important, but can't do or no choice	E. how important is it to you to choose your own bedtime?					
9. No response or non-responsive	F. how important is it to you to have your family or a close friend involved in discussions about your care?					
	G. how important is it to you to be able to use the phone in private?					
	H. how important is it to you to have a place to lock your things to keep them safe?					
F0500. Interview for Activity Prefere						
Show resident the response options and say						
	Enter Codes in Boxes					
	A. how important is it to you to have books, newspapers, and magazines to read?					
Coding:	B. how important is it to you to listen to music you like?					
1. Very important 2. Somewhat important	C. how important is it to you to be around animals such as pets?					
 Not very important Not important at all 	D. how important is it to you to keep up with the news?					
5. Important, but can't do or no choice	E. how important is it to you to do things with groups of people?					
9. No response or non-responsive	<i>F.</i> how important is it to you to do your favorite activities ?					
	G. how important is it to you to go outside to get fresh air when the weather is good?					
	H. how important is it to you to participate in religious services or practices?					
F0600. Daily and Activity Preferences Primary Respondent						
Enter Code 1. Resident	ily and Activity Preferences (F0400 and F0500)					

2. Family or significant other (close friend or other representative)

L

Interview could not be completed by resident or family/significant other ("No response" to 3 or more items")



Sectio	on F	Preferences for Customary Routine and Ac	tivities		
F0700.	F0700. Should the Staff Assessment of Daily and Activity Preferences be Conducted?				
Enter-Code	 Enter Code O. No (because Interview for Daily and Activity Preferences (F0400 and F0500) was completed by resident or family/significant other) → Skip to and complete G0110, Activities of Daily Living (ADL) Assistance Yes (because 3 or more items in Interview for Daily and Activity Preferences (F0400 and F0500) were not completed by resident or family/significant other) → Continue to F0800, Staff Assessment of Daily and Activity Preferences 				
F0800. 5	Staff	Assessment of Daily and Activity Preferences			
Bard and the second of the		t if Interview for Daily and Activity Preferences (F0400-F0500) was completed			
Residen	it Pre	fers:			
↓ ci	heck	all that apply	-		
	Α.	Choosing clothes to wear			
	В.	Caring for personal belongings			
	с.	Receiving tub bath			
	D.	Receiving shower			
	Ε.	Receiving bed bath			
	F.	Receiving sponge bath			
	G.	Snacks between meals			
	н.	Staying up past 8:00 p.m.			
	1.	Family or significant other involvement in care discussions			
	J.	Use of phone in private			
	к.	Place to lock personal belongings	· · ·		
	L.	Reading books, newspapers, or magazines	CATs		
	M.	Listening to music	CATE		
	N.	Being around animals such as pets	CATS		
	0.	Keeping up with the news	CATs		
	Р.	P. Doing things with groups of people			
	Q. Participating in favorite activities				
	R.	Spending time away from the nursing home	CATE		
	5.	Spending time outdoors	CATs		
	т.	Participating in religious activities or practices	CATs		
	Z.	None of the above	L		

MDS 3.0 Nursing Home Comprehensive (NC) Version 1.11.2 Effective 10/01/2013

Page 14 of 41

Section G Functional Status

G0110. Activities of Daily Living (ADL) Assistance Refer to the ADL flow chart in the RAI manual to facilitate accurate coding

Instructions for Rule of 3

- When an activity occurs three times at any one given level, code that level.
- When an activity occurs three times at multiple levels, code the most dependent, exceptions are total dependence (4), activity must require full assist every time, and activity did not occur (8), activity must not have occurred at all. Example, three times extensive assistance (3) and three times limited assistance (2), code extensive assistance (3).
- When an activity occurs at various levels, but not three times at any given level, apply the following:
- $^{\circ}$ When there is a combination of full staff performance, and extensive assistance, code extensive assistance.

• When there is a combination of full staff performance, weight bearing assistance and/or non-weight bearing assistance code limited assistance (2). If none of the above are met, code supervision.

1. ADL Self-Performance

Code for **resident's performance** over all shifts - not including setup. If the ADL activity occurred 3 or more times at various levels of assistance, code the most dependent - except for total dependence, which requires full staff performance every time

Coding:

Activity Occurred 3 or More Times

- 0. Independent no help or staff oversight at any time
- 1. Supervision oversight, encouragement or cueing
- 2. Limited assistance resident highly involved in activity; staff provide guided maneuvering of limbs or other non-weight-bearing assistance
- 3. Extensive assistance resident involved in activity, staff provide weight-bearing support
- 4. Total dependence full staff performance every time during entire 7-day period

Activity Occurred 2 or Fewer Times

- 7. Activity occurred only once or twice activity did occur but only once or twice
- 8. Activity did not occur activity did not occur or family and/or non-facility staff provided care 100% of the time for that activity over the entire 7-day period
- **A.** Bed mobility how resident moves to and from lying position, turns side to side, and positions body while in bed or alternate sleep furniture
- B. Transfer how resident moves between surfaces including to or from: bed, chair, wheelchair, standing position (excludes to/from bath/toilet)
- C. Walk in room how resident walks between locations in his/her room
- D. Walk in corridor how resident walks in corridor on unit
- E. Locomotion on unit how resident moves between locations in his/her room and adjacent corridor on same floor. If in wheelchair, self-sufficiency once in chair
- F. Locomotion off unit how resident moves to and returns from off-unit locations (e.g., areas set aside for dining, activities or treatments). If facility has only one floor, how resident moves to and from distant areas on the floor. If in wheelchair, self-sufficiency once in chair
- **G. Dressing** how resident puts on, fastens and takes off all items of clothing, including donning/removing a prosthesis or TED hose. Dressing includes putting on and changing pajamas and housedresses
- H. Eating how resident eats and drinks, regardless of skill. Do not include eating/drinking during medication pass. Includes intake of nourishment by other means (e.g., tube feeding, total parenteral nutrition, IV fluids administered for nutrition or hydration)
- Toilet use how resident uses the toilet room, commode, bedpan, or urinal; transfers on/off toilet; cleanses self after elimination; changes pad; manages ostomy or catheter; and adjusts clothes. Do not include emptying of bedpan, urinal, bedside commode, catheter bag or ostomy bag
- J. Personal hygiene how resident maintains personal hygiene, including combing hair, brushing teeth, shaving, applying makeup, washing/drying face and hands (excludes baths and showers)

2. ADL Support Provided

Code for **most support provided** over all shifts; code regardless of resident's self-performance classification

Coding:

- 0. No setup or physical help from staff
- 1. Setup help only
- 2. One person physical assist
- 3. Two+ persons physical assist
- ADL activity itself did not occur or family and/or non-facility staff provided care 100% of the time for that activity over the entire 7-day period





Resident Identifier Date **Functional Status** Section G G0120. Bathing How resident takes full-body bath/shower, sponge bath, and transfers in/out of tub/shower (excludes washing of back and hair). Code for most dependent in self-performance and support. EnterCode A. Self-performance 0. Independent - no help provided 1. Supervision - oversight help only CAT-2. Physical help limited to transfer only 3. Physical help in part of bathing activity 4. Total dependence 8. Activity itself did not occur or family and/or non-facility staff provided care 100% of the time for that activity over the entire 7-day period Enter Code B. Support provided (Bathing support codes are as defined in item G0110 column 2, ADL Support Provided, above) G0300. Balance During Transitions and Walking After observing the resident, code the following walking and transition items for most dependent **Enter Codes in Boxes** A. Moving from seated to standing position CATS Coding: 0. Steady at all times B. Walking (with assistive device if used) CATs 1. Not steady, but able to stabilize without staff assistance C. Turning around and facing the opposite direction while walking 2. Not steady, only able to stabilize with staff CATs assistance 8. Activity did not occur D. Moving on and off toilet CATS E. Surface-to-surface transfer (transfer between bed and chair or CATs wheelchair) G0400. Functional Limitation in Range of Motion Code for limitation that interfered with daily functions or placed resident at risk of injury **Enter Codes in Boxes** Coding: 0. No impairment A. Upper extremity (shoulder, elbow, wrist, hand) 1. Impairment on one side 2. Impairment on both sides B. Lower extremity (hip, knee, ankle, foot) G0600. Mobility Devices Check all that were normally used A. Cane/crutch B. Walker C. Wheelchair (manual or electric) D. Limb prosthesis Z. None of the above were used G0900. Functional Rehabilitation Potential Complete only if A0310A = 01Enter Code Α. Resident believes he or she is capable of increased independence in at least some ADLs 0. No CAT5 1. Yes 9. Unable to determine B. Direct care staff believe resident is capable of increased independence in at least some ADLs Enter Code 0. No CAIT5 1. Yes

Identifier

Sectio	n F	Bladder and Bowel
H0100.		
🚽 Ch	ecka	II that apply
	Α.	Indwelling catheter (including suprapubic catheter and nephrostomy tube)
	В.	External catheter CATs
	<u>с.</u>	Ostomy (including urostomy, ileostomy, and colostomy)
	D.	Intermittent catheterization CATs
		None of the above
H0200.	a Stabi	ary Tolleting Program
Enter Code	А.	Has a trial of a toileting program (e.g., scheduled toileting, prompted voiding, or bladder training) been attempted on
		admission/entry or reentry or since urinary incontinence was noted in this facility?
		0. No → Skip to H0300, Urinary Continence
		1. Yes → Continue to H0200B, Response
		9. Unable to determine> Skip to H0200C, Current toileting program or trial
Enter Code	В.	Response - What was the resident's response to the trial program?
		0. No improvement
		1. Decreased wetness
		2. Completely dry (continent)
		9. Unable to determine or trial in progress
	r	Current toileting program or trial - Is a toileting program (e.g., scheduled toileting, prompted voiding, or bladder training) currently
Enter:Code		being used to manage the resident's urinary continence?
		0. No
		1. Yes
	ili Danalarist	
H0300.		ary Continence
Enter Code	Ur	inary continence - Select the one category that best describes the resident
		0. Always continent
	-	1. Occasionally incontinent (less than 7 episodes of incontinence)
		2. Frequently incontinent (7 or more episodes of urinary incontinence, but at least one episode of continent voiding)
		3. Always incontinent (no episodes of continent voiding)
		9. Not rated, resident had a catheter (indwelling, condom), urinary ostomy, or no urine output for the entire 7 days
H0400.		/el Continence
Enter Code	Bo	wel continence - Select the one category that best describes the resident
		0. Always continent
РШ		1. Occasionally incontinent (one episode of bowel incontinence)
		2. Frequently incontinent (2 or more episodes of bowel incontinence, but at least one continent bowel movement)
	<u>8</u>	Always incontinent (no episodes of continent bowel movements)
		9. Not rated, resident had an ostomy or did not have a bowel movement for the entire 7 days
H0500.	1. S. M. (1997)	/el Toileting Program
Enter Code	ls	a toileting program currently being used to manage the resident's bowel continence?
		0. No RUG IV RUG II
		1. Yes
H0600.	Bov	vel Patterns
Enter Code	Co	onstipation present?
		0. No
	-	1. Yes

Identifier

Date

Sect	ion l	Active Diagnoses
	oses liste	oses in the last 7 days - Check all that apply d in parentheses are provided as examples and should not be considered as all-inclusive lists
	Cancer	
		Cancer (with or without metastasis)
		irculation
		Anemia (e.g., aplastic, iron deficiency, pernicious, and sickle cell)
		Atrial Fibrillation or Other Dysrhythmias (e.g., bradycardias and tachycardias)
	10400.	Coronary Artery Disease (CAD) (e.g., angina, myocardial infarction, and atherosclerotic heart disease (ASHD))
	10500.	Deep Venous Thrombosis (DVT), Pulmonary Embolus (PE), or Pulmonary Thrombo-Embolism (PTE)
	10600.	Heart Failure (e.g., congestive heart failure (CHF) and pulmonary edema)
	10700.	Hypertension
	10800.	Orthostatic Hypotension
	10900.	Peripheral Vascular Disease (PVD) or Peripheral Arterial Disease (PAD)
	Gastro	ntestinal
	11100.	Cirrhosis
	11200.	Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD) or Ulcer (e.g., esophageal, gastric, and peptic ulcers)
	11300.	Ulcerative Colitis, Crohn's Disease, or Inflammatory Bowel Disease
	//	urinary
		Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia (BPH)
	11500.	Renal Insufficiency, Renal Failure, or End-Stage Renal Disease (ESRD)
	11550.	Neurogenic Bladder
		Obstructive Uropathy
	Infectio	
		Multidrug-Resistant Organism (MDRO)
		Septicemia CATE RUG IV RUG III
		Tuberculosis
		Urinary Tract Infection (UTI) (LAST 30 DAYS)
	12400.	Viral Hepatitis (e.g., Hepatitis A, B, C, D, and E)
	12500.	Wound Infection (other than foot)
	Metab	
		Diabetes Mellitus (DM) (e.g., diabetic retinopathy, nephropathy, and neuropathy)
	1	Hyponatremia
		Hyperkalemia
		Hyperlipidemia (e.g., hypercholesterolemia)
		Thyroid Disorder (e.g., hypothyroidism, hyperthyroidism, and Hashimoto's thyroiditis)
		oskeletal
		Arthritis (e.g., degenerative joint disease (DJD), osteoarthritis, and rheumatoid arthritis (RA))
		Osteoporosis
	13900.	Hip Fracture - any hip fracture that has a relationship to current status, treatments, monitoring (e.g., sub-capital fractures, and fractures of the trochanter and femoral neck)
		Other Fracture
	Neurol	
		Aphasia RUG III
		Cerebral Palsy
		Cerebrovascular Accident (CVA), Transient Ischemic Attack (TIA), or Stroke
	14800.	Non-Alzheimer's Dementia (e.g. Lewy body dementia, vascular or multi-infarct dementia; mixed dementia; frontotemporal dementia such as Pick's disease; and dementia related to stroke, Parkinson's or Creutzfeldt-Jakob diseases)
Ne	eurolog	ical Diagnoses continued on next page

Identifier _____ Date _____

Sec	tion l	Active Diagnoses						
	oses liste	oses in the last 7 days - Check all that apply I in parentheses are provided as examples and should not be considered as all-inclusive lists						
		ogical - Continued	NERDA Velane -		o sayatan ya Shulang hujayata			
		Hemiplegia or Hemiparesis						
	15000.	Paraplegia						
	15100.	Quadriplegia Rug IV Rug IN						
	15200.	Multiple Sclerosis (MS)						Annual Intel Society
	15250.	Huntington's Disease						-
Π		Parkinson's Disease				-		
		Tourette's Syndrome						
		Seizure Disorder or Epilepsy						
	Nutriti	Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI)		Marita dinita				
		Malnutrition (protein or calorie) or at risk for malnutrition	Advarizad					11270113
		itric/Mood Disorder	. 15. 51.00			Te dadaki		
	·····	Anxiety Disorder	vili (lidi)-il	COMPACTOR STORE				
		Depression (other than bipolar)						
	X	Manic Depression (bipolar disease)						
		Psychotic Disorder (other than schizophrenia)						
		Schizophrenia (e.g., schizoaffective and schizophreniform disorders)						1
		Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)						
	Pulmo			i (Piliti)	u Color Fie		thing	
	16200.	Asthma, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD), or Chronic Lung Disease (e.g., chr	onic t	pronchiti	s and rest	rictive	lung	
		diseases such as asbestosis)						
		Respiratory Failure						
	Vision							
		Cataracts, Glaucoma, or Macular Degeneration CATS f.Above		Liter (Chronner)	nationspectations - A	ni o bal ten	2.42000	a a la della d
<u> </u>	Charles and the second second	None of the above active diagnoses within the last 7 days			uncing di kana			
	Other							
	25	Additional active diagnoses		dena, tantona, i	nine tid ver hiller			allines at t
	Enter d	agnosis on line and ICD code in boxes. Include the decimal for the code in the appropriate box.						-
	^							\neg
	A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	L		<u> </u>		L	
	В.							
	р. 							
	C							
] ~						l	
	D.							
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	L		-l			
	Ε.							
					······		·	
	F.							
					1 1	1	T	
	G			•				
19-19 18-11	-							
	Н.							
								—
	l							
					- 		[]	
	J.							

MDS 3.0 Nursing Home Comprehensive (NC) Version 1.11.2 Effective 10/01/2013

Res	10	ian	ł
1122	1U	1011	Ļ

• Date

Section J Health Conditions
J0100. Pain Management - Complete for all residents, regardless of current pain level
At any time in the last 5 days, has the resident:
Enter Code A. Received scheduled pain medication regimen?
Enter Code B. Received PRN pain medications OR was offered and declined?
0. No
1. Yes
Enter Code C. Received non-medication intervention for pain?
1. Yes
J0200. Should Pain Assessment Interview be Conducted?
Attempt to conduct interview with all residents. If resident is comatose, skip to J1100, Shortness of Breath (dyspnea)
Enter Code 0. No (resident is rarely/never understood) \rightarrow Skip to and complete J0800, Indicators of Pain or Possible Pain
1. Yes> Continue to J0300, Pain Presence
Pain Assessment Interview
J0300. Pain Presence
Enter Code Ask resident: Have you had pain or hurting at any time in the last 5 days? 0. No → Skip to J1100, Shortness of Breath
1. Yes \rightarrow Continue to J0400, Pain Frequency
9. Unable to answer> Skip to J0800, Indicators of Pain or Possible Pain
J0400. Pain Frequency
Ask resident: "How much of the time have you experienced pain or hurting over the last 5 days?"
Enter Code 1. Almost constantly
2. Frequently 3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
9. Unable to answer
J0500. Pain Effect on Function
A. Ask resident: "Over the past 5 days, has pain made it hard for you to sleep at night?"
1. Yes
 9. Unable to answer B. Ask resident: "Over the past 5 days, have you limited your day-to-day activities because of pain?"
En Ask resident: Over the past 5 days, have you innited your day-to-day activities because of pain?
1. Yes
9. Unable to answer
J0600. Pain Intensity - Administer ONLY ONE of the following pain intensity questions (A or B)
A. Numeric Rating Scale (00-10)
Ask research. Freuse rate your worst pain over the last 5 days on a zero to ten scale, with zero being no pain and ten
as the worst pain you can imagine." (Show resident 00 -10 pain scale) Enter two-digit response. Enter 99 if unable to answer.
B. Verbal Descriptor Scale
EnterCode Ask resident: "Please rate the intensity of your worst pain over the last 5 days." (Show resident verbal scale)
1. Mild
2. Moderate
3. Severe
4. Very severe, horrible
9. Unable to answer

MDS 3.0 Nursing Home Comprehensive (NC) Version 1.11.2 Effective 10/01/2013

Resident		Identifier	Date
Sectio	Health Conditions		
	Should the Staff Assessment for Pain be Conducted	a gang she finin magnes, sa pe	
Enter Code	 No (J0400 = 1 thru 4) → Skip to J1100, Shortness of Yes (J0400 = 9) → Continue to J0800, Indicators of I 		
Staff As	sessment for Pain		
J0800. Iı	dicators of Pain or Possible Pain in the last 5 days		
Barro a contra tra tra tra	ectowaya.com/web/web/com/area in a particular in a second of a eck all that apply	i Min (Minari), fa ang kalansing kalang b	Advant, del 144 finn, rederation i 1940 m. 1960 nel 1983 del 1986 del 1980. I
	A. Non-verbal sounds (e.g., crying, whining, gasping, mo	aning, or groaning)	CATs
	B. Vocal complaints of pain (e.g., that hurts, ouch, stop)	······································	CATs
	C. Facial expressions (e.g., grimaces, winces, wrinkled for	ehead, furrowed brow, cl	enched teeth or jaw)
	D. Protective body movements or postures (e.g., bracin	g, guarding, rubbing or n	nassaging a body part/area, clutching or holding a
	body part during movement) Z. None of these signs observed or documented> If	chacked skip to 11100 S	CATs
	requency of Indicator of Pain or Possible Pain in the		
50850.1	Frequency with which resident complains or shows eviden	indian's familie a sur ann a sur a fhearaidh a	n
Enter Code	1. Indicators of pain or possible pain observed 1 to 2	days	
	 Indicators of pain or possible pain observed 3 to 4 Indicators of pain or possible pain observed daily 	uays	
Other H	ealth Conditions		
	hortness of Breath (dyspnea)		
	eck all that apply		
	A. Shortness of breath or trouble breathing with exertio	n (e.g., walking, bathing,	transferring)
	B. Shortness of breath or trouble breathing when sitting	at rest	
	C. Shortness of breath or trouble breathing when lying f	lat	RUG IV
	Z. None of the above		
J1300. C	urrent Tobacco Use		
Enter Code	Tobacco use	e normal in the second and states and the second	
	0. No 1. Yes		
J1400. P	rognosis		
	Does the resident have a condition or chronic disease that	may result in a life expec	tancy of less than 6 months? (Requires physician
Enter Code	documentation) 0. No		
	1. Yes	Natur 1995 - Ali de Desta Strattin	
	roblem Conditions		사회가 가격적 비용을 통하는 것은 것을 받았다. 가격에 가격하는 것은 것을 가지 않는 것을 가지 않는 같은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있는 것을 하는 것을 하는 같은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있는 것을 하는
	eck all that apply A. Fever		
	B. Vomiting	CATE RUG	
	C. Dehydrated	CATE RU(
	D. Internal bleeding	CATs	
	Z. None of the above	CATs	RUGIH
	/ None of the above		

MDS 3.0 Nursing Home Comprehensive (NC) Version 1.11.2 Effective 10/01/2013

Page 21 of 41

Identifier

Section J Hea	alth Conditions
J1700. Fall History on Admission Complete only if A0310A = 01 or A0	
Enter Code A. Did the resident have a 0. No 1. Yes 9. Unable to determin	fall any time in the last month prior to admission/entry or reentry? CATs
	fall any time in the last 2-6 months prior to admission/entry or reentry?
EnterCode 0. No 1. Yes 9. Unable to determin	ny fracture related to a fall in the 6 months prior to admission/entry or reentry?
J1800. Any Falls Since Admission	/Entry or Reentry or Prior Assessment (OBRA or Scheduled PPS), whichever is more recent
recent? 0. No → Skip to K01	alls since admission/entry or reentry or the prior assessment (OBRA or Scheduled PPS), whichever is more 00, Swallowing Disorder o J1900, Number of Falls Since Admission/Entry or Reentry or Prior Assessment (OBRA or Scheduled PPS)
J1900. Number of Falls Since Ad	nission/Entry or Reentry or Prior Assessment (OBRA or Scheduled PPS), whichever is more recent
Coding: 0. None 1. One 2. Two or more	 Finter Codes in Boxes A. No injury - no evidence of any injury is noted on physical assessment by the nurse or primary care clinician; no complaints of pain or injury by the resident; no change in the resident's behavior is noted after the fall B. Injury (except major) - skin tears, abrasions, lacerations, superficial bruises, hematomas and sprains; or any fall-related injury that causes the resident to complain of pain
	C. Major injury - bone fractures, joint dislocations, closed head injuries with altered consciousness, subdural hematoma

Res	: -	~~	+
RMS	10.1	H 1	L .

ldentifier

Section K	Swallowing/Nutritional Status	
K0100. Swallowing Disord Signs and symptoms of possi		
Check all that apply		
A. Loss of liquids/s	solids from mouth when eating or drinking	
B. Holding food in	mouth/cheeks or residual food in mouth after meals	i
C. Coughing or cho	oking during meals or when swallowing medications	
D. Complaints of d	lifficulty or pain with swallowing	·
Z. None of the abo	ove	
K0200. Height and Weight	- While measuring, if the number is X.1 - X.4 round down; X.5	or greater round up
A. Height (in	inches). Record most recent height measure since the most recent a	dmission/entry or reentry CATE
	pounds). Base weight on most recent measure in last 30 days; meas actice (e.g., in a.m. after voiding, before meal, with shoes off, etc.)	ure weight consistently, according to standard
K0300. Weight Loss		
EnterCode 0. No or unknown 1. Yes, on physic 1. Yes, on physic	e in the last month or loss of 10% or more in last 6 months wn sician-prescribed weight-loss regimen physician-prescribed weight-loss regimen	CATS RUG IV RUG III
K0310. Weight Gain		
	e in the last month or gain of 10% or more in last 6 months	
	wn sician-prescribed weight-gain regimen	CATE
	physician-prescribed weight-gain regimen	
K0510. Nutritional Approa	aches Lional approaches that were performed during the last 7 days	
1. While NOT a Resident	ident of this facility and within the last 7 days. Only check column 1	if
	n or reentry) IN THE LAST 7 DAYS. If resident last entered 7 or more d	
ago, leave column 1 blank		Resident
2. While a Resident	t of this facility and within the <i>last 7 days</i>	\downarrow Check all that apply \downarrow
renormed while d resident		
A. Parenteral/IV feeding		CATE RUG IV RUG III CATE RUG IV RUG III
B. Feeding tube - nasogastric	·	
C. Mechanically altered diet thickened liquids)	: - require change in texture of food or liquids (e.g., pureed food,	
D. Therapeutic diet (e.g., low)	salt, diabetic, low cholesterol)	CATS CATS
Z. None of the above		

Identifier

Date

CATS CATs

Section K Swallowing/Nutritional Status			
K0710. Percent Intake by Artificial Route - Complete K0710 only if Column 1 and/or	Column 2 are chec	ked for K0510A ar	nd/or K0510B
 While NOT a Resident Performed while NOT a resident of this facility and within the last 7 days. Only enter a code in column 1 if resident entered (admission or reentry) IN THE LAST 7 DAYS. If resident last entered 7 or more days ago, leave column 1 blank While a Resident Performed while a resident of this facility and within the last 7 days During Entire 7 Days Performed during the entire last 7 days 	1. While NOT a Resident	2. While a Resident Enter Codes	3 During Entire 7 Days
 A. Proportion of total calories the resident received through parenteral or tube feeding 1. 25% or less 2. 26-50% 3. 51% or more B. Average fluid intake per day by IV or tube feeding 1. 500 cc/day or less 			
2. 501 cc/day or more		BUG	
Section L Oral/Dental Status			
L0200. Dental			
Check all that apply A. Broken or loosely fitting full or partial denture (chipped, cracked, uncleana	ble or loose)		
B No natural tooth or tooth fragmont(c) (odortulous)			
C. Abnormal mouth tissue (ulcers, masses, oral lesions, including under depture or partial if one is worp)			1
D. Obvious or likely cavity or broken natural teeth	•	CATS CATS	

E. Inflamed or bleeding gums or loose natural teeth

F. Mouth or facial pain, discomfort or difficulty with chewing

G. Unable to examine

Z. None of the above were present

Section M Skin Conditions
Report based on highest stage of existing ulcer(s) at its worst; do not "reverse" stage
M0100. Determination of Pressure Ulcer Risk
↓ Check all that apply
A. Resident has a stage 1 or greater, a scar over bony prominence, or a non-removable dressing/device
B. Formal assessment instrument/tool (e.g., Braden, Norton, or other)
C. Clinical assessment
Z. None of the above
M0150. Risk of Pressure Ulcers
EnterCode Is this resident at risk of developing pressure ulcers? 0. No CATS 1. Yes CATS
M0210. Unhealed Pressure Ulcer(s)
EnterCode Does this resident have one or more unhealed pressure ulcer(s) at Stage 1 or higher?
 0. No → Skip to M0900, Healed Pressure Ulcers 1. Yes → Continue to M0300, Current Number of Unhealed Pressure Ulcers at Each Stage
M0300. Current Number of Unhealed Pressure Ulcers at Each Stage
Enter Number A. Number of Stage 1 pressure ulcers Stage 1: Intact skin with non-blanchable redness of a localized area usually over a bony prominence. Darkly pigmented skin may not have a visible blanching; in dark skin tones only it may appear with persistent blue or purple hues
B. Stage 2: Partial thickness loss of dermis presenting as a shallow open ulcer with a red or pink wound bed, without slough. May also
present as an intact or open/ruptured blister
1. Number of Stage 2 pressure ulcers - If 0 -> Skip to M0300C, Stage 3 CAT5 RUG IV RUG III
2. Number of <u>these</u> Stage 2 pressure ulcers that were present upon admission/entry or reentry - enter how many were noted at the time of admission/entry or reentry
3. Date of oldest Stage 2 pressure ulcer - Enter dashes if date is unknown:
Month Day Year
 C. Stage 3: Full thickness tissue loss. Subcutaneous fat may be visible but bone, tendon or muscle is not exposed. Slough may be present but does not obscure the depth of tissue loss. May include undermining and tunneling
Enter Number 1. Number of Stage 3 pressure ulcers - If 0 → Skip to M0300D, Stage 4 CATs RUG N RUG H
 LEnter Number 2. Number of these Stage 3 pressure ulcers that were present upon admission/entry or reentry - enter how many were noted at the time of admission/entry or reentry
D. Stage 4: Full thickness tissue loss with exposed bone, tendon or muscle. Slough or eschar may be present on some parts of the wound bed. Often includes undermining and tunneling
1. Number of Stage 4 pressure ulcers - If 0 -> Skip to M0300E, Unstageable: Non-removable dressing CATE RUG IV RUG III
 2. Number of <u>these</u> Stage 4 pressure ulcers that were present upon admission/entry or reentry - enter how many were noted at the time of admission/entry or reentry
M0300 continued on next page

••.

ldentifier

Date

Section	n N	1 Skin Conditions	
M0300. C	Surr	ent Number of Unhealed Pressure Ulcers at Each Stage - Continued	
	E. 1	Unstageable - Non-removable dressing: Known but not stageable due to non-removal	ole dressing/device
Enter Number	1	Number of unstageable pressure ulcers due to non-removable dressing/device - I Slough and/or eschar	f 0> Skip to M0300F, Unstageable:
Enter Number	2	 Number of <u>these</u> unstageable pressure ulcers that were present upon admission/ noted at the time of admission/entry or reentry 	entry or reentry - enter how many were
	F. 1	Unstageable - Slough and/or eschar: Known but not stageable due to coverage of wou	ind bed by slough and/or eschar
Enter Number	٦	I. Number of unstageable pressure ulcers due to coverage of wound bed by slough Unstageable: Deep tissue	and/or eschar - If 0> Skip to M0300G, CATs BUG IV RUG III
Enter Number	2	 Number of <u>these</u> unstageable pressure ulcers that were present upon admission/ noted at the time of admission/entry or reentry 	entry or reentry - enter how many were
	G.	Unstageable - Deep tissue: Suspected deep tissue injury in evolution	САТъ
Enter Number	1	 Number of unstageable pressure ulcers with suspected deep tissue injury in evol of Unhealed Stage 3 or 4 Pressure Ulcers or Eschar 	ution - If 0 -> Skip to M0610, Dimension
	2	 Number of <u>these</u> unstageable pressure ulcers that were present upon admission/ noted at the time of admission/entry or reentry 	entry or reentry - enter how many were
Standarts and the set of the state of the state		ensions of Unhealed Stage 3 or 4 Pressure Ulcers or Eschar y if M0300C1, M0300D1 or M0300F1 is greater than 0	
		nas one or more unhealed Stage 3 or 4 pressure ulcers or an unstageable pressure ulcer de argest surface area (length x width) and record in centimeters:	ue to slough or eschar, identify the pressure
	•	A. Pressure ulcer length: Longest length from head to toe	100
	•	B. Pressure ulcer width: Widest width of the same pressure ulcer, side-to-side pressure ulcer, side-to-side pressure ulcer.	perpendicular (90-degree angle) to length
	•	C. Pressure ulcer depth: Depth of the same pressure ulcer from the visible surf enter a dash in each box)	ace to the deepest area (if depth is unknown,
		t Severe Tissue Type for Any Pressure Ulcer	
EnterCode	Sel	 ect the best description of the most severe type of tissue present in any pressure ulcer been and the severe type of tissue present in any pressure ulcer been and the severe type of tissue present in any pressure ulcer been and shin and shin and shin and the severe type of tissue and the severe type of the severe and the severe type of type of the s	y, even in persons with darkly pigmented skin os, or is mucinous
the an altern retransferrer	1.	sening in Pressure Ulcer Status Since Prior Assessment (OBRA or Scheduled Pl ly if A0310E = 0	PS) or Last Admission/Entry or Reentry
Indicate th	ne nu	umber of current pressure ulcers that were not present or were at a lesser stage on prior rrent pressure ulcer at a given stage, enter 0.	assessment (OBRA or scheduled PPS) or last
EnterNumber	1	Stage 2	CATS
EnterNumber	в.	Stage 3	CATE
Enter Number	с.	Stage 4	CATs

MDS 3.0 Nursing Home Comprehensive (NC) Version 1.11.2 Effective 10/01/2013

Page 26 of 41

ldentifier

Date

Section	M Skin Conditions		
	ealed Pressure Ulcers		
HL JUY MELLINGTO	only if A0310E = 0		
EnterCode	 0. No → Skip to M1030, Number of Venous and Arterial Ulcers 		
	1. Yes> Continue to M0900B, Stage 2		
	Indicate the number of pressure ulcers that were noted on the prior assessment (OBRA or (resurfaced with epithelium). If no healed pressure ulcer at a given stage since the prior a		
Enter Number			
	B. Stage 2		
Enter Number			
	C. Stage 3		
Enter Number			
	D. Stage 4		
M1030. N	umber of Venous and Arterial Ulcers		
EnterNumber	Enter the total number of venous and arterial ulcers present	RUG IV BUG III	· · · ·
		TROFER IN ALCOUNT	
M1040. 0	ther Ulcers, Wounds and Skin Problems		
↓ Ch	eck all that apply		
	Foot Problems		
	A. Infection of the foot (e.g., cellulitis, purulent drainage)	RUG IV RUG III	
	B. Diabetic foot ulcer(s)	RUG IV RUG III	
	C. Other open lesion(s) on the foot	RUG IV RUG III-	
	Other Problems		
	D. Open lesion(s) other than ulcers, rashes, cuts (e.g., cancer lesion)	RUG IV RUG III	
	E. Surgical wound(s)	RUG IV RUG III	
	F. Burn(s) (second or third degree)	RUG IV RUG III	
	G. Skin tear(s)		
	H. Moisture Associated Skin Damage (MASD) (i.e. incontinence (IAD), perspiration, dra	ainage)	CATE
	None of the Above		
	Z. None of the above were present		
M1200. S	kin and Ulcer Treatments		
Ch	eck all that apply	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	A. Pressure reducing device for chair		RUG IV RUG III
	B. Pressure reducing device for bed	······	RUG IV RUG III
	C. Turning/repositioning program		BUG IV RUG III
	D. Nutrition or hydration intervention to manage skin problems		RUG IV RUG III
	E. Pressure ulcer care		RUG IV RUG III
	F. Surgical wound care		RUG IV RUG III
	G. Application of nonsurgical dressings (with or without topical medications) other th	an to feet	RUG IV RUG III
	H. Applications of ointments/medications other than to feet		RUG IV RUG III
	I. Application of dressings to feet (with or without topical medications)		RUG IV RUG III
	Z. None of the above were provided	······································	

MDS 3.0 Nursing Home Comprehensive (NC) Version 1.11.2 Effective 10/01/2013

-	•	
Res	10	ent

Identifier
Include

Section N Medications		
N0300. Injections		
EnterDays Record the number of days that injections of any type were received during the last 7 days or since admission/entry or reentry if less than 7 days. If 0 -> Skip to N0410, Medications Received RUG III		
N0350, Insulin		
Enter Days A. Insulin injections - Record the number of days that insulin injections were received during the last 7 days or since admission/entry or reentry if less than 7 days RUG IV RUG IV		
Enter Days B. Orders for insulin - Record the number of days the physician (or authorized assistant or practitioner) changed the resident's insulin orders during the last 7 days or since admission/entry or reentry if less than 7 days RUG IV		
N0410. Medications Received		
Indicate the number of DAYS the resident received the following medications during the last 7 days or since admission/entry or reentry if less than 7 days. Enter "0" if medication was not received by the resident during the last 7 days		
A. Antipsychotic		
Enter Days B. Antianxiety		
EnterDays C. Antidepressant		
EnterDays D. Hypnotic CATs		
Enter Days E. Anticoagulant (warfarin, heparin, or low-molecular weight heparin)		
Enter Days		
EnterDays G. Diuretic		

Identifier

Date

Section O Special Treatments, Procedures, and Program	ns
O0100. Special Treatments, Procedures, and Programs Check all of the following treatments, procedures, and programs that were performed during the last 14 day	/s
 While NOT a Resident Performed while NOT a resident of this facility and within the last 14 days. Only check column 1 if resident entered (admission or reentry) IN THE LAST 14 DAYS. If resident last entered 14 or more days ago, leave column 1 blank While a Resident 	T. 2. While NOT a Resident Resident
Performed <i>while a resident</i> of this facility and within the <i>last 14 days</i>	Check all that apply
Cancer Treatments	
B. Radiation	
Respiratory Treatments	
C. Oxygen therapy	
D. Suctioning	
E. Tracheostomy care	
F. Ventilator or respirator	
G. BIPAP/CPAP Other	
H. IV medications	
I. Transfusions	
J. Dialysis	
K. Hospice care	
L. Respite care	
M. Isolation or quarantine for active infectious disease (does not include standard body/fluid	
precautions)	
None of the Above	
Z. None of the above	
O0250. Influenza Vaccine - Refer to current version of RAI manual for current flu season and rep	n Lingende (2014) en de la servició en esta comercial de la desenda de childra de criste de la compacta de la c
 EnterCode A. Did the resident receive the Influenza vaccine in this facility for this year's Influenza seasc 0. No → Skip to O0250C, If Influenza vaccine not received, state reason 	n?
1. Yes \rightarrow Continue to O0250B, Date vaccine received	
B. Date vaccine received> Complete date and skip to O0300A, Is the resident's Pneumococ	cal vaccination up to daté?
Month Day Year	· · · ·
C. If Influenza vaccine not received, state reason: 1. Resident not in facility during this year's flu season	
2. Received outside of this facility 3. Not eligible - medical contraindication	
4. Offered and declined	
 5. Not offered 6. Inability to obtain vaccine due to a declared shortage 	•
9. None of the above	
O0300. Pneumococcal Vaccine	
Enter Code A. Is the resident's Pneumococcal vaccination up to date?	
0. No → Continue to O0300B, If Pneumococcal vaccine not received, state reason 1. Yes → Skip to O0400, Therapies	
Enter Code B. If Pneumococcal vaccine not received, state reason:	······································
1. Not eligible - medical contraindication 2. Offered and declined	
3. Not offered	

MDS 3.0 Nursing Home Comprehensive (NC) Version 1.11.2 Effective 10/01/2013

Page 29 of 41

Identifier

Date

Section O	Special Treatments, Procedures, and Programs
O0400. Therapies	
	A. Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology Services
Enter Number of Minutes	1. Individual minutes - record the total number of minutes this therapy was administered to the resident individually in the last 7 days
Enter Number of Minutes	2. Concurrent minutes - record the total number of minutes this therapy was administered to the resident concurrently with one other resident in the last 7 days RUG IV RUG IV
Enter Number of Minutes	3. Group minutes - record the total number of minutes this therapy was administered to the resident as part of a group of residents in the last 7 days RUG IV RUG IV
	If the sum of individual, concurrent, and group minutes is zero, -> skip to O0400A5, Therapy start date
Enter Number of Minutes	3A. Co-treatment minutes - record the total number of minutes this therapy was administered to the resident in co-treatment sessions in the last 7 days
EnterNumber of Days	4. Days - record the number of days this therapy was administered for at least 15 minutes a day in the last 7 days
	 5. Therapy start date - record the date the most recent therapy regimen (since the most recent entry) started 6. Therapy end date - record the date the most recent therapy regimen (since the most recent entry) ended - enter dashes if therapy is ongoing
	Month Day Year Month Day Year
	B. Occupational Therapy
Enter Number of Minutes	1. Individual minutes - record the total number of minutes this therapy was administered to the resident individually in the last 7 days
Enter Number of Minutes	2. Concurrent minutes - record the total number of minutes this therapy was administered to the resident concurrently with one other resident in the last 7 days BUG IV RUG III
Enter:Number:of Minutes	3. Group minutes - record the total number of minutes this therapy was administered to the resident as part of a group of residents in the last 7 days RUG IN RUG IN RUG IN
	If the sum of individual, concurrent, and group minutes is zero,
EnterNumber of Minutes	3A. Co-treatment minutes - record the total number of minutes this therapy was administered to the resident in co-treatment sessions in the last 7 days
EnterNumber of Days	4. Days - record the number of days this therapy was administered for at least 15 minutes a day in the last 7 days
	 5. Therapy start date - record the date the most recent therapy regimen (since the most recent entry) started 6. Therapy end date - record the date the most recent therapy regimen (since the most recent entry) ended
	- enter dashes if therapy is ongoing
	RUG IV
O0400 continu	Month Day Year Month Day Year ed on next page

ldentifier _____ Date ____

Section 0	Special Treatments, Procedures, and Programs
00400. Therapies -	Continued
	C. Physical Therapy
Enter Number of Minutes	1. Individual minutes - record the total number of minutes this therapy was administered to the resident individually in the last 7 days
Enter Number of Minutes	2. Concurrent minutes - record the total number of minutes this therapy was administered to the resident concurrently with one other resident in the last 7 days RUG IV RUG IN
Enter Number of Minutes	Group minutes - record the total number of minutes this therapy was administered to the resident as part of a group of residents in the last 7 days
	If the sum of individual, concurrent, and group minutes is zero, \rightarrow skip to O0400C5, Therapy start date
Enter Number of Minutes	3A. Co-treatment minutes - record the total number of minutes this therapy was administered to the resident in co-treatment sessions in the last 7 days.
Enter Number of Days	4. Days - record the number of days this therapy was administered for at least 15 minutes a day in the last 7 days RUG III
	 5. Therapy start date - record the date the most recent therapy regimen (since the most recent entry) started 6. Therapy end date - record the date the most recent therapy regimen (since the most recent entry) ended - enter dashes if therapy is ongoing
	RUG IV
	Month Day Year Month Day Year D. Respiratory Therapy
Enter Number of Minutes	1. Total minutes - record the total number of minutes this therapy was administered to the resident in the last 7 days
	If zero, \rightarrow skip to O0400E, Psychological Therapy
Enter Number of Days	
	2. Days - record the number of days this therapy was administered for at least 15 minutes a day in the last 7 days
	E. Psychological Therapy (by any licensed mental health professional)
Enter Number of Minutes	1. Total minutes - record the total number of minutes this therapy was administered to the resident in the last 7 days
	If zero, $ ightarrow$ skip to O0400F, Recreational Therapy
Enter Number of Days	2. Days - record the number of days this therapy was administered for at least 15 minutes a day in the last 7 days
	F. Recreational Therapy (includes recreational and music therapy)
Enter Number of Minutes	1. Total minutes - record the total number of minutes this therapy was administered to the resident in the last 7 days
	If zero, $ ightarrow$ skip to 00420, Distinct Calendar Days of Therapy
Enter Number of Days	2. Days - record the number of days this therapy was administered for at least 15 minutes a day in the last 7 days
00420, Distinct Ca	lendar Days of Therapy
Enter Number of Days	Record the number of calendar days that the resident received Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology Services, Occupational Therapy, or Physical Therapy for at least 15 minutes in the past 7 days.
O0450. Resumptio	n of Therapy - Complete only if A0310C = 2 or 3 and A0310F = 99
Therap	previous rehabilitation therapy regimen (speech, occupational, and/or physical therapy) ended, as reported on this End of by OMRA, and has this regimen now resumed at exactly the same level for each discipline? →Skip to O0500, Restorative Nursing Programs
1. Yes	
B. Date o	n which therapy regimen resumed:
Mon	th Day Year

MDS 3.0 Nursing Home Comprehensive (NC) Version 1.11.2 Effective 10/01/2013

Identifier

Secti	Section O Special Treatments, Procedures, and Programs						
O0500. Restorative Nursing Programs							
		number of days each of the following restorative programs was performed one or less than 15 minutes daily)	l (for at least 15 minutes a day) in the last 7 calendar days				
Numbe of Days		Technique					
		A. Range of motion (passive)	RUG III RUG IV				
		B. Range of motion (active)	RUG IN RUG IV				
		C. Splint or brace assistance	RUG III RUG IV				
Numbe of Days		Training and Skill Practice In:					
		D. Bed mobility	RUG DI RUG IV				
		E. Transfer	RUG III RUG IV				
	X	F. Walking	RUG III RUG IV				
		G. Dressing and/or grooming	RUG III RUG IV				
		H. Eating and/or swallowing	RUG III RUG IV				
		I. Amputation/prostheses care	RUG III RUG IV				
		J. Communication	RUG III RUG IV				
00600	. P	hysician Examinations					
EnterDay	<u>/s</u>	Over the last 14 days, on how many days did the physician (or authorize	ed assistant or practitioner) examine the resident?				
00700	. P	hysician Orders					
Enter Day	/5	Over the last 14 days, on how many days did the physician (or authoriz e	ed assistant or practitioner) change the resident's orders?				

Section P Restraints							
P0100. Physical Restraints							
Physical restraints are any manual method or physical or n							
the individual cannot remove easily which restricts freedo		nter Codes in Boxes	s body				
		Used in Bed					
		A. Bed rail					
		B. Trunk restraint	CATE				
		C. Limb restraint	CATS				
Coding: 0. Not used 1. Used less than daily		D. Other	CATs				
2. Used daily		Used in Chair or Out of B	ed				
		E. Trunk restraint	CATs				
,		F. Limb restraint	CATE				
		G. Chair prevents rising	CATs				
		H. Other	CATs				
Section Q Participation i	n Asses	sment and Goal S	etting				
Q0100. Participation in Assessment							
Enterloode A. Resident participated in assessment 0. No							
1. Yes			·				
B. Family or significant other participated	in assessme	ent	· · ·				
1. Yes 9. Resident has no family or significant other							
C. Guardian or legally authorized representative participated in assessment							
EnterCode 0. No							
1. Yes 9. Besident has no guardian or legally authorized representative							
9. Resident has no guardian or legally authorized representative Q0300. Resident's Overall Expectation							
Complete only if A0310E = 1							
A. Select one for resident's overall goal established during assessment process							
1. Expects to be discharged to the community 2. Expects to remain in this facility							
3. Expects to be discharged to another facility/institution							
9. Unknown or uncertain							
Enter-Code 1. Resident	4						
2. If not resident, then family or signific	ant other						
3. If not resident, family, or significant ot		ardian or legally authorized	l representative				
9. Unknown or uncertain							
Q0400. Discharge Plan Enter Code A. Is active discharge planning already occ	curring for 6	he recident to return to the	a communitu?				
Enter Code A. Is active discharge planning already oc	carring for t	he resident to return to the	community:				

1. Yes → Skip to Q0600, Referral

Resident	Identifier	Date
Section Q Participation	in Assessment and Goal Se	tting
Q0490. Resident's Preference to Avoid Being As Complete only if A0310A = 02, 06, or 99	ked Question Q0500B	
Enter Code Does the resident's clinical record docume 0. No 0. No 1. Yes → Skip to Q0600, Referral 8. Information not available	nt a request that this question be asked o	only on comprehensive assessments?
Q0500. Return to Community		
	meone about the possibility of leavin	esentative if resident is unable to understand or a g this facility and returning to live and
Q0550. Resident's Preference to Avoid Being As	iked Question Q0500B Again	
respond) want to be asked about returr assessments.)	nt other or guardian or legally authorized rep ning to the community on <u>all</u> assessments cal record and ask again only on the next co	
Enter CodeB. Indicate information source for Q0550/1. Resident2. If not resident, then family or signific3. If not resident, family or significant oth8. No information source available		apresentative
Q0600. Referral		
EnterCode 0. No - referral not needed 1. No - referral is or may be needed (For 2. Yes - referral made	tact Agency? (Document reasons in residen more information see Appendix C, Care Are.	



m			
Res	ıci	or	۱ ۲

l d a a tifi a r	Identifier	Identifier				
	Identifier	Identifier				
		Identitier				

Resident	Identifier Date	<u> </u>
Sectio	on V Care Area Assessment (CAA) Summary	
	Items From the Most Recent Prior OBRA or Scheduled PPS Assessment te only if A0310E = 0 and if the following is true for the prior assessment : A0310A = 01- 06 or A0310B = 01- 06	
EnterCode	 A. Prior Assessment Federal OBRA Reason for Assessment (A0310A value from prior assessment) 01. Admission assessment (required by day 14) 02. Quarterly review assessment 03. Annual assessment 04. Significant change in status assessment 05. Significant correction to prior comprehensive assessment 06. Significant correction to prior quarterly assessment 99. None of the above 	
Enter Code	 B. Prior Assessment PPS Reason for Assessment (A0310B value from prior assessment) 01. 5-day scheduled assessment 02. 14-day scheduled assessment 03. 30-day scheduled assessment 04. 60-day scheduled assessment 05. 90-day scheduled assessment 06. Readmission/return assessment 07. Unscheduled assessment used for PPS (OMRA, significant or clinical change, or significant correction assessment) 99. None of the above 	· · · · ·
	C. Prior Assessment Reference Date (A2300 value from prior assessment)	
Enter Score	D. Prior Assessment Brief Interview for Mental Status (BIMS) Summary Score (C0500 value from prior assessment)	
Enter Score	E. Prior Assessment Resident Mood Interview (PHQ-9©) Total Severity Score (D0300 value from prior assessment)	
Enter Score		CATs
Date

Section V Care Area Assessment (CAA) Summary

V0200. CAAs and Care Planning

1. Check column A if Care Area is triggered.

- 2. For each triggered Care Area, indicate whether a new care plan, care plan revision, or continuation of current care plan is necessary to address the problem(s) identified in your assessment of the care area. The <u>Care Planning Decision</u> column must be completed within 7 days of completing the RAI (MDS and CAA(s)). Check column B if the triggered care area is addressed in the care plan.
- 3. Indicate in the Location and Date of CAA Documentation column where information related to the CAA can be found. CAA documentation should include information on the complicating factors, risks, and any referrals for this resident for this care area.

A. CAA Results		n ang mga panina (1946) Désigna pananang pan		
Care Area	A: Care Area Triggered	B. Care Planning Decision		ocation and Date of AA documentation
	🖌 Check all	that apply 🖌		
01. Delirium				
02. Cognitive Loss/Dementia				
03. Visual Function				
04. Communication				
05. ADL Functional/Rehabilitation Potential				
06. Urinary Incontinence and Indwelling Catheter				
07. Psychosocial Well-Being				
08. Mood State				
09. Behavioral Symptoms				
10. Activities				
11. Falls			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
12. Nutritional Status				
13. Feeding Tube			-	
14. Dehydration/Fluid Maintenance				
15. Dental Care				
16. Pressure Ulcer				
17. Psychotropic Drug Use				
18. Physical Restraints			· .	
19. Pain				
20. Return to Community Referral				
B. Signature of RN Coordinator for CAA Process	and Date Signed			
1. Signature	•		2. Date	
		2	-	
		nod	Month	Day Year
C. Signature of Person Completing Care Plan D 1. Signature	ecision and Date Sig	ned		in the state of t
i. Signature			2. Date	
			Month	Day Year

Resident

Identifier

Date

Section X Correction Request
Complete Section X only if A0050 = 2 or 3 Identification of Record to be Modified/Inactivated - The following items identify the existing assessment record that is in error. In this section, reproduce the information EXACTLY as it appeared on the existing erroneous record, even if the information is incorrect. This information is necessary to locate the existing record in the National MDS Database.
X0150. Type of Provider
Enter Gode Type of provider 1. Nursing home (SNF/NF) 2. Swing Bed
X0200. Name of Resident on existing record to be modified/inactivated
A. First name:
X0300. Gender on existing record to be modified/inactivated
Enter Code 1. Male 2. Female
X0400. Birth Date on existing record to be modified/inactivated
Month Day Year X0500. Social Security Number on existing record to be modified/inactivated Provide the security of the secure security of the secure security of the secure secu
X0600. Type of Assessment on existing record to be modified/inactivated
EnterCode 01. Admission assessment (required by day 14)
02. Quarterly review assessment
03. Annual assessment
04. Significant change in status assessment
05. Significant correction to prior comprehensive assessment
06. Significant correction to prior quarterly assessment
99. None of the above
EnterCode B. PPS Assessment
PPS Scheduled Assessments for a Medicare Part A Stay 01. 5-day scheduled assessment
02. 14-day scheduled assessment
03. 30-day scheduled assessment
04. 60-day scheduled assessment
05. 90-day scheduled assessment
06. Readmission/return assessment
PPS Unscheduled Assessments for a Medicare Part A Stay
07. Unscheduled assessment used for PPS (OMRA, significant or clinical change, or significant correction assessment) Not PPS Assessment
99. None of the above
C. PPS Other Medicare Required Assessment - OMRA
1. Start of therapy assessment
2. End of therapy assessment
3. Both Start and End of therapy assessment
4. Change of therapy assessment
X0600 continued on next page

MDS 3.0 Nursing Home Comprehensive (NC) Version 1.11.2 Effective 10/01/2013

Page 37 of 41

Resident	Identifier	Date
Section X Correction Request		
X0600. Type of Assessment - Continued		
Enter Code D. Is this a Swing Bed clinical change assessment? Complet 0. No 1. Yes	e only if X0150 = 2	
Enter Code F. Entry/discharge reporting 01. Entry tracking record 10. Discharge assessment-return not anticipated 11. Discharge assessment-return anticipated 12. Death in facility tracking record 99. None of the above	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
X0700. Date on existing record to be modified/inactivated - Comp	lete one only	
A. Assessment Reference Date - Complete only if X0600F = 9 Month Day Year B. Discharge Date - Complete only if X0600F = 10, 11, or 12	9	
Month Day Year		
C. Entry Date - Complete only if X0600F = 01		
Correction Attestation Section - Complete this section to explain	and attest to the modification/inactivation	on request
X0800. Correction Number Enter Number Enter the number of correction requests to modify/inactivation	te the existing record, including the prese	nt one
X0900. Reasons for Modification - Complete only if Type of Reco	rd is to modify a record in error (A0050 =	2)
↓ Check all that apply		
A. Transcription error		
B. Data entry error		
C. Software product error D. Item coding error		
E. End of Therapy - Resumption (EOT-R) date		
Z. Other error requiring modification		
If "Other" checked, please specify:		
X1050. Reasons for Inactivation - Complete only if Type of Recor	d is to inactivate a record in error (A0050	=3)
↓ Check all that apply		
A. Event did not occur		
C. Other error requiring inactivation If "Other" checked, please specify:		

Resident		lde	entifier	Date
Sectio	n)	X Correction Request		
X1100. R	N A	Assessment Coordinator Attestation of Completion		
	Α.	Attesting individual's first name:		
			• •	
	В.	Attesting individual's last name:		···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ·
	c.	Attesting individual's title:	•	
	D.	. Signature		
	Ε.	Attestation date	· · ·	
		Month Day Year		

Resident		Identifier Date
Sectio	n Z	Assessment Administration
Z0100. N	Лed	care Part A Billing
	Α.	Medicare Part A HIPPS code (RUG group followed by assessment type indicator):
	в.	RUG version code:
Enter Code	C.	s this a Medicare Short Stay assessment? 0. No
		1. Yes
Z0150. N	٨ed	care Part A Non-Therapy Billing
	A.	Medicare Part A non-therapy HIPPS code (RUG group followed by assessment type indicator):
	-	
	В.	RUG version code:
Z0200. S	itate	Medicaid Billing (if required by the state)
	Α.	RUG Case Mix group:
	в.	RUG version code:
Z0250. #	lte	nate State Medicaid Billing (if required by the state)
	Α.	RUG Case Mix group:
	adderer and	
	B.	RUG version code:
Z0300. I	nsu	ance Billing
	Α.	RUG billing code:
	B.	RUG billing version:

Resident

Identifier

Date

Section Z Assessment Administration

20400. Signature of Persons Completing the Assessment or Entry/Death Reporting

I certify that the accompanying information accurately reflects resident assessment information for this resident and that I collected or coordinated collection of this information on the dates specified. To the best of my knowledge, this information was collected in accordance with applicable Medicare and Medicaid requirements. I understand that this information is used as a basis for ensuring that residents receive appropriate and quality care, and as a basis for payment from federal funds. I further understand that payment of such federal funds and continued participation in the government-funded health care programs is conditioned on the accuracy and truthfulness of this information, and that I may be personally subject to or may subject my organization to substantial criminal, civil, and/or administrative penalties for submitting false information. I also certify that I am authorized to submit this information by this facility on its behalf.

	Signature	Title	Sections	Date Section Completed
	A			
	B			
	C			
	D.	· · · ·		
	E.			
	F			
	G.			
	Н.			
	l			
	J.			
	К.			-
	L			
zo	500. Signature of RN Assessment Coordinator Verifying As			
	A. Signature:		ate RN Assessment Coordinato ssessment as complete:	r signed
Ĭ			Month Day	Year

Legal Notice Regarding MDS 3.0 - Copyright 2011 United States of America and InterRAI. This work may be freely used and distributed solely within the United States. Portions of the MDS 3.0 are under separate copyright protections; Pfizer Inc. holds the copyright for the PHQ-9 and the Annals of Internal Medicine holds the copyright for the CAM. Both Pfizer Inc. and the Annals of Internal Medicine have granted permission to freely use these instruments in association with the MDS 3.0.

MDS 3.0 Nursing Home Comprehensive (NC) Version 1.11.2 Effective 10/01/2013

APPENDIX G

Department of Health and Human Services, Nursing Facilities Comparison of Funding & Costs

			for the Fiscal Years Ending in 2011 (1) (2) (2)				6 0		_							-			-	-		5						Variance					
						£×	Ē	Total		Sch G	Sch G Ulrect Care	Direct Care MaineCare	Pre-Cap Allowable Direct Cafe	Variance between DC Funding & Pre-Cap	Diffect Care Costs Funded	ich A outine	Sch G Da	h G Athe Rou A per Maine (col Fun- f col (col 4	Ine Pre-Care Allow Fing Rout	ap Bety bety bite Found for Fre- cost Cost		4 5 2 5 5 1 1 1 1 1	Sch Fixe cos	Schedu	G Fixe	a Fixed Co: MaineCa r Reimbur	ts Per-Ca) e Fixed e Allowab	P FC FC funding te Pre-Cap		Africe DC		Total MaineCare Reimbursem ent	
	Mart Mart Mart Mart Mart Mart Mart Mart		It of Beds Facility	unty		l Year End gin 20	Ing In Sta 011 Da	te Reside /s Days		- Costs	i t col 5	4 x col 6)	x col 8) K21548	col 10) (33.395)	10) 24,63%	Rale 58.21	Costs 561,771	5) 11 64.59 381	785 431			7) Info	007 2	91 251	187 Z7.	196.1	1 186.4 8 205.4	11			12.5		1,239,355 3,316,410
Marti Mar	Marti Mar		57 Amonity Manor (Closed-See Horizons)	gadahoc ostook	-	/20/111 06/ v26/10 09/	15/15 6. 24/11 17,3				06.701	1,620,220	1,904,575	(284,355)	85.07%	55.86 ca 71	,366,681 . 173 667	63.46 99 66.05 80	L515 1,126 L541 930			24 13%	1,013 11	61E 92	548 18.	18 258,91	0 258,9	8			2.1		2,501,099
M. M			52 Atlantits Rohab - Barnard	notington		121 11/10/	13.11 13.				86.58 85.34	1,134,946	1,167,933	(196,602) (71,688)	%157.00 %15.00	55.86	488,450	12 87.62	268 172,			H% 2	400 3	.71 639 .66 614	151 151 151	1 357,81 86 318,83	3,250 57,8 9,916,9	62			12:		2,361,645
Mark Wale Mar	Mart Ware ware ware ware ware ware ware ware w	Mark with with with with with with with with	72 Augusta Reliab. Center (Augusta CC) 60 Baugor Nursing Facility	nebscal							119.15	921,605 5 603.069	1,203,653	(252,048) (1,485,130)	76.57%	55.66	609,692 029,663	66,27 3,27 66,27 3,27	528 3,552			2 2 2	225	.85 2,762 50 416	36. 36.	15 2,158,8 10 326.7	7 2,156,8 2 326,7	5			¢, m,		2,605,979
Marti Mar	Mart Mart Mart Mart Mart Mart Mart Mart		219 Barron Centor	mtierland							106.41	1,225,390	1,539,114	(313,724)	79.62%	53.44 55.44	971,024 520,122	51.17 77. 53.53 1.00	2,956 740 5,648 · 1,142			- 7 - 7	3,576 3.	60	710 34	1,285 5,7	619 8	M3 (24,12					3,537,050
Mark Mark <th< td=""><td>Max Max Max</td></th<> <td>Max Max Max<td>76 Brontwood Manor</td><td>mberland</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>98,51 Pa Fa</td><td>1,730,808</td><td>1,773,476</td><td>(42,668) 0</td><td>37.95.19 3700,001</td><td>48.25</td><td>965'569'</td><td>48.25 94</td><td>367 94</td><td></td><td></td><td>200</td><td>5,053 3</td><td>33 1,204</td><td>426 34.</td><td>53 675,4 56 309.4</td><td>7 675,4</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>105'0111'2</td></td>	Max	Max Max <td>76 Brontwood Manor</td> <td>mberland</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>98,51 Pa Fa</td> <td>1,730,808</td> <td>1,773,476</td> <td>(42,668) 0</td> <td>37.95.19 3700,001</td> <td>48.25</td> <td>965'569'</td> <td>48.25 94</td> <td>367 94</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>200</td> <td>5,053 3</td> <td>33 1,204</td> <td>426 34.</td> <td>53 675,4 56 309.4</td> <td>7 675,4</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>105'0111'2</td>	76 Brontwood Manor	mberland							98,51 Pa Fa	1,730,808	1,773,476	(42,668) 0	37.95.19 3700,001	48.25	965'569'	48.25 94	367 94			200	5,053 3	33 1,204	426 34.	53 675,4 56 309.4	7 675,4						105'0111'2
Mark view view view view view view view view	Image Image <th< td=""><td>Marce Marce <th< td=""><td>99 Brewer Rehab & Living Confer</td><td>nobscol mbarland</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>101.21</td><td>565,302</td><td>1,022,019</td><td>(116,026)</td><td>88.65% or 10%</td><td>58.21</td><td>947,710 315,480</td><td>68.32 56 67.57 98</td><td>7,805 685</td><td></td><td></td><td>28%</td><td>1,041 3</td><td>18 782</td><td>499 37.</td><td>19 656,7</td><td>8 655,7</td><td>- 96</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>3,584,313</td></th<></td></th<>	Marce Marce <th< td=""><td>99 Brewer Rehab & Living Confer</td><td>nobscol mbarland</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>101.21</td><td>565,302</td><td>1,022,019</td><td>(116,026)</td><td>88.65% or 10%</td><td>58.21</td><td>947,710 315,480</td><td>68.32 56 67.57 98</td><td>7,805 685</td><td></td><td></td><td>28%</td><td>1,041 3</td><td>18 782</td><td>499 37.</td><td>19 656,7</td><td>8 655,7</td><td>- 96</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>3,584,313</td></th<>	99 Brewer Rehab & Living Confer	nobscol mbarland							101.21	565,302	1,022,019	(116,026)	88.65% or 10%	58.21	947,710 315,480	68.32 56 67.57 98	7,805 685			28%	1,041 3	18 782	499 37.	19 656,7	8 655,7	- 96					3,584,313
Mark Mark <th< td=""><td>Mark Mark <th< td=""><td>Mark Mark <th< td=""><td>Caribou Nursing Home</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/05</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>103.78 98.68</td><td>1,761,132</td><td></td><td>(140,778)</td><td>917.7e</td><td>53.14</td><td>360,138,</td><td>71.5 91</td><td>362'1 86S'1</td><td></td><td></td><td>21%</td><td>5,833 3</td><td>.45 941 re 1564</td><td>516 36, 541 46</td><td>45 627,3 38 679,9</td><td>679,5 0 679,9</td><td> 8 2</td><td></td><td></td><td>ί≃.</td><td></td><td>3,747,180</td></th<></td></th<></td></th<>	Mark Mark <th< td=""><td>Mark Mark <th< td=""><td>Caribou Nursing Home</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/05</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>103.78 98.68</td><td>1,761,132</td><td></td><td>(140,778)</td><td>917.7e</td><td>53.14</td><td>360,138,</td><td>71.5 91</td><td>362'1 86S'1</td><td></td><td></td><td>21%</td><td>5,833 3</td><td>.45 941 re 1564</td><td>516 36, 541 46</td><td>45 627,3 38 679,9</td><td>679,5 0 679,9</td><td> 8 2</td><td></td><td></td><td>ί≃.</td><td></td><td>3,747,180</td></th<></td></th<>	Mark Mark <th< td=""><td>Caribou Nursing Home</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/05</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>103.78 98.68</td><td>1,761,132</td><td></td><td>(140,778)</td><td>917.7e</td><td>53.14</td><td>360,138,</td><td>71.5 91</td><td>362'1 86S'1</td><td></td><td></td><td>21%</td><td>5,833 3</td><td>.45 941 re 1564</td><td>516 36, 541 46</td><td>45 627,3 38 679,9</td><td>679,5 0 679,9</td><td> 8 2</td><td></td><td></td><td>ί≃.</td><td></td><td>3,747,180</td></th<>	Caribou Nursing Home	-			11/05		-		103.78 98.68	1,761,132		(140,778)	917.7e	53.14	360,138,	71.5 91	362'1 86S'1			21%	5,833 3	.45 941 re 1564	516 36, 541 46	45 627,3 38 679,9	679,5 0 679,9	 8 2			ί≃.		3,747,180
Marking brain, brain weight, brain weight	Marking barrier bar	Market Markt Markt Markt <td>Cedar Ridge Nursing Care Conter</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>50/11 17.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>124.87</td> <td>537,323</td> <td></td> <td>(305,134)</td> <td>83.44%</td> <td>54,39</td> <td>818,515</td> <td>63.01 B0</td> <td>2,524 1,224</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>52%</td> <td>7,908 2</td> <td>,05 850 ,058 850</td> <td>764 25</td> <td>00 650,8</td> <td>1 650,8</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td>4,442,811</td>	Cedar Ridge Nursing Care Conter				50/11 17.				124.87	537,323		(305,134)	83.44%	54,39	818,515	63.01 B0	2,524 1,224			52%	7,908 2	,05 850 ,058 850	764 25	00 650,8	1 650,8				4		4,442,811
United United<	Mark Mark <th< td=""><td>Image Image <th< td=""><td>102 Codats Nursling Core Camor 109 Clover Maner, Inc.</td><td></td><td>•</td><td></td><td>/1/11 25.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>94.17</td><td>2,372,959</td><td></td><td>(70,847)</td><td>98.67%</td><td>54.66 58.21</td><td>,969,953 846,579</td><td>60.54 53 60.54 53</td><td>2339 80613</td><td></td><td></td><td>15%</td><td>2 583</td><td>32 354</td><td>017 25</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>5 6</td><td></td><td>304 1,518,426</td></th<></td></th<>	Image Image <th< td=""><td>102 Codats Nursling Core Camor 109 Clover Maner, Inc.</td><td></td><td>•</td><td></td><td>/1/11 25.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>94.17</td><td>2,372,959</td><td></td><td>(70,847)</td><td>98.67%</td><td>54.66 58.21</td><td>,969,953 846,579</td><td>60.54 53 60.54 53</td><td>2339 80613</td><td></td><td></td><td>15%</td><td>2 583</td><td>32 354</td><td>017 25</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>5 6</td><td></td><td>304 1,518,426</td></th<>	102 Codats Nursling Core Camor 109 Clover Maner, Inc.		•		/1/11 25.				94.17	2,372,959		(70,847)	98.67%	54.66 58.21	,969,953 846,579	60.54 53 60.54 53	2339 80613			15%	2 583	32 354	017 25						5 6		304 1,518,426
Mark Mark <th< td=""><td>Mark Mark <th< td=""><td>Mart Mart <th< td=""><td>39 Constal Manor</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>93.66</td><td>618,540</td><td></td><td>(112,008)</td><td>84.67%</td><td>58.21</td><td>818,735</td><td>71,52 45</td><td>4,038 55</td><td></td><td></td><td>39%</td><td>1,320 2 8.550 2</td><td>11. 196 - 144</td><td>820 23</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Ψ</td><td></td><td></td></th<></td></th<></td></th<>	Mark Mark <th< td=""><td>Mart Mart <th< td=""><td>39 Constal Manor</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>93.66</td><td>618,540</td><td></td><td>(112,008)</td><td>84.67%</td><td>58.21</td><td>818,735</td><td>71,52 45</td><td>4,038 55</td><td></td><td></td><td>39%</td><td>1,320 2 8.550 2</td><td>11. 196 - 144</td><td>820 23</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Ψ</td><td></td><td></td></th<></td></th<>	Mart Mart <th< td=""><td>39 Constal Manor</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>93.66</td><td>618,540</td><td></td><td>(112,008)</td><td>84.67%</td><td>58.21</td><td>818,735</td><td>71,52 45</td><td>4,038 55</td><td></td><td></td><td>39%</td><td>1,320 2 8.550 2</td><td>11. 196 - 144</td><td>820 23</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Ψ</td><td></td><td></td></th<>	39 Constal Manor								93.66	618,540		(112,008)	84.67%	58.21	818,735	71,52 45	4,038 55			39%	1,320 2 8.550 2	11. 196 - 144	820 23						Ψ		
Moto Moto <th< td=""><td>Moto Moto <th< td=""><td>Wate Mate <th< td=""><td>40 Collier's Health Core Contar and Colorier Maath Core</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>85,60</td><td>1,149,797</td><td>1,260</td><td>(110,577)</td><td>91.23% e1 ocw.</td><td>57.43</td><td>960,633 559 047</td><td>51.71 84 56.41 46</td><td>0/ 286,0</td><td></td><td></td><td>262</td><td>1 015'6</td><td>101 12</td><td>81 660</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>έË</td><td></td><td></td></th<></td></th<></td></th<>	Moto Moto <th< td=""><td>Wate Mate <th< td=""><td>40 Collier's Health Core Contar and Colorier Maath Core</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>85,60</td><td>1,149,797</td><td>1,260</td><td>(110,577)</td><td>91.23% e1 ocw.</td><td>57.43</td><td>960,633 559 047</td><td>51.71 84 56.41 46</td><td>0/ 286,0</td><td></td><td></td><td>262</td><td>1 015'6</td><td>101 12</td><td>81 660</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>έË</td><td></td><td></td></th<></td></th<>	Wate Mate Mate <th< td=""><td>40 Collier's Health Core Contar and Colorier Maath Core</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>85,60</td><td>1,149,797</td><td>1,260</td><td>(110,577)</td><td>91.23% e1 ocw.</td><td>57.43</td><td>960,633 559 047</td><td>51.71 84 56.41 46</td><td>0/ 286,0</td><td></td><td></td><td>262</td><td>1 015'6</td><td>101 12</td><td>81 660</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>έË</td><td></td><td></td></th<>	40 Collier's Health Core Contar and Colorier Maath Core	-							85,60	1,149,797	1,260	(110,577)	91.23% e1 ocw.	57.43	960,633 559 047	51.71 84 56.41 46	0/ 286,0			262	1 015'6	101 12	81 660						έË		
Norw Norw <th< td=""><td>Image Image <th< td=""><td>Image Image <th< td=""><td>30 Country Manor Nurshing Home</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>71/11 8,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>519,318 951,082</td><td>1,153</td><td>(1657,251) (9657,251)</td><td>%E0'58</td><td>56.94</td><td>930,324</td><td>51.18 60</td><td>3,792 54</td><td></td><td></td><td>%52</td><td>8,176 2 c 7n7 3</td><td>.,68 503 60 842</td><td>041 27 014 3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>18</td><td>263 3</td><td>263 3,901,750</td></th<></td></th<></td></th<>	Image Image <th< td=""><td>Image Image <th< td=""><td>30 Country Manor Nurshing Home</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>71/11 8,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>519,318 951,082</td><td>1,153</td><td>(1657,251) (9657,251)</td><td>%E0'58</td><td>56.94</td><td>930,324</td><td>51.18 60</td><td>3,792 54</td><td></td><td></td><td>%52</td><td>8,176 2 c 7n7 3</td><td>.,68 503 60 842</td><td>041 27 014 3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>18</td><td>263 3</td><td>263 3,901,750</td></th<></td></th<>	Image Image <th< td=""><td>30 Country Manor Nurshing Home</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>71/11 8,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>519,318 951,082</td><td>1,153</td><td>(1657,251) (9657,251)</td><td>%E0'58</td><td>56.94</td><td>930,324</td><td>51.18 60</td><td>3,792 54</td><td></td><td></td><td>%52</td><td>8,176 2 c 7n7 3</td><td>.,68 503 60 842</td><td>041 27 014 3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>18</td><td>263 3</td><td>263 3,901,750</td></th<>	30 Country Manor Nurshing Home				71/11 8,					519,318 951,082	1,153	(1657,251) (9657,251)	%E0'58	56.94	930,324	51.18 60	3,792 54			%52	8,176 2 c 7n7 3	.,68 503 60 842	041 27 014 3						18	263 3	263 3,901,750
Mart Mart <th< td=""><td>Mart Mart <th< td=""><td>Mart Mart <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td>101 11/16/</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1,714,983</td><td>N</td><td>(326, 775)</td><td>81.94%</td><td>55.85</td><td>248,589</td><td>84.19 87 re.er</td><td>2,645 1,312</td><td></td><td></td><td>418</td><td>1.440 2</td><td>50 251</td><td>382</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>5</td><td>221</td><td>1,819</td></th<></td></th<></td></th<>	Mart Mart <th< td=""><td>Mart Mart <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td>101 11/16/</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1,714,983</td><td>N</td><td>(326, 775)</td><td>81.94%</td><td>55.85</td><td>248,589</td><td>84.19 87 re.er</td><td>2,645 1,312</td><td></td><td></td><td>418</td><td>1.440 2</td><td>50 251</td><td>382</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>5</td><td>221</td><td>1,819</td></th<></td></th<>	Mart Mart <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td>101 11/16/</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1,714,983</td><td>N</td><td>(326, 775)</td><td>81.94%</td><td>55.85</td><td>248,589</td><td>84.19 87 re.er</td><td>2,645 1,312</td><td></td><td></td><td>418</td><td>1.440 2</td><td>50 251</td><td>382</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>5</td><td>221</td><td>1,819</td></th<>				_	101 11/16/					1,714,983	N	(326, 775)	81.94%	55.85	248,589	84.19 87 re.er	2,645 1,312			418	1.440 2	50 251	382						5	221	1,819
Number Number<	Unit Unit <thunit< th=""> Unit Unit <thu< td=""><td>Image Image <th< td=""><td></td><td>ī</td><td></td><td></td><td>6 11/1E</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>786,854</td><td></td><td>(44.748)</td><td>94.62% DE DEV</td><td>58.21</td><td>6/6,65U</td><td>51.25 71 57.25 71</td><td>151 B2</td><td></td><td></td><td>S6%</td><td>6,092 2</td><td>11 623</td><td>534 25</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>86</td><td>2 128, 2</td><td>105/102/2 128/102</td></th<></td></thu<></thunit<>	Image Image <th< td=""><td></td><td>ī</td><td></td><td></td><td>6 11/1E</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>786,854</td><td></td><td>(44.748)</td><td>94.62% DE DEV</td><td>58.21</td><td>6/6,65U</td><td>51.25 71 57.25 71</td><td>151 B2</td><td></td><td></td><td>S6%</td><td>6,092 2</td><td>11 623</td><td>534 25</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>86</td><td>2 128, 2</td><td>105/102/2 128/102</td></th<>		ī			6 11/1E					786,854		(44.748)	94.62% DE DEV	58.21	6/6,65U	51.25 71 57.25 71	151 B2			S6%	6,092 2	11 623	534 25						86	2 128, 2	105/102/2 128/102
Max Max <td>Mutual Mutual Mutual<</td> <td>Max Max Max<td>53 Doxtor Nursing Homo</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1,578,228</td><td></td><td>(162,222)</td><td>90,68%</td><td>52.66</td><td>931,995</td><td>70.65 36</td><td>1,149 1,15</td><td></td><td></td><td>54%</td><td>7,335 5</td><td>1,42 1,481</td><td>787 22</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>6</td><td>2 523</td><td>223 2,506,825</td></td>	Mutual Mutual<	Max Max <td>53 Doxtor Nursing Homo</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1,578,228</td> <td></td> <td>(162,222)</td> <td>90,68%</td> <td>52.66</td> <td>931,995</td> <td>70.65 36</td> <td>1,149 1,15</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>54%</td> <td>7,335 5</td> <td>1,42 1,481</td> <td>787 22</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>6</td> <td>2 523</td> <td>223 2,506,825</td>	53 Doxtor Nursing Homo									1,578,228		(162,222)	90,68%	52.66	931,995	70.65 36	1,149 1,15			54%	7,335 5	1,42 1,481	787 22						6	2 523	223 2,506,825
matrix matrix<	min min <td>Mark Mark <th< td=""><td>81 Durgh Plnex was Harbor Home</td><td>York</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1,267,295</td><td>-</td><td>•</td><td>100.00%</td><td>55.22</td><td>,221,616 cen 473</td><td>55.22 8) 52.35 8)</td><td>6,286 87 0.103 41</td><td></td><td>0,01 U</td><td>75%</td><td>1,082</td><td>128</td><td>S40 25</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>199</td><td>55 S</td><td>1,381,723 1,861,723</td></th<></td>	Mark Mark <th< td=""><td>81 Durgh Plnex was Harbor Home</td><td>York</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1,267,295</td><td>-</td><td>•</td><td>100.00%</td><td>55.22</td><td>,221,616 cen 473</td><td>55.22 8) 52.35 8)</td><td>6,286 87 0.103 41</td><td></td><td>0,01 U</td><td>75%</td><td>1,082</td><td>128</td><td>S40 25</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>199</td><td>55 S</td><td>1,381,723 1,861,723</td></th<>	81 Durgh Plnex was Harbor Home	York								1,267,295	-	•	100.00%	55.22	,221,616 cen 473	55.22 8) 52.35 8)	6,286 87 0.103 41		0,01 U	75%	1,082	128	S40 25						199	55 S	1,381,723 1,861,723
Num Num <td>Nu Nu Nu<</td> <td>Num Num Num<td>33 Edgewood Manor</td><td>Franklin</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1.1</td><td>695,187 en. 410</td><td></td><td>(85.5,E/) (61.246)</td><td>%65°E6</td><td>58.21</td><td>CEV'196</td><td>70.78 54</td><td>1,640 86</td><td></td><td>16,258) 82</td><td>24%</td><td>3,637 2</td><td>38:</td><td>35, 23</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>i g</td><td></td><td></td></td>	Nu Nu<	Num Num <td>33 Edgewood Manor</td> <td>Franklin</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.1</td> <td>695,187 en. 410</td> <td></td> <td>(85.5,E/) (61.246)</td> <td>%65°E6</td> <td>58.21</td> <td>CEV'196</td> <td>70.78 54</td> <td>1,640 86</td> <td></td> <td>16,258) 82</td> <td>24%</td> <td>3,637 2</td> <td>38:</td> <td>35, 23</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>i g</td> <td></td> <td></td>	33 Edgewood Manor	Franklin							1.1	695,187 en. 410		(85.5,E/) (61.246)	%65°E6	58.21	CEV'196	70.78 54	1,640 86		16,258) 82	24%	3,637 2	38:	35, 23						i g		
Monte Monte <th< td=""><td>Monte Monte <th< td=""><td>Mark Mark <th< td=""><td>42 Evergreen Manor</td><td>York</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>16,089,1</td><td>-</td><td>(202,965)</td><td>84,05%</td><td>55.86</td><td>422,587</td><td>62.09 6</td><td>9,543 E8</td><td></td><td>59 (260'66</td><td>%16</td><td>2,912 3 c co7 3</td><td>122 81: 134 46</td><td>GE 999E</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>19</td><td></td><td></td></th<></td></th<></td></th<>	Monte Monte <th< td=""><td>Mark Mark <th< td=""><td>42 Evergreen Manor</td><td>York</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>16,089,1</td><td>-</td><td>(202,965)</td><td>84,05%</td><td>55.86</td><td>422,587</td><td>62.09 6</td><td>9,543 E8</td><td></td><td>59 (260'66</td><td>%16</td><td>2,912 3 c co7 3</td><td>122 81: 134 46</td><td>GE 999E</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>19</td><td></td><td></td></th<></td></th<>	Mark Mark <th< td=""><td>42 Evergreen Manor</td><td>York</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>16,089,1</td><td>-</td><td>(202,965)</td><td>84,05%</td><td>55.86</td><td>422,587</td><td>62.09 6</td><td>9,543 E8</td><td></td><td>59 (260'66</td><td>%16</td><td>2,912 3 c co7 3</td><td>122 81: 134 46</td><td>GE 999E</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>19</td><td></td><td></td></th<>	42 Evergreen Manor	York								16,089,1	-	(202,965)	84,05%	55.86	422,587	62.09 6	9,543 E8		59 (260'66	%16	2,912 3 c co7 3	122 81: 134 46	GE 999E						19		
Optimum Mark Mark <	Internet Parter Parter Parter Parter Parter	Image Image <th< td=""><td>55 Faimouth By Tha Saa</td><td>Cumberland</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1,247,070</td><td></td><td>(256'921)</td><td>90.10%</td><td>82.16</td><td>143,064</td><td>71,31 1,03</td><td>7,352 90</td><td></td><td>16,992 110 26,058) 81</td><td>24%</td><td>2 (E2,8</td><td>19</td><td>981 Z4</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>21</td><td></td><td>567 3,054,538</td></th<>	55 Faimouth By Tha Saa	Cumberland								1,247,070		(256'921)	90.10%	82.16	143,064	71,31 1,03	7,352 90		16,992 110 26,058) 81	24%	2 (E2,8	19	981 Z4						21		567 3,054,538
Model Model <th< td=""><td>Model Model <th< td=""><td>Matrix Matrix Matrix<</td><td>45 Forest Mill Manor</td><td>Cumbertand</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1,813,72</td><td>-</td><td>0</td><td>100.00%</td><td>55.85</td><td>526,902,1 636,800</td><td>62.46 50</td><td>5,496 54</td><td></td><td>26 (105'96</td><td>20%</td><td>0,227 2</td><td>1.90 21:</td><td>744 2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>52.5</td><td></td><td></td></th<></td></th<>	Model Model <th< td=""><td>Matrix Matrix Matrix<</td><td>45 Forest Mill Manor</td><td>Cumbertand</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1,813,72</td><td>-</td><td>0</td><td>100.00%</td><td>55.85</td><td>526,902,1 636,800</td><td>62.46 50</td><td>5,496 54</td><td></td><td>26 (105'96</td><td>20%</td><td>0,227 2</td><td>1.90 21:</td><td>744 2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>52.5</td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Matrix Matrix<	45 Forest Mill Manor	Cumbertand								1,813,72	-	0	100.00%	55.85	526,902,1 636,800	62.46 50	5,496 54		26 (105'96	20%	0,227 2	1.90 21:	744 2						52.5		
mutual mutual<	mutual mutual<	Turn Turn <th< td=""><td>30 Frydburg Health Care Contar</td><td>Oxford</td><td></td><td></td><td>8 11/16/2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>12.121</td><td></td><td>0</td><td>100.001</td><td>48.87</td><td>763,136</td><td>52,84 52</td><td>6,010 58</td><td></td><td>(30,706) 92</td><td>548 F</td><td>4,8Z0</td><td>235 33</td><td>,202 22 REU 36</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>8</td><td></td><td></td></th<>	30 Frydburg Health Care Contar	Oxford			8 11/16/2					12.121		0	100.001	48.87	763,136	52,84 52	6,010 58		(30,706) 92	548 F	4,8Z0	235 33	,202 22 REU 36						8		
Num Num <td>Num Num Num<td>Num Num Num<td>45 Gurdiner Health Care Facility</td><td>Arostock</td><td></td><td></td><td>8 11/16/2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>71,867</td><td></td><td>(187,689)</td><td>80.97%</td><td>58.51</td><td>1,065,760</td><td>60.48 53.83 1.34</td><td>2,011 50 7,204 1,29</td><td></td><td>16,565) 94 18,777 103</td><td>11%</td><td>0095</td><td>104</td><td>,801 35</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>55</td><td></td><td></td></td></td>	Num Num <td>Num Num Num<td>45 Gurdiner Health Care Facility</td><td>Arostock</td><td></td><td></td><td>8 11/16/2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>71,867</td><td></td><td>(187,689)</td><td>80.97%</td><td>58.51</td><td>1,065,760</td><td>60.48 53.83 1.34</td><td>2,011 50 7,204 1,29</td><td></td><td>16,565) 94 18,777 103</td><td>11%</td><td>0095</td><td>104</td><td>,801 35</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>55</td><td></td><td></td></td>	Num Num <td>45 Gurdiner Health Care Facility</td> <td>Arostock</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>8 11/16/2</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>71,867</td> <td></td> <td>(187,689)</td> <td>80.97%</td> <td>58.51</td> <td>1,065,760</td> <td>60.48 53.83 1.34</td> <td>2,011 50 7,204 1,29</td> <td></td> <td>16,565) 94 18,777 103</td> <td>11%</td> <td>0095</td> <td>104</td> <td>,801 35</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>55</td> <td></td> <td></td>	45 Gurdiner Health Care Facility	Arostock			8 11/16/2				-	71,867		(187,689)	80.97%	58.51	1,065,760	60.48 53.83 1.34	2,011 50 7,204 1,29		16,565) 94 18,777 103	11%	0095	104	,801 35						55		
Math Math <th< td=""><td>Mutual Mutual Mutual<</td><td>Mutual Mutual Mutual<</td><td>52 Gorham Housa 86 Greenwood Cenler</td><td>York</td><td></td><td></td><td>5/30/11 24</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2,224,993</td><td>2</td><td>(114,854) (258,984)</td><td>-750,65 -728,65</td><td>58,50</td><td>123,944</td><td>12.13</td><td>6,083 46</td><td></td><td>30,458) 72</td><td>04%</td><td>3,826</td><td>12 E</td><td>985 45</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>- 14</td></th<>	Mutual Mutual<	Mutual Mutual<	52 Gorham Housa 86 Greenwood Cenler	York			5/30/11 24					2,224,993	2	(114,854) (258,984)	-750,65 -728,65	58,50	123,944	12.13	6,083 46		30,458) 72	04%	3,826	12 E	985 45				1				- 14
Model Model <th< td=""><td>Markat Markat Markat<</td><td>Mark Mark <th< td=""><td>40 Harbor Hill</td><td>Waldo</td><td></td><td></td><td>2 11/15/2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1,483,67</td><td>-</td><td>(177,164</td><td>%26,08</td><td>53.44</td><td>905'550'1</td><td>49.3 7</td><td>9,316 73</td><td></td><td>50,374 101 /c sec: o</td><td>-40% </td><td>1950</td><td>5.69 bU 5.15 24</td><td>52 M29</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Li</td><td></td><td>336</td><td></td><td></td></th<></td></th<>	Markat Markat<	Mark Mark <th< td=""><td>40 Harbor Hill</td><td>Waldo</td><td></td><td></td><td>2 11/15/2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1,483,67</td><td>-</td><td>(177,164</td><td>%26,08</td><td>53.44</td><td>905'550'1</td><td>49.3 7</td><td>9,316 73</td><td></td><td>50,374 101 /c sec: o</td><td>-40% </td><td>1950</td><td>5.69 bU 5.15 24</td><td>52 M29</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Li</td><td></td><td>336</td><td></td><td></td></th<>	40 Harbor Hill	Waldo			2 11/15/2					1,483,67	-	(177,164	%26,08	53.44	905'550'1	49.3 7	9,316 73		50,374 101 /c sec: o	-40% 	1950	5.69 bU 5.15 24	52 M29				Li		336		
Image: proving in the proving into	Image: Description Descripication Description	Marking Description Description <thdescripication< th=""> <thdescription< th=""></thdescription<></thdescripication<>	63 Hawthome House	Cumbarland Kannuher			5 11/102					511,78		(83,358	84,57%	58.50	561,455		14,854 39 19,647 1,76		138,857) 51 38,857) 51	05%	0,754	2.65 70	599 23						366.		106 3,569,790
Monte Monte <th< td=""><td>Monte Monte <th< td=""><td>Mark Mark <th< td=""><td>28 Horage reared</td><td>Placataquis</td><td>÷</td><td></td><td>9/30/11 20</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1,779,26</td><td>N 7</td><td>(357,551</td><td>81,20%</td><td>58.21</td><td>112,529,11</td><td>54.34 7</td><td>21 E27, P</td><td></td><td>01 668,12</td><td>12%</td><td>IE,769</td><td>8.21 47</td><td>108 28</td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td>4.,</td><td></td><td>575, 18</td><td>• •</td><td>• -</td></th<></td></th<></td></th<>	Monte Monte <th< td=""><td>Mark Mark <th< td=""><td>28 Horage reared</td><td>Placataquis</td><td>÷</td><td></td><td>9/30/11 20</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1,779,26</td><td>N 7</td><td>(357,551</td><td>81,20%</td><td>58.21</td><td>112,529,11</td><td>54.34 7</td><td>21 E27, P</td><td></td><td>01 668,12</td><td>12%</td><td>IE,769</td><td>8.21 47</td><td>108 28</td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td>4.,</td><td></td><td>575, 18</td><td>• •</td><td>• -</td></th<></td></th<>	Mark Mark <th< td=""><td>28 Horage reared</td><td>Placataquis</td><td>÷</td><td></td><td>9/30/11 20</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1,779,26</td><td>N 7</td><td>(357,551</td><td>81,20%</td><td>58.21</td><td>112,529,11</td><td>54.34 7</td><td>21 E27, P</td><td></td><td>01 668,12</td><td>12%</td><td>IE,769</td><td>8.21 47</td><td>108 28</td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td>4.,</td><td></td><td>575, 18</td><td>• •</td><td>• -</td></th<>	28 Horage reared	Placataquis	÷		9/30/11 20					1,779,26	N 7	(357,551	81,20%	58.21	112,529,11	54.34 7	21 E27, P		01 668,12	12%	IE,769	8.21 47	108 28		_		4.,		575, 18	• •	• -
Martine Martine <t< td=""><td>Martine Martine <t< td=""><td>Totale Totale Totale<</td><td>51 High Vlow Manur</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>164,94</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td>50.85</td><td>717,651</td><td>56.85 4</td><td>19,043 50</td><td></td><td>52,866) 61</td><td>46% Cov</td><td>7 774</td><td>2,35 66 5 7 1 2 8</td><td>1121 36</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Ш</td><td></td><td>1,17</td><td>8</td><td>10 126,112</td></t<></td></t<>	Martine Martine <t< td=""><td>Totale Totale Totale<</td><td>51 High Vlow Manur</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>164,94</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td>50.85</td><td>717,651</td><td>56.85 4</td><td>19,043 50</td><td></td><td>52,866) 61</td><td>46% Cov</td><td>7 774</td><td>2,35 66 5 7 1 2 8</td><td>1121 36</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Ш</td><td></td><td>1,17</td><td>8</td><td>10 126,112</td></t<>	Totale Totale<	51 High Vlow Manur									164,94	-			50.85	717,651	56.85 4	19,043 50		52,866) 61	46% Cov	7 774	2,35 66 5 7 1 2 8	1121 36				Ш		1,17	8	10 126,112
Monte Monte <th< td=""><td>Invest Description Open of the process of the process</td><td>New Desc Desc</td><td>55 Horizans Living & Rehab Cir (See Amonity,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>103.34</td><td>1,077,496</td><td>138.5</td><td>21,932 A</td><td></td><td>- (CERTOL</td><td>187</td><td>13,575</td><td></td><td>22 24</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>660,02</td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Invest Description Open of the process	New Desc	55 Horizans Living & Rehab Cir (See Amonity,													103.34	1,077,496	138.5	21,932 A		- (CERTOL	187	13,575		22 24						660,02		
Summal Summal<	Model Model <th< td=""><td>Test Test <th< td=""><td>28 Houlton Regional Hospike</td><td>Hancock</td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>56.21 103.34</td><td>456,198</td><td>n 1976</td><td>CE \$60'L</td><td></td><td>32,066 101</td><td>46%</td><td>4,375</td><td>6.92 12</td><td>(633</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_l.,</td><td></td><td>968,99 811,71</td><td></td><td>3 1.895.000</td></th<></td></th<>	Test Test <th< td=""><td>28 Houlton Regional Hospike</td><td>Hancock</td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>56.21 103.34</td><td>456,198</td><td>n 1976</td><td>CE \$60'L</td><td></td><td>32,066 101</td><td>46%</td><td>4,375</td><td>6.92 12</td><td>(633</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_l.,</td><td></td><td>968,99 811,71</td><td></td><td>3 1.895.000</td></th<>	28 Houlton Regional Hospike	Hancock			_		_							56.21 103.34	456,198	n 1976	CE \$60'L		32,066 101	46%	4,375	6.92 12	(633				_l.,		968,99 811,71		3 1.895.000
Presented Presented Presented Presented Presented Presente	Public Methods Period	Prime Prima Prime Prime <th< td=""><td>18 Jackman Rogion Haufth Conler</td><td>Somersol</td><td></td><td></td><td>5/30/11 3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>·</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>58.21</td><td>852,847</td><td>65.77 E</td><td>18,983 72</td><td></td><td>B4,287} 81</td><td>51%</td><td>12,968</td><td>4,83 32</td><td>106,1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td>186,92</td><td></td><td>2,552,997</td></th<>	18 Jackman Rogion Haufth Conler	Somersol			5/30/11 3				·					58.21	852,847	65.77 E	18,983 72		B4,287} 81	51%	12,968	4,83 32	106,1				1		186,92		2,552,997
		Nome Nome <th< td=""><td>36 Katahdin Nursing Home</td><td>Pattobacol</td><td></td><td></td><td>11 11/16/2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td>55.85</td><td>1,732,784</td><td>7 . 12.17</td><td>16 159 91</td><td></td><td>97,685) 7 46.865) 7.</td><td>37%</td><td>23,670</td><td>26 51.7 26 51.1</td><td>136 3.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>419.72</td><td></td><td>1 4,148,640</td></th<>	36 Katahdin Nursing Home	Pattobacol			11 11/16/2						-			55.85	1,732,784	7 . 12.17	16 159 91		97,685) 7 46.865) 7.	37%	23,670	26 51.7 26 51.1	136 3.						419.72		1 4,148,640
Openalize Vertifielity Control Contro Control	Monthis Monthis <t< td=""><td>United United United<</td><td>78 Kennebunk Nutsing Home sei knoor Center fort one Tintin Cata</td><td>Knox</td><td></td><td></td><td>3/11/11/16</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>54.41</td><td>2,127,207 7 554 980</td><td>0'L 61.67 E.L 9.93</td><td>24'H 228'H</td><td></td><td>27,216) 7</td><td>316</td><td>36,535</td><td>2.44 1,55</td><td>619 4:</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>584,991</td><td></td><td>0 5,307,475</td></t<>	United United<	78 Kennebunk Nutsing Home sei knoor Center fort one Tintin Cata	Knox			3/11/11/16									54.41	2,127,207 7 554 980	0'L 61.67 E.L 9.93	24'H 228'H		27,216) 7	316	36,535	2.44 1,55	619 4:						584,991		0 5,307,475
	Operation Description Description <thdescription< th=""> <thdescription< th=""> <</thdescription<></thdescription<>	Oxted Warthin World <	105 Lekowood Manor Nursing Home	Kennebec			9/24/11 23									52.06	1,315,923		36,046 1,02		₽ •	%00.W	25,212	0.43 27	9,738						19'ELS	- z	1 2,573,582
$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		Constrain Control Contro Control Control <	B1 Ladgeview Living Center	Oxford					-							53.20	1.022,705		38 6ZL'0C		80,422 10	1608	21,110 20,409	5,12 31	1 1111				2		747,68		3 Z,959,115
$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $			60 Lodgewood Manor	Cumberlend					_							55.56	1,640,538	55.78 1,0	44,185 1,0-		(4.134) 9 48.6351 9	1916	43,665	0.12 87	12 1.15			- 619			684,31	m	3 5,918,040
Munitiant Protrint Protrit Protrit Protrit <td></td> <td>Montion Montion <t< td=""><td>86 Madigen Estates 446 Mainte Constration</td><td>Kennebec</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>50.20</td><td>2,256,172 1 568 090</td><td>/1 55 CB</td><td>14.450 1.00</td><td>1</td><td>6 (196'E9)</td><td>× 89</td><td>25,678</td><td>3.41 60</td><td>1,106 2</td><td></td><td></td><td>. 107</td><td>2</td><td></td><td>20 050</td><td></td><td>9 3,341,014 8 5,062,393</td></t<></td>		Montion Montion <t< td=""><td>86 Madigen Estates 446 Mainte Constration</td><td>Kennebec</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>50.20</td><td>2,256,172 1 568 090</td><td>/1 55 CB</td><td>14.450 1.00</td><td>1</td><td>6 (196'E9)</td><td>× 89</td><td>25,678</td><td>3.41 60</td><td>1,106 2</td><td></td><td></td><td>. 107</td><td>2</td><td></td><td>20 050</td><td></td><td>9 3,341,014 8 5,062,393</td></t<>	86 Madigen Estates 446 Mainte Constration	Kennebec												50.20	2,256,172 1 568 090	/1 55 CB	14.450 1.00	1	6 (196'E9)	× 89	25,678	3.41 60	1,106 2			. 107	2		20 050		9 3,341,014 8 5,062,393
$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $			77 Maine Generat - Grayblich	Kenneboo												55.86	2,566,055	64.45 1,3	74,232 1,51		11,568) 8	6.66%.	41,350	6.94 1,11 r 10 1 5	(,121 2 6 2 6 2 6			100			894,69		5,621,874
$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $		120 Mairie Volerans Home - Augusta	Kennebec			5 11/00/9		-							55.86	2,976,865	70.45 1,3	71, 295 17, 1		160,256) 7	29%	12,405	17 17 17 17 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	6,139			621	(86)		700,80	-	
Montion Standard Control Standard <	Optimum Section Section <t< td=""><td>Control Standing Description <thdescripion< th=""> <thdescripion< th=""> <thdescr< td=""><td>120 Malne Vel. Home - Bangor</td><td>Penuticol</td><td></td><td></td><td>•</td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>28.21</td><td>592,194 7 202 247</td><td>19798 14</td><td>1 11 11</td><td></td><td>112211 B</td><td>0.86%</td><td>42,326</td><td>1,41</td><td>E 1,32,1</td><td></td><td></td><td>- 6C8'</td><td>3</td><td></td><td>125,02</td><td></td><td>101,000,001</td></thdescr<></thdescripion<></thdescripion<></td></t<>	Control Standing Description Description <thdescripion< th=""> <thdescripion< th=""> <thdescr< td=""><td>120 Malne Vel. Home - Bangor</td><td>Penuticol</td><td></td><td></td><td>•</td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>28.21</td><td>592,194 7 202 247</td><td>19798 14</td><td>1 11 11</td><td></td><td>112211 B</td><td>0.86%</td><td>42,326</td><td>1,41</td><td>E 1,32,1</td><td></td><td></td><td>- 6C8'</td><td>3</td><td></td><td>125,02</td><td></td><td>101,000,001</td></thdescr<></thdescripion<></thdescripion<>	120 Malne Vel. Home - Bangor	Penuticol			•	_								28.21	592,194 7 202 247	19798 14	1 11 11		112211 B	0.86%	42,326	1,41	E 1,32,1			- 6C8'	3		125,02		101,000,001
Mathematical Mathematical<	Montolia Solutionis Control Solutionis Solutio	Notion Section Control Control <th< td=""><td>40 Maine Veletans Porture-Cantore 120 Maine Veletans Horne-Scar.</td><td>Cumberland</td><td>Scarbatough</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>22.22</td><td>1,814,160</td><td>83.31</td><td>77,515 1,1</td><td></td><td>82,077) 6</td><td>%S0.7</td><td>21,777</td><td>18.73 Br</td><td>3,348 3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>366,00</td><td></td><td></td></th<>	40 Maine Veletans Porture-Cantore 120 Maine Veletans Horne-Scar.	Cumberland	Scarbatough				-							22.22	1,814,160	83.31	77,515 1,1		82,077) 6	%S0.7	21,777	18.73 Br	3,348 3						366,00		
Memory Month Total Month Month <t< td=""><td>Memory Memory</td><td>Memory Methodies Memory Methodies Memory Methodies Memory Methodies Memory Methodies Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memor</td><td>52 Maine Vol, Home - So. Parts</td><td></td><td>So, Paris</td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>57.5</td><td>MOE, E88</td><td>50,54</td><td>1 602'12</td><td></td><td>99,561 11</td><td>3.61%</td><td>78,372</td><td>1979 1979 1979</td><td>2,593 2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>562,9</td><td>2</td><td>78 3,292,693</td></t<>	Memory Memory	Memory Methodies Memory Methodies Memory Methodies Memory Methodies Memory Methodies Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memory Memor	52 Maine Vol, Home - So. Parts		So, Paris			_	-							57.5	MOE, E88	50,54	1 602'12		99,561 11	3.61%	78,372	1979 1979 1979	2,593 2						562,9	2	78 3,292,693
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Wethington Control Contro Control Control	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	58 Mnplactes1 Living Contor		Madison				-							55.Bf	1,632,952	1.04	70,756 9		105,454) 1	2.00%	10 562	5 F	2 517.7						136.	52	125 1,738,125
u before many barrier Differential	at building District D	u before the field Description State of the field Description State of the field Stat	76 Market Squaro Health Center		Machiae											22	651,237 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	221.62	C 087'F/		24.765) 2	3, 16%	35,269	13.18 1,5:	1,994 4						DZB.	5 5	172 1,258,458
Mathematical formation Control formation Contro formation	Model Employee Control Contro Contro Contro	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	50 Marshall's Hokim Care Faciny 408 Marshwood Nirrsing Care Carler	Audroscoggin	Lewiston											1.12	516,206,2	10,27	25,466 7		1172 (1172 (11	0.71%	12,590	56°C	2,070 2						547	1 5	576 600,696
Image of the second in the second state in	Image of the matrix is a stand manual for the matrix is a stand manual for the matrix is a stand	Montliant Description Control	40.Mater Home	Areeslook	Eugle Lake											58.2	1,009,127	76.87	66,364 2		(0EE'ES)	5.73%	13,125	12.73	0,995			and and a			505	137	937 2,085,004
Antionation of 001111 Table 1 Table 1 </td <td>Automation Optimination Optimination<td>Pertension Pertension Optiming Constraint Constrain</td><td>42 Mid Coast Goriatric Services - was Bodwe</td><td>Cumberland</td><td>Brunsvick</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>58.2</td><td>921,685</td><td>61,49</td><td>36,468 5</td><td></td><td>(35,864) 5</td><td>4.67%</td><td>14,990</td><td>19.65</td><td>2 095'6</td><td></td><td></td><td>560</td><td></td><td></td><td>022</td><td>834</td><td>-</td></td>	Automation Optimination Optimination <td>Pertension Pertension Optiming Constraint Constrain</td> <td>42 Mid Coast Goriatric Services - was Bodwe</td> <td>Cumberland</td> <td>Brunsvick</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>58.2</td> <td>921,685</td> <td>61,49</td> <td>36,468 5</td> <td></td> <td>(35,864) 5</td> <td>4.67%</td> <td>14,990</td> <td>19.65</td> <td>2 095'6</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>560</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>022</td> <td>834</td> <td>-</td>	Pertension Pertension Optiming Constraint Constrain	42 Mid Coast Goriatric Services - was Bodwe	Cumberland	Brunsvick	-										58.2	921,685	61,49	36,468 5		(35,864) 5	4.67%	14,990	19.65	2 095'6			560			022	834	-
Purchase Number Optimit Total (17)	Manuliari Orbiti 1 State Mark Mark <thmark< th=""> Mark Mark</thmark<>	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	57 Montello Martor	Androscogglr	Lewiston		t LINER		-	-						58.2	564,746	64.6	89,425 4		(42,749) £	0.11%	6,742	2 120	2 620'E			- 1336	1		586	852	
Weinhole OPD/III TAIL	Kuralia Ontril Table	Weinden Offold State	25 Mountain Heights Health Care Facility	Penobscot	Pallen											55.80	1,863,399	56.97 1./	07,672 1,4		5 (216,12)	9.05%	32,481		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	C1.C 00 1	202 272	196			464	580	580 1,572,210
Weakings Weakings Control Contro Control Control <	Weakington Weaking	Weekington Monthige Optimized Monthige Optimized Statistical Stat	g3 MI. St. Joseph Nursing Home	Kenneban	Waterville		•••									56.2	657,159	7 95.38	95,658 4		15,582 10	3.25%	11,655		A70'7			500			6	,860	.,
Visc Bearing Opening Control C	Vec. Bandword Comprol 1 Comprol 2 Com 2 Com 2 Com 2	Vist Bandword Description Descriprin <thdescriprint< th=""> <thdescri< td=""><td>35 Norraguegus Bay Health Care Facility</td><td>Washington</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>55.3</td><td>1,083,313</td><td>43.64</td><td>41,163 7</td><td></td><td>198,847 12</td><td>8.79%</td><td>24,784</td><td>21.57</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1467</td><td></td><td></td><td>18</td><td>1,756</td><td>1,756 1,558,314</td></thdescri<></thdescriprint<>	35 Norraguegus Bay Health Care Facility	Washington								•				55.3	1,083,313	43.64	41,163 7		198,847 12	8.79%	24,784	21.57				1467			18	1,756	1,756 1,558,314
Konce Noncomposition Control	Non- Non- Outling 12:01:11 State	Konce Nonce Control Table Same Control Table Same Control Table Same Same /</td <td>74 Newton Conter - Hillcrost Manor</td> <td>York</td> <td>Sanford</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>58.2</td> <td>923,059</td> <td>66.74</td> <td>70,046 5</td> <td></td> <td>(66.680)</td> <td>7.22%</td> <td>13,830</td> <td>12 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C</td> <td>4276</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>HZ.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>8</td> <td>G,625</td> <td>G,625 3,574,895</td>	74 Newton Conter - Hillcrost Manor	York	Sanford	-						-				58.2	923,059	66.74	70,046 5		(66.680)	7.22%	13,830	12 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	4276			HZ.			8	G,625	G,625 3,574,895
Kennellen Monnelle Offortij 123/11/11/22/11/11/22/11/11/22 22/21/22/21/22/11/22/11/22/11/22/12/22/2	Number Number<	Number Optimize <	42 Norway Convalences Contor	Knox	Narvay				_							56.1	2,151,037	67.46	64,148 1,1		198,021)	3.25%	0/9/16	ef				516 (4	185		8	5,977	5,977 1,358,461
owamickem (herea: Warningen (herea: 1997)) (1997)) (1997)) (1997)	Oderwichstwingtem (Weningtem Lewer under 2011) (16.2011)	commenter manuferen i en eine manuferen i en in sont i sont i en in er	90 Oak Grove Nursing Care Cir.	Kennebco	Watervillo		1111572									615	543,343	56.83	68,770 4		1 206'8	1.44			4 360			985	1		E,	3,268	3,268 1,465,123
	and fealwh breaten fraine ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann a	Could Felicive here of Anime in the formation provide the provided and the	31 Oceanview Nurzing Home	Washington												57.3	632,691	68.17	23,622		(10CZ160)	4. 1475	17.713	111 B	0.030	1.12 248	151 248	151	1		۲Ę	680	089 1.479,097
		Concententulor Concentration of the state of	25 Odd Fellow's Home of Maine	Andrescoppin			11100100									58.5	789,002	64.5 9	56,479 5		(41,044)	100	27.117	9 90 10	9.504 2	5.06 506	112 506	5,112	-		,494,11		296,679,502

Nursing Facilities Comparison of MaineCare Funding & Costs

Page 1 of 2

Nursing Facilities Comparison of MaincCare Funding & Cosis Based on Provider's 2011 "As Filed" Cosis Reports for the Fiscal Years Ending in 2011			6	. 9	(5)	9	E	6	6	(10)	(1)	(12) a) % of	(1)	149	(13)	(10)	E	(18) Variance	(13) (13)	(20)	(13)	(22)	(EZ)	621	(52)	(26) Varlance	L				Variance between
(1)				ļ		Sch A				Pre-Cap Allowable	Variance - between DC Funding & Pre-Cap		Sch A		Sch G Routine R Cost per Ma Day (col	Routine MaineCare	Pre-Cap Allowable Routine	between Routine Funding & Pre-Cap Cost (col 16	Pre-Cap Routine Costs Funded (col 16/	Total days	Sch A Fixed costs		Schedule F G Fixed 1 costs per F	Schedule Fixed Costs G Fixed MaincCare costs per Reimburse	Per-Cap Fixed Allowable Fixed costs	between FC Fre-Cap S costs	Vari 10 [col 2				totaf funding & totaf Pre- Cap costs
	County Tor	Fiscal Ye Begin			Total Resident Days		Direct Sch G Care Direct Care Rate Costs #773 1.411.143	Cost per Maineuse Day (col Funding (co 7 (col 5) 4 × col 6) 105.90 824,223			Cost (col 3 - col 10) (180,103)	8	Routine Rate 46.43	Routine 1 Costs 750,051	- 1	<u> </u>		- col 17) (97,614) (248,355)	0	13,200 20,200	10.82 41.04	Fixed corts 176,241 829,109 741,730		101,654 548,869 540,056	125,611 549,003 540,056	(156,EZ) 1 (156) E	111		1, 1362,087 1, 735, 384 3, 153, 730 3, 153, 755	1,45,699 3,265,179 1,181,684	(410,315) (410,315) (111,449) (248,919)
Cantor .	Hancuck Por Cumberland Sca Aroostook Pre	Penabscal 01/0 Scarborough 01/0 Prasque Islo 10/0					2,366,095 2,285,109 1,858,189	97.79 97.79 93.641	1,404,136 1,563,216 440,529	1,565,962 1,663,897 673,549	(151,526) (581) (233,020)	59,96% 59,96% 65,40%		1,457,456 784,615 1,233,370	62.37 60.75 105.42	850,458 267,519 471,510	1,061,226 284,415 849,685	(110,768) (16,899) (378,175)	55.49% 55.49%	12,897 11,638 11,538		561,293 714,172 1,314,774	43,52 61,37 46,62	203,717 494,642 766,904	203,717 494,642 768,904		(517,056 (517,056			,659,600 9,837,922 3,718,642	(653,827) (517,056) (211,002)
) - The Gardons	scol	*	-	***			1,909,547 3,476,073	122.58 122.58 105.15	1,039,821 1,630,663 760,743	1,315,473 2,021,712 964,226	(203,212) (191,049) (191,049)			1,501,742 634,083 884 547	63,5 59,03 51,3	921,299 533,786 635,379	1,047,306 541,305 856,230	(126,007) (7,519) (20,651)		10,722		249,181 435,485 603,441	23.24 25.26 28	213,111 323,126 386,540	213,111 323,126 386,540		192,102	- N G -		2,173,105 2,664,227 1,149,602	(92,102) (200,586) (125,231)
53 Runford Community Home 50 Russell Park Manor	100 100		01/01/10 06/3 01/01/11 12/3	12/1/1 12/192			1,609,262		1,122,498	1,193,749 1,369,180 7=0,066	(171,251) (67,092) 0		56.14 56.51	1,418,516 491,713	65.81 59.9	775,013 392,778	908,507 402,109	(FEE,8) (FEE,8) (TTE aa)	85.31% 97.66%	8,209 36,845		217,E81		158,427 987,209	158,427 957,209		16270	ਕਰਨ		4,105,422 5,103,607	(777,166) (386,965)
r Nursing Care Cir. ing Center	Franklin Fil Somersat Ho York Sa	Hartland 01/ Saco 01/		12/31/11 6,713 12/31/11 18,051	51 36,872	57.75 98.56	720,317 4,212,990 4 743 520	87.75 114.26 117.61	589,000 1,779,107 2,661,415	2,262,507 2,893,676	(283,400)		55.85 55.42	2,158,490 2,270,690 4 146 979	58.54 1 55.71 1 60.4	1,008,329 1,363,554 890,729	1,370,669 1,370,669 924,241	(215,25) (33,512)		40,686	34.11	1,387,503 466,380	24,56 24,56	375,817 375,817 566,632		1 C C	(80,796)		2,517,927 2 2,314,436 2 2,314,436 2	2,656,723 2,514,022 1616,756	(80,796) (199,586) (335,441)
ri, Horne lih Care facility	berland irsef	Portland 01/ Pitts/held 01/ Felmonth 01/	11/10/10 01/01/11 12/	12/31/11 24,604 12/31/11 15,302 12/31/11 11,039					1,128,075 1,128,075	1,356,665 1,190,998 1,906,063	(47,284) (62,923) (78,532)	·		222	68.52	619,729 1,072,568	756,392 778,826,1 7329,4732	(136,663) (256,909) (50,402)	81.93% 80.68%	25,748 25,748 7,090		2			579,796 150,154	8 7 F	(136,234)			1,132,870	(105,985) (136,234)
65 [sedgowood Comminans 73 [so, Partland Nursing Home 21 [Somersel Marror		tland	01/01/11 12/ 01/01/11 12/ 03/01/11 12/	2/31/11 18,201 2/31/11 5,888 2/31/11 5,145					522,501 513,861	578,084 645,594 1 815 389		90.35% 79.56% 79.79%	58.48		59.2 59.2	359,483	363,784 786,031	(4,301) 66,679 017 856	0 28.82% 108.48%	11,047 20,644 34,637	1 27.78 H 26.17 H 38.45	-				64 (5,468) 86 - 106 (4,235)		(160,396) 2 (395,101) 3 (334,559) 4		4,416,768	(101,28E) (101,58E)
35) Sonogeo Estatos 65) Southindgo Living Contor cont Estimativade Nutrefini Cata Cantor	York Bi Cumberland W			12/31/11 15,159 12/31/11 18,977	59 20,672 17 34,688 31 179	2 104.93 8 108.71 8 96.32	2,470,752 4,094,745 3,071,504	2 119.52 5 118.05 4 98.67	2,062,990 2,062,990 2,191,454	2,240,235	(177,245)	5) 92.09% 2) 99.65%	6 56.13 56.14 79.04	2,345,268 2,197,872 977,425	67.61 70.61 91.17	1,251,304	1,573,826	(111,17)		31,129 10,721	13 28.69 11 32.28 42.89	899,021 346,066 9 568,865		9 11 10					1,380,994 1 2,648,522 2 447,478	1,497,328 2,708,163 499,028	(110,434) (59,661) (51,550)
.H.N hqu		anch -				1 105.92 0 85.25 17 99.85	1,201,708 1,363,375	8 112.09 5 87,62 0 115,57	673,333 1,210,976 245,931	712,556 1,244,642 284,649				C1 U	60.04 61.07 76,34	626,673 137,583 2,967,169	852,868 150,415 4,082,358	(12,832) (12,832) (1,095,189)				7 1,078,926 3 2,544,603 5 741,949	6 25.97 G 34,69 G 35,35	₽	2	2 <u>5 5</u> 5 5	100	-	Γ.	2,920,336 2,044,097 1,117,575	(2,479,148) (2,12,78) (236,409)
	Cumberband P Androscoggin U Penobscol B	Portland 01 Lewiston 01 Bangor 01					9,607,135 1,883,054 897,892	5 130,58 4 69,62 82 100,10		w	(221'171) 0 (221'171)	- · ·			58.67 74.51 57.98	521,778 326,255 654,768	653,056 415,542 654,766		-			a 231,239 7 456,082 3 486,567	19 25.78 12 25.07 57 21.13					- स. जनस्व	1,966,112 2,671,624 0,166,348	1,965,112 2,880,415 2,213,384	0 (208.781) (57.048)
2.8 Suntiser Statemial Carlo Facility 2.8 Suntise Residential Carlo Facility 5.3 Talipines Hoalth Carlo Facility 6.4 Variney Cossing Mursing Carlo Center	und nu	ort }	21 11/10/10 07/11/10 01/10/10 01/10/10	12/31/11 11.293 08/30/11 16,095 17/71/11 13.550	- N -				1,016,935 1,432,239 1,090,504	1,015,935 1,519,329 1,091,995	(1,490) (1,491) (1,491)				ié tri tri	898,234 745,657 678,730	1,020,935 801,212 675,355	(121,701) (95,555) 3,375	10.50%						17 320,187 18 385,148 15 285,085	187 : 146 : 1	119	(11,539)	2,264,053 1,270,569 2,468,303	2,264,052 1,632,628 2,535,842	1 (362,259) (77,539)
47 Victorian Vila Nursing Home 57 Vestigna Anaror 58 Vintakvad Gardan 72 Vitaship Groon Nursing Centor 72 Vitaship Groon Nursing	Cxford Prenobscol Knox Cumberfand Kenneber	Camon Bangor Camdon Bath Wiethrop			13,499 23,245 6,331 12,905 14,280 23,521 13,221 14,856	45 20.39 05 97.16 211 89.62 56 84.57 74 03	9 2,105,953 6 1,756,139 2 2,194,665 7 959,209 3 1,187,276	39,054 39,136,08 55,93,31 64,57 78,03					% 58.50 % 58.50 54.29 54.29	991,076 51,553,177 606,598 1 91,938	78.5 75.53 54.23 54.23	370,354 797,581 717,768 639,261 85,032,256	35	- 18		4 23,399 4 14,856 14,736	26.67 14.52 36 31.17	17 625,746 12 215,764 17 459,312	46 26.74 64 14.52 12 31.17	4 380,848 2 191,969 7 342,853 42,953 45,773,265	2	(152		0 (58,509) (21,14,354) (22,	1,763,417 1,840,505 271,457,438 30	1,763,417 1,897,015 300,571,792	(56,509) (29,114,354)
46 Wininkop Manual arms reserved and 46 Woodfawn Muraling Horno	71	Skowhallen 0	01/01/11 12	1207 11 11 11 12 12 1		1	11		ę	-	(ann'tan'at) #	1 -	1																		

Notes: District care transfer al 100% Indicators that this altowable direct care casets on an extern care man. District care transfer provide and the care care catalonnes care all on a set pranted than the reading set of 2 by caudions provide accessing the set of the catalonnes care all on a set pranted than the reading set of the polyte 80.5.1. Page 2 of 2

APPENDIX H

Public Law 1999, Chapter 731, Part BBBB

Public Law 1999, Chapter 731, Part BBBB

PART BBBB

Sec. BBBB-1. Rule amendment regarding Medicaid long-term care policy and the home care program. The Department of Human Services shall review and amend its rules regarding Medicaid long-term care policy in order to enhance the flexibility of Medicaid benefits to the extent possible under federal law. The department shall consider the report of the Joint Advisory Committee on Select Services for Older Persons dated January 2000. The review must include but is not limited to the feasibility of amending Medicaid rules to ensure that consumers do not lose critical benefits when they make a transition from the state-funded home care program to the Medicaid program. Rules adopted pursuant to this section take effect January 1, 2001. Rules adopted pursuant to this section are routine technical rules as defined in the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 5, chapter 375, subchapter II-A.

Sec. BBBB-2. Rule amendment regarding consumers of long-term care services who have chronic conditions that change. The Department of Human Services shall amend its rules regarding eligibility for nursing facility services to allow for increased eligibility for consumers of long-term care services who have chronic conditions that change enough to qualify and disqualify them for services on a cyclical basis. Rules adopted pursuant to this section take effect October 1, 2000. Rules adopted pursuant to this section are routine technical rules as defined in the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 5, chapter 375, subchapter II-A.

Sec. BBBB-3. Labor force initiatives. The Department of Human Services and the State Board of Nursing, in consultation with consumers, providers and other interested parties, shall adopt or amend rules and propose such legislation to the Legislature as may be required to create career ladders and address labor shortage issues. By August 1, 2000, the Department of Human Services shall amend its rules to provide for continuing certification on the Maine Registry of Certified Nursing Assistants of a certified nursing assistant who, over a 24-month period, performs for 8 hours nursing or nursing-related services that are supervised by a registered nurse. The rules may not require that nursing or nursing-related services be performed in a nursing facility or hospital. The rules must be retroactive for 2 years. Rules adopted pursuant to this provision are routine technical rules as defined in the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 5, chapter 375, subchapter II-A.

Sec. BBBB-4. Provision of best practices forums. The Department of Human Services shall participate in a series of best practices forums to provide educational workshops and opportunities to providers of long-term care services. Workshops and forums may be cosponsored by entities other than the department.

Sec. BBBB-5. Development of standardized contracts and rule adoption. The Department of Human Services shall develop and adopt rules to require the use of standardized contracts to be used for long-term care services between the service provider and the consumer when appropriate to the service and setting. Rules adopted pursuant to this section take effect January 1, 2001. Rules adopted or amended pursuant to this section are routine technical rules as defined in the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 5, chapter 375, subchapter II-A.

Sec. BBBB-6. Rule amendment regarding default licensing. The Department of Human Services and the Department of Public Safety shall amend their rules regarding licensing for long-term care facilities and services to provide for default licensing for new applicants. The rules must provide that default licensing takes effect when a new applicant has filed a completed application, has not been provided the necessary notifications, inspections or services from state agencies and a period of more than 90 days has elapsed since notification that the application is complete. The Department of Human Services and the Department of Public Safety and persons or entities performing functions for those departments shall notify a new applicant within 2 weeks of filing by the applicant on whether the application is complete. The Department of Human Services and the Department of Public Safety shall provide necessary services and inspections within 90 days of the filing of the completed application. Rules adopted pursuant to this section take effect January 1, 2001. Rules adopted pursuant to this section are routine technical rules as defined in the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 5, chapter 375, subchapter II-A.

Sec. BBBB-7. Expansion of the National Fire Protection Association Life Safety Code inspection capacity. The Department of Human Services, the Department of Public Safety and municipal fire officials shall work together to devise ways to expand the delegation of the National Fire Protection Association Life Safety Code inspections. The Department of Human Services and the Department of Public Safety shall report to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over health and human services matters by January 1, 2001 on their progress under this section. The joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over health and human services matters has authority to report out legislation on life safety code inspections.

Sec. BBBB-8. Rule amendment regarding the principles of reimbursement for nursing facilities. The Department of Human Services shall amend the principles of reimbursement for nursing facilities to ensure that reimbursement reflects the current cost of providing services in an efficient manner. The department shall reconsider the provision that allows retention of 25% of cost savings in the direct cost component. The revised principles of reimbursement must merge routine and indirect cost components into a single routine cost component category; must include medical supplies as a direct cost component; must incorporate the most recent time-study information; must rebase to the most recent audited year; must contain an annual inflation adjustment appropriate to the industry; must include performance standards, measurable outcomes and satisfaction surveys of consumers and family members; must utilize cost caps, including, but not limited to, cost caps for facilities based on size; and must recognize regional variations in labor costs. Rules amended pursuant to this section take effect September 1, 2000. Rules amended pursuant to this section are routine technical rules as defined in the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 5, chapter 375, subchapter II-A.

Sec. BBBB-9. Report on long-term care insurance. The Department of Human Services, the Maine State Retirement System and the State Employee Health Insurance Program shall work together to study the provision of group long-term care insurance to employees of the State and other public sector employees and retirees and to their family members and to the citizens of the State. The study must consider the CalPERS system operating in California, other models used in other states and the feasibility of regional cooperation among states. The State Employee Health Insurance Program is the lead agency in the study and shall report to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over health and human services matters by April 1, 2001 regarding the study and any recommendations.

Sec. BBBB-10. Development of a public awareness campaign. The Department of Human Services, Bureau of Elder and Adult Services shall coordinate with the Bureau of Health a public awareness campaign that focuses on the benefits of a healthy lifestyle and the need to plan for long-term care. The department shall report to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over health and human services matters by January 1, 2001 on its progress on the campaign.

Sec. BBBB-11. Staffing ratios. By October 1, 2000, the Department of Human Services shall amend the rules on minimum staffing ratios in long-term care facilities to provide for ratios in accordance with this provision.

1. The minimum staffing ratios may not be less than the following:

A. On the day shift, one direct-care provider for every 5 residents;

B. On the evening shift, one direct-care provider for every 10 residents; and

C. On the night shift, one direct-care provider for every 18 residents.

2. The minimum staffing ratio rule must provide definitions for "direct-care providers" and "direct care" as follows:

A. "Direct-care providers" means registered nurses, licensed practical nurses and certified nursing assistants who provide direct care to nursing facility residents; and

B. "Direct care" means hands-on care provided to residents, including, but not limited to, feeding, bathing, toileting, dressing, lifting and moving residents. "Direct care" does not include food preparation, housekeeping or laundry services except in circumstances when such services are required to meet the needs of an individual resident on a given occasion.

The Department of Human Services shall undertake pilot projects to determine appropriate staffing ratios for mealtimes and shall report on progress on the pilot projects to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over health and human services matters by January 1, 2001.

The Department of Human Services shall begin work to develop staffing ratios based on resident acuity level. In developing the new staffing ratios, the department shall contract with one or more experts in nurse staffing research and long-term care who shall recommend a methodology for determining appropriate ratios. By May 1, 2001, the Commissioner of Human Services shall report to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over health and human services matters regarding the progress of the department in developing acuity-based staffing ratios, a proposal for adopting acuity-based staffing ratios and any required legislation.

Sec. BBBB-12. Rule amendment regarding licensing and surveys of providers of longterm care services. Consistent with the requirements of the federal Medicaid and Medicare programs, the Department of Human Services shall amend its rules regarding the duration of licenses for providers of long-term care services and the surveys required of those providers. In preparing the amendments, the department shall consider performance standards, recognized standards of best practice, desired and measurable outcomes and satisfaction surveys of consumers and their families. To the extent not in conflict with the requirements of applicable federal programs, the rules must provide for the reasonable lengthening of license periods and some relaxation of survey requirements for providers of services with a documented track record of consistently high-quality service delivery as measured by performance standards and other appropriate criteria. Rules adopted pursuant to this section take effect July 1, 2001. Rules adopted or amended pursuant to this section are major substantive rules as defined in the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 5, chapter 375, subchapter II-A.

Sec. BBBB-13. Rule amendment regarding assessment for eligibility for reimbursement under the Medicaid program for long-term care services. The Department of Human Services shall review its rules for determining eligibility for reimbursement under the Medicaid program for long-term care. The review process must include consumers, providers and other interested persons. It must identify ways to make the process of assessment of medical condition and cognitive function more flexible without undermining its objectivity. The review must include, but is not limited to, providing the nurse assessor authority to utilize professional skills and to consider input from the consumer's family and physician. The review should include the establishment of guidelines to provide to the nurse assessor standards with regard to consumer need and care plan development. The rules must eliminate the requirement of automatic annual assessments of the medical condition of consumers whose medical conditions are unlikely to improve sufficiently to cause a change in their eligibility for services. The review process must also include verification of financial information in the process of determining financial eligibility and cost-sharing for state-funded services. By January 15, 2001, the department shall report to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over health and human services matters its recommendation and any necessary legislation on assessment for eligibility.

Sec. BBBB-14. Review of reimbursement under the Medicaid program. The Department of Human Services shall review its rules on reimbursement for assisted living and home care services and shall report to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over health and human services matters by January 1, 2001 its recommendations for including in the reimbursement formulas for those services, factors for acuity of consumer condition, level of need for services, performance standards and consumer satisfaction surveys.

Sec. BBBB-15. Establishment of the Long-term Care Implementation Committee. There is established the Long-term Care Implementation Committee, referred to in this section as the "committee," to monitor the progress of state departments and offices in implementing the provisions of this Part. The committee shall review the adoption and amendment of rules performed in response to this Part and may make recommendations to the Department of Human Services and to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over health and human services matters for amendments to those rules. The committee shall review the quality of care in the long-term care system.

1. Membership. The committee consists of 13 members. The President of the Senate shall appoint 5 members as follows: one member representing providers; one member representing the Long-term Care Steering Committee; one member representing consumers of long-term care services; and 2 Legislators, one representing the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over health and human services matters and one representing the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over appropriations and financial affairs. One Legislator must represent the majority party and one Legislator must represent the minority party. The Speaker of the House of Representatives shall appoint 5 members follows: one person representing providers; one member representing the long-term care ombudsman program; one member representing consumers of long-term care services; and 2 Legislators, one representing the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over health and human services matters and one representing the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over appropriations and financial affairs. One Legislator must represent the majority party and one Legislator must represent the minority party. The Commissioner of Human Services or the commissioner's designee and 2 other persons representing the Department of Human Services, appointed by the commissioner, are ex officio members of the committee. All appointments must be complete by January 1, 2001.

2. Meetings. The committee may meet up to 9 times per year. The committee members shall select 2 persons from among the members to serve as cochairs. Persons serving as cochairs may serve in that capacity for a maximum of 12 months. The Department of Human Services shall provide staff and support services. Committee members not otherwise reimbursed for expenses of attending meetings are entitled to reimbursement.

3. Duties. The committee shall report by February 1, 2001; February 1, 2002; and December 31, 2002 to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over health and human services matters. The report must include activities of the committee in the prior year, the opinion of the committee on the progress being made to implement this Part and any recommendations for action, including recommending necessary legislation to the Legislature. This section is repealed January 1, 2003.

Sec. BBBB-16. Appropriation. The following funds are appropriated from the General Fund to carry out the purposes of this Part.

2000-01

HUMAN SERVICES, DEPARTMENT OF

Medical Care - Payments to Providers

All Other

\$273,000

Provides for the appropriation of funds to increase wages for home-care workers.

Nursing Facilities

All Other

300,000

Provides for the appropriation of funds to provide increased eligibility for consumers of long-term care services who have chronic conditions that change.

Nursing Facilities

All Other

1,600,000

Provides for the appropriation of funds to ensure that the principles of reimbursement for nursing facilities reflect the current cost of providing services in an efficient manner.

Nursing Facilities

All Other

1,336,000

Provides for the appropriation of funds to increase the minimum staffing ratios in long-term care facilities.

Long-term Care - Human Services

All Other

1,074,000

Provides for the appropriation of funds to provide services to persons on waiting lists for home-based care.

Long-term Care - Human Services

All Other

327,000

Provides for the appropriation of funds to increase wages for home-care workers.

Long-term Care - Human Services

All Other

90,000

Provides for the appropriation of funds for increased costs of home-care programs due to changes in the cost-sharing formula.

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES_____ TOTAL \$5,000,000

Sec. BBBB-17. Allocation. The following funds are allocated from the Federal Expenditures Fund to carry out the purposes of this Part.

2000-01

HUMAN SERVICES, DEPARTMENT OF

Medical Care - Payments to Providers

[Type text] G:\STUDIES 2013\Long-term Care Facilities\Public Law 1999 Part BBBB.docx

All Other

\$533,380

Provides for the allocation of funds for the federal match to increase wages for home-care workers.

Nursing Facilities

All Other

586,132

Provides for the allocation of funds for the federal match to provide continuing eligibility for consumers of long-term care services who have chronic conditions that change.

Nursing Facilities

All Other

3,126,038

Provides for the allocation of funds for the federal match to ensure that the principles of reimbursement for nursing facilities reflect the current cost of providing services in an efficient manner.

Nursing Facilities

All Other

2,610,241

Provides for the allocation of funds for the federal match to increase the minimum staffing ratios at long-term care facilities.

DEPARTMENT OF	HUMAN SERVICES
TOTAL	\$6,855,791

APPENDIX I

Department of Health and Human Services Rules, Chapter 110, Licensing and Functions of Skilled Nursing Facilities and Nursing Facilities, Chapter 9, Resident Care Staffing

10-144 Chapter 110 REGULATIONS GOVERNING THE LICENSING AND FUNCTIONING OF SKILLED NURSING FACILITIES AND NURSING FACILITIES

CHAPTER 9

RESIDENT CARE STAFFING

9.A. Minimum Nursing Staff Requirements

The following minimum nursing staff requirements shall be met:

9.A.1. Director of Nursing

- a. In each licensed nursing facility there shall be a Registered Professional Nurse employed fulltime who shall be responsible for the direction of all nursing services delivered in the facility.
- b. The Director of Nursing must be qualified by education, training and experience in both Gerontology and nursing administration.
- c. If the Director of Nursing is functioning as a Temporary Administrator, a nurse shall be appointed to act as the Director of Nursing during that period of time.
- d. Lines of responsibility shall be clearly established in writing and shall be made known to all nursing staff and other appropriate personnel.

9.A.2. Director of Nursing - Responsibilities

The Director of Nursing shall be responsible and accountable to the Administrator for:

- a. Assuring the delivery of all required services to residents;
- b. Developing and maintaining nursing service objectives, current standards of nursing practice, nursing policy and procedure and manuals, and written job descriptions for each level of personnel;
- c. Coordination of nursing services with other resident services;
- d. Establishment of the means of assessing the needs of residents and staffing to meet those needs on all shifts;
- e. Assuring the delivery of orientation programs and staff development;
- f. Participating in the selection of prospective residents in terms of nursing service they need and nursing competencies available;
- g. Assuring that a comprehensive assessment and plan of care is established for each resident, and that his/her plan is reviewed and modified and implemented as is necessary;
- h. Assuring the evaluation of the performance for all nursing personnel at regular intervals and making recommendations to the administrator;

i. Recommending action when needed to control noise, maintain, repair or replace equipment; ensuring cleanliness and safety measures; providing proper allocation and utilization of space and equipment;

10-144 Chapter 110 REGULATIONS GOVERNING THE LICENSING AND FUNCTIONING OF SKILLED NURSING FACILITIES AND

NURSING FACILITIES

CHAPTER 9

RESIDENT CARE STAFFING

- j. Recommending to the administrator the number and levels of nursing personnel, supplies and equipment for safe resident care;
- k. Establishing priorities for budget items that are necessary to provide services;
- 1. Participating in the Quality Assurance Committee and other committees as necessary.

9.A.3. Licensed Staff Coverage

- a. There shall be a Registered Professional Nurse on duty for at least eight (8) consecutive hours each day of the week.
- b. Licensed nurse coverage shall be provided according to the needs of the residents as determined by their levels of care. The following minimum coverage shall be met:
 - 1. Day Shift
 - a. In each facility there shall be a licensed nurse on duty seven (7) days a week.
 - b. Each facility must designate a Registered Professional Nurse or a Licensed Practical Nurse as the charge nurse. In facilities with twenty (20) beds or less, the Director of Nursing may also be the charge nurse.
 - c. In facilities larger than twenty (20) beds, in addition to the Director of Nursing, there shall also be another licensed nurse on duty.
 - d. An additional licensed nurse shall be added for each fifty (50) beds above fifty (50).
 - e. In facilities of one hundred (100) beds and over, the additional licensed nurse shall be a Registered Professional Nurse for each multiple of one hundred (100) beds.
 - 2. Evening Shift
 - a. There shall be a licensed nurse on duty eight (8) hours each evening.
 - b. An additional licensed nurse shall be added for each seventy (70) beds.
 - c. In facilities of one hundred (100) beds and over, one of the additional licensed nurses shall be a Registered Professional Nurse.
 - 3. Night Shift
 - a. There shall be a licensed nurse on duty eight (8) hours each night.
 - b. An additional licensed nurse shall be added for each one hundred (100) beds.

10-144 Chapter 110 REGULATIONS GOVERNING THE LICENSING AND FUNCTIONING OF SKILLED NURSING FACILITIES AND NURSING FACILITIES

CHAPTER 9

RESIDENT CARE STAFFING

- c. In facilities of one hundred (100) beds and over there shall be a Registered Professional Nurse on duty.
- d. Registered Professional Nurse on Call

All licensed nursing facilities, regardless of size, shall have a Registered Professional Nurse on duty or on call at all times.

e. Private Duty Nurses

The presence of private duty nurses shall have no effect on the nursing staff requirements.

9.A.4. Minimum Staffing Ratios

A. The nursing staff-to-resident ratio is the number of nursing staff to the number of occupied beds. Nursing assistants in training shall not be counted in the ratios.

The minimum nursing staff-to-resident ratio shall not be less than the following:

- 1. On the day shift, one direct-care provider for every 5 residents;
- 2. On the evening shift, one direct-care provider for every 10 residents; and
- 3. On the night shift, one direct-care provider for every 15 residents

The definition of direct care providers and direct care is found in Chapter 1 of these Regulations. (see Page 2)

9.A.5. Multi-Storied Facilities

There shall be staff assigned to each resident floor at all times when residents are present.

9.B. Assignment of Tasks

9.B.1. Licensed Practical Nurse

Only nursing tasks for which that nurse has been trained and which are within the LPN scope of practice, as defined by the Maine State Board of Nursing, shall be assigned to the LPN.

9.B.2. Certified Nursing Assistants

The nursing tasks assigned to a CNA shall only be those for which the CNA has been trained and which are within the scope of the duties, as defined by the Maine State Board of Nursing rules and regulations.

9.B.3. Nursing Assistant

a. Prior to the initial assignment of a nursing task to a nursing assistant, the Registered Professional Nurse shall determine if the individual is enrolled in a course preparing nursing assistants. The Registered Professional Nurse may assign to that individual only those tasks for which the individual has been satisfactorily prepared as documented by the instructional staff. Such

10-144 Chapter 110 REGULATIONS GOVERNING THE LICENSING AND FUNCTIONING OF SKILLED NURSING FACILITIES AND

NURSING FACILITIES

CHAPTER 9

·		RESIDENT CARE STAFFING
		training program or course must be satisfactorily completed within four (4) months from the date of employment.
		b. When a nursing assistant is waiting for a training program to start, he/she may participate in non- direct care activities, such as making unoccupied beds and passing trays, and water and linens.
	9.B.4.	Administration of Medication by a Certified Nursing Assistant/Medications
		A certified nursing assistant/medications may administer medications only when this function is assigned by a registered professional nurse and there is a licensed nurse on duty.
ff. 10/15/04	9.B.5.	Feeding Assistants
÷	- - -	All trained feeding assistants shall work under the supervision of a registered or licensed practical nurse. The decision to allow a feeding assistant to feed a resident is based on the charge nurse's assessment and the resident's latest assessment and plan of care. Facilities are responsible for any adverse actions resulting from the use of feeding assistants.
9.C.	Sharin	g of Staff
	Sharing	of nursing staff is permitted between the nursing facility and other levels of assisted living on the

same premises as long as there is a clear documented audit trail and the staffing in the nursing facility remains adequate to meet the needs of residents. All sharing of nursing staff must be approved in writing by the Department. There may not be sharing of nursing staff between the nursing facility and another non-nursing facility, whether it is physically attached or in proximity to the nursing facility without written approval by the Department. The non-nursing facility must provide its own separate activities, but may share housekeeping, laundry, dietary and maintenance staff, and account for these hours.

9.D. Staffing Patterns

The facility is responsible for establishing its own staffing pattern according to the needs of the residents and in accordance with the provisions of these regulations.

APPENDIX J

42 Code of Federal Regulations section 483.30

§483.30

§483.30 Nursing services.

The facility must have sufficient nursing staff to provide nursing and related services to attain or maintain the highest practicable physical, mental, and psychosocial well-being of each resident, as determined by resident assessments and individual plans of care.

(a) Sufficient staff. (1) The facility must provide services by sufficient numbers of each of the following types of personnel on a 24-hour basis to provide nursing care to all residents in accordance with resident care plans:

(i) Except when waived under paragraph (c) of this section, licensed nurses; and

(ii) Other nursing personnel.

(2) Except when waived under paragraph (c) of this section, the facility must designate a licensed nurse to serve as a charge nurse on each tour of duty.

(b) Registered nurse. (1) Except when waived under paragraph (c) or (d) of this section, the facility must use the services of a registered nurse for at least 8 consecutive hours a day, 7 days a week.

(2) Except when waived under paragraph (c) or (d) of this section, the facility must designate a registered nurse to serve as the director of nursing on a full time basis.

(3) The director of nursing may serve as a charge nurse only when the facility has an average daily occupancy of 60 or fewer residents.

(c) Nursing facilities: Waiver of requirement to provide licensed nurses on a 24hour basis. To the extent that a facility is unable to meet the requirements of paragraphs (a)(2) and (b)(1) of this section, a State may waive such requirements with respect to the facility if—

(1) The facility demonstrates to the satisfaction of the State that the facility has been unable, despite diligent efforts (including offering wages at the community prevailing rate for nursing facilities), to recruit appropriate personnel:

(2) The State determines that a waiver of the requirement will not endanger the health or safety of individuals staying in the facility;

(3) The State finds that, for any periods in which licensed nursing services are not available, a registered nurse or

a physician is obligated to respond immediately to telephone calls from the facility;

(4) A waiver granted under the conditions listed in paragraph (c) of this section is subject to annual State review;

(5) In granting or renewing a waiver, a facility may be required by the State to use other qualified, licensed personnel:

(6) The State agency granting a waiver of such requirements provides notice of the waiver to the State long term care ombudsman (established under section 307(a)(12) of the Older Americans Act of 1965) and the protection and advocacy system in the State for the mentally ill and mentally retarded; and

(7) The nursing facility that is granted such a waiver by a State notifies residents of the facility (or, where appropriate, the guardians or legal representatives of such residents) and members of their immediate families of the waiver.

(d) SNFs: Waiver of the requirement to provide services of a registered nurse for more than 40 hours a week. (1) The Secretary may waive the requirement that a SNF provide the services of a registered nurse for more than 40 hours a week, including a director of nursing specified in paragraph (b) of this section, if the Secretary finds that—

(i) The facility is located in a rural area and the supply of skilled nursing facility services in the area is not sufficient to meet the needs of individuals residing in the area;

(ii) The facility has one full-time registered nurse who is regularly on duty at the facility 40 hours a week; and

(iii) The facility either-

(A) Has only patients whose physicians have indicated (through physicians' orders or admission notes) that they do not require the services of a registered nurse or a physician for a 48hours period, or

(B) Has made arrangements for a registered nurse or a physician to spend time at the facility, as determined necessary by the physician, to provide necessary skilled nursing services on days when the regular full-time registered nurse is not on duty;

(iv) The Secretary provides notice of the waiver to the State long term care

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, HHS

ombudsman (established under section 307(a)(12) of the Older Americans Act of 1965) and the protection and advocacy system in the State for the mentally ill and mentally retarded; and

(v) The facility that is granted such a waiver notifies residents of the facility (or, where appropriate, the guardians or legal representatives of such residents) and members of their immediate families of the waiver.

(2) A waiver of the registered nurse requirement under paragraph (d)(1) of this section is subject to annual renewal by the Secretary.

(e) Nurse staffing information—(1) Data requirements. The facility must post the following information on a daily basis:

(i) Facility name.(ii) The current date.

(11) The current date.

(iii) The total number and the actual hours worked by the following categories of licensed and unlicensed nursing staff directly responsible for resident care per shift:

(A) Registered nurses.

(B) Licensed practical nurses or licensed vocational nurses (as defined under State law).

(C) Certified nurse aides.

(iv) Resident census.

(2) Posting requirements. (i) The facility must post the nurse staffing data specified in paragraph (e)(1) of this section on a daily basis at the beginning of each shift.

(ii) Data must be posted as follows:

(A) Clear and readable format.

(B) In a prominent place readily accessible to residents and visitors.

(3) Public access to posted nurse staffing data. The facility must, upon oral or written request, make nurse staffing data available to the public for review at a cost not to exceed the community standard.

(4) Facility data retention requirements. The facility must maintain the posted daily nurse staffing data for a minimum of 18 months, or as required by State law, whichever is greater.

[56 FR 48873, Sept. 26, 1991, as amended at 57 FR 43925, Sept. 23, 1992; 70 FR 62073, Oct. 28, 2005]

§483.35 Dietary services.

The facility must provide each resident with a nourishing, palatable, wellbalanced diet that meets the daily nutritional and special dietary needs of each resident.

(a) *Staffing*. The facility must employ a qualified dietitian either full-time, part-time, or on a consultant basis.

(1) If a qualified dietitian is not employed full-time, the facility must designate a person to serve as the director of food service who receives frequently scheduled consultation from a qualified dietitian.

(2) A qualified dietitian is one who is qualified based upon either registration by the Commission on Dietetic Registration of the American Dietetic Association, or on the basis of education, training, or experience in identification of dietary needs, planning, and implementation of dietary programs.

(b) *Sufficient staff.* The facility must employ sufficient support personnel competent to carry out the functions of the dietary service.

(c) Menus and nutritional adequacy. Menus must—

(1) Meet the nutritional needs of residents in accordance with the recommended dietary allowances of the Food and Nutrition Board of the National Research Council, National Academy of Sciences;

(2) Be prepared in advance; and

(3) Be followed.

(d) *Food*. Each resident receives and the facility provides—

(1) Food prepared by methods that conserve nutritive value, flavor, and appearance;

(2) Food that is palatable, attractive, and at the proper temperature;

(3) Food prepared in a form designed to meet individual needs; and

(4) Substitutes offered of similar nutritive value to residents who refuse food served.

(e) *Therapeutic diets*. Therapeutic diets must be prescribed by the attending physician.

(f) Frequency of meals. (1) Each resident receives and the facility provides at least three meals daily, at regular times comparable to normal mealtimes in the community.

(2) There must be no more than 14 hours between a substantial evening meal and breakfast the following day, except as provided in (4) below.

(3) The facility must offer snacks at bedtime daily.

APPENDIX K

.

Letter from Charlene Harrington



San Francisco

Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences

Laurel Heights Campus Box 0612

Site Address: 3333 California Street Suite 455 San Francisco, CA 94118

415.476-3964 415.476-6552(fax) October 8, 2013

Brenda Gallant R.N. State Long-Term Care Ombudsman Executive Director Maine Long-Term Care Ombudsman Program 61 Winthrop Street Augusta, Me. 04330

Dear Ms. Gallant

1 am writing to express my strong opposition to proposed reductions in Maine's current nurse staffing standards. I understand that proposals have been made to reduce staffing from the current 3.49 hours per resident per day (hprd) to a 3.0 hprd minimum and to eliminate the current ratio requirements of 1:5, 1:10. 1:15.

As you know, low nurse staffing levels are the single most important contributor to poor quality of nursing home care in the US. Over the past 20 years, more than 100 research studies have documented the important relationship between nurse staffing levels, particular RN staffing, and the outcomes of care. The benefits of higher staffing levels, especially RN staffing, can include lower mortality rates; improved physical functioning; less antibiotic use; fewer pressure ulcers, catheterized residents, and urinary tract infections; lower hospitalization rates; and less weight loss and dehydration (Bostick et al., 2006; Castle, 2008; Spilsbury, Hewitt, Stirk, et al., 2011; U.S. CMS, 2001; Schnelle et al., 2004). Moreover, states that have introduced higher minimum staffing standards for nursing homes have been found to have nurse staffing levels and improved quality outcomes (Bowblis 2011; Harrington, Swan and Carrillo, 2007; Mukamel et al. 2012; Park and Stearns 2009). Moreover, Mukamel et al. (2013) found that higher state staffing standards and regulatory enforcement was cost effective.

A study published by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) (2001) found that staffing levels for long-stay residents below 4.1 hours per resident day (hprd) resulted in harm or jeopardy for residents (including levels below 0.75 for RNs and 0.55 for LPNs). The study conducted a simulation analysis which showed that nursing assistant (NA) time should range from 2.8 to 3.2 hprd, depending on the care residents need, just to carry out five basic nursing care activities (CMS, 2001). This amounts to 1 NA per seven residents on the day and evening shifts and 1 NA per 12 residents at night. Nursing homes below these levels had poor quality of care that caused harm and jeopardy. An Institute of Medicine (2003) report recommended the staffing levels indentified in CMS 2001 study.

Another study found widespread quality problems in many nursing homes: inadequate assistance with eating; poor verbal interactions; false charting; inadequate toileting assistance; infrequent turning of residents in bed; over half of residents left in bed most of the day; inadequate walking assistance; and widespread untreated pain and untreated depression (Schnelle et al., 2004). The authors concluded that staffing levels were a better predictor of high-quality care processes than quality measures and nursing homes with nurse staffing levels of 4.1 hprd or higher performed significantly better on 13 of 16 care processes, compared with homes with lower staffing.

In another paper, experts recommended that minimum nurse staffing levels should be at least 4.5 hprd (Harrington, Kovner, Mezey, Kayser-Jones, et al., Zimmerman, 2000). Of course, nurse staffing levels need to be increased beyond the minimum levels in nursing homes that have high resident acuity (case mix) to assure that the needs of individual residents are met.

In 2013, the average U.S. nursing home provided a total of 4.1 hours per resident day (hprd) of total nursing care, provided by the Director of Nursing, registered nurses (RNs), licensed vocational or practical nurses (LVN/LPN), and nursing assistants (NAs) (CMS Medicare nursing home compare website). In the U.S., on average, only non-profit and government nursing homes nursing homes meet the CMS recommended staffing standards because for-profit nursing homes cut staffing to save money (Harrington, Olney, Carrillo, and Kang, 2012). Low nursing home staffing expenditures were directly associated with high nursing home profits (Harrington, Ross, Mukamel, and Rosenau, 2013).

Maine has higher staffing requirements than many other states and its staffing requirements of 3.46 hprd are closer to the 4.1 hprd level recommended by the study for CMS in 2001 and the experts' opinion that the staffing standards should be 4.55 hprd at a minimum. Maine's staffing standards are still below the average 4.1 hprd of actual nursing provided in the US. Because of it's staffing requirements, Maine has had higher quality nursing homes than many other states reported on Medicare Nursing Home Compare.

Maine and many other states have established ratios for its staffing standards (Harrington, 2010). Ratios are important because they are easier to understand and measure than when standards are set in hours per resident day. The ratios allow nursing home providers and consumers to quickly count how many residents each staff member is caring for on each shift. This is important provision that promotes transparency in public reporting as well as staffing accountability.

If Maine were to reduce it's staffing standards and eliminate it's ratio requirements, the quality of care in Maine's nursing homes could dramatically decline in many homes that would take advantage of reduced requirements. Any reduction in Maine's staffing requirements would be a serious step backward.

Sincerely,

harlane town

Charlene Harrington, Ph.D. Professor of Sociology

References

Bostick, J.E., Rantz, M.J., Flesner, M.K. and Riggs, C.J. 2006. Systematic Review of Studies of Staffing and Quality in Nursing Homes. J. Am Med Dir Assoc. 7:366-376.

Bowblis, J.R. 2011. Staffing Ratios and Quality: An Analysis of Minimum Direct Care Staffing Requirements for Nursing Homes." *Health Serv Res* 46(5): 1495-516.

Castle, N., 2008. Nursing Home Caregiver Staffing Levels and Quality of Care: A Literature Review. Journal of Applied Gerontology, 27: 375-405.

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). 2001. Appropriateness of Minimum Nurse Staffing Ratios in Nursing Homes. Report to Congress: Phase II Final. Volumes I to III. Baltimore: CMS (prepared by Abt Associates).

Harrington, C. 2010. Nursing Home Staffing Standards in State Statutes and Regulations. San Francisco, CA: University of California. <u>http://www.pascenter.org</u>

Harrington, C., Kovner, C., Mezey, M., Kayser-Jones, J., Burger, S., Mohler, M., Burke, R., & Zimmerman, D. 2000. Experts Recommend Minimum Nurse Staffing Standards for Nursing Facilities in the United States. *Gerontologist*, 40(1), 5-16.

Harrington, C., Olney, B, Carrillo, H., and Kang, T. 2012. Nurse Staffing and Deficiencies in the Largest for-Profit Chains and Chains Owned by Private Equity Companies. *Health Services Research*. 47 (1), Part I: 106-128.

Harrington, C., Ross, L., Mukamel, D., and Rosenau, P. 2013. Accountability of Nursing Facilities. Washington, DC: Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured, June.

http://kff.org/medicaid/report/improving-the-financial-accountability-of-nursing-facilities/ Harrington, C., Swan, J.H., and Carrillo, H. 2007. Nurse Staffing Levels and Medicaid Reimbursement

Rates in Nursing Facilities. Health Services Research, 42: 1105-1129.

Institute of Medicine [IOM], Committee on the Work Environment for Nurses and Patient Safety. (Page, A. [Ed.]). 2003. *Keeping patients safe*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.

Mukamel, D.B., Weimer, D.L., Harrington, C., Spector, W.D., Ladd, H., and Li, Y. 2012. The Effect of

State Regulatory Stringency on Nursing Home Quality. *Health Services Research*. Oct;47(5):1791-813..

- Park, J., & Stearns, S.C. 2009. Effects of State Minimum Staffing Standards on Nursing Home Staffing and Quality of Care. *Health Services Research*, 44(1), 56–78.
- Schnelle, J. F., Simmons, S. F., Harrington, C., Cadogan, M., Garcia, E. & Bates-Jensen, B. 2004. Relationship of Nursing Home Staffing to Quality of Care? *Health Services Research*, 39(2), 225-250.
- Spilsbury, K., Hewitt, C., Stirk, L. & Bowman, C. 2011. The Relationship Between Nurse Staffing and Quality of Care in Nursing Homes: A Systematic Review. *International Journal Nursing Studies*. 48(6), 732-750.

,				
		ST	STATE STANDARD	
State	MINIMUM STAFFING STANDARD FOR SKILLED NURSING OR NURSING FACILITIES	Estimated variance from federal standard for facility with 100 beds	Staffing Standard Citation and URL	Comments
Ш ХШ	SUFFICIENT STAFF: to meet the needs of residents		SAL: Code of ME Rules	Previous Regulation: SC: Public Law
	as determined by men levels of care.	(KN .32)	10-144 CMK 110 Ch. 9	1999 Ch. /31 Sec. BBBB -11 Direct
	LICENSED STAFF (RN, LPN/LVN)	LN .56	ME Sec of State, Rules By	care ratios were: Uay 1:5 Eve 1:10 and Night 1:18. Passed & Signed 4-25-00.
	1 DON RN full-time included in		Department: Eff. 2/1/01	Eff. 10-1-00.
	1 RN 8 consecutive hrs, 7 d/wk on Days	DC 2.93		http://www.mainelegislature.org/ros/LO
	For 20+ beds: DON may not be Charge Nurse	Total 3.49	s/10/ch110.htm	750-110.htm
	For 100, 150, 200 etc. beds: add 1 LN for each increment of 50			Ord inc Hadator Doct of Hoolith 8
	For 100+: for each multiple of 100, the additional LN			Human Services (DHAS) Homenane
	shall be an RN and			http://www.maine.gov/dhhs/
	1 RN/LPN Eve, on duty 8 hrs every eve. and			
				DHHS Rule Updates:
	1 PN/I PN Nicht & 1 PN/I PN for multiploa of 100			http://www.maine.gov/dhhs/dlrs/rulema
	For 100+: an RN shall be on duty at night			king/index.shtml
	DIRFGT CARE STAFE			ME Legislative Updates:
	1:5 ratio Davs			nttp://www.mainelegislature.org/legis/bil le/
	1:10 ratio Evenings			
	1:15 ratio Nights			
A A I				
	SOLFICIENT STAFF. TO THEEL THE THEADS OF LESIDENTS.	(RN .06)	SC: MI Compiled Laws, Public Health Code "Act 368 of 1978"	<i>OnLine Updates:</i> For pending legislation text and status see MI
	LICENSED STAFF (RN, LPN/LVN)		Sec. 333.21720a(2)	Legislature homepage:
	1 DON RN (with training in gerontology) included in 1 RN/LPN 24 hrs/7d/wk	LN .24		http://www.legislature.mi.gov/(S(zhnvpk 55hznitk4554icfiaz1)/milen_asn/2nana=
		DC 2.25		
	2.25 hprd or ratio of	Total 2.31	0sqz452jdpbgzpy3yk0x45))/mileg. aspx?page=getObject&objectNam	
	1:8 ratio Days 1:12 ratio Evenings		e=mcl-333-21720a	
	1:15 ratio Nights			
	For 30+ beds, exclude time of DON.			

NURSING HOME STAFFING STANDARDS IN STATE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

18

APPENDIX L

Office of the State Auditor, Report on Cost of Care

STATE OF MAINE OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR



POLA A. BUCKLEY, CPA, CISA

STATE AUDITOR

66 STATE HOUSE STATION AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0066

> TEL: (207) 624-6250 FAX: (207) 624-6273

MARY GINGROW-SHAW, CPA DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR MICHAEL J. POULIN, CIA DIRECTOR OF AUDIT and ADMINISTRATION

October 29, 2013

Mary Mayhew, Commissioner Department of Health and Human Services 11 State House Station Augusta, ME 04333-0011

Dear Commissioner Mayhew,

The Office of the State Auditor conducted a limited procedures engagement of the Department of Health and Human Services' computation and application of Cost of Care amounts to provider payments for the nine month period July 1, 2012 to March 31, 2013.

We have completed our report and DHHS has responded to our concerns in writing. These responses have been incorporated into our report and the report is attached to this letter.

Our report will be available on the Office of the State Auditor website at <u>http://www.maine.gov/audit/reports.htm</u>, in the section for Other Reports.

We thank Deputy Director Michael Frey, Director Bethany Hamm, Acting Director of Policy Beth Ketch, Director Stefanie Nadeau, and their staff; as well as the Department of Administrative and Financial Services (DAFS), Office of Information Technology and Department of Health and Human Services Service Center personnel for their assistance during this engagement.

Sincerely,

Pola t. Buckley

Pola A. Buckley, CPA, CISA State Auditor

cc: Honorable Dawn Hill, Chairperson, Appropriations and Financial Affairs

Honorable Margaret Rotundo, Chairperson, Appropriations and Financial Affairs

- Honorable Margaret Craven, Chairperson, Health and Human Services
- Honorable Richard Farnsworth, Chairperson, Health and Human Services
- Honorable H. Sawin Millett, Commissioner, Department of Administrative and Financial Services
- Jim Smith, Commissioner, Office of Information Technology
- Michael Frey, Deputy Director, DHHS
- Herb Downs, Director, DHHS, Division of Audit
- Ray Girouard, Director, Department of Administrative and Financial Services, DHHS Service Center
- Bethany Hamm, DHHS, Director, Policy and Programs
- Beth Ketch, DHHS, Acting Director of Policy
- Stefanie Nadeau, Director, DHHS, Office of MaineCare Services

Office of the State Auditor Report on Limited Procedures Engagement – Cost of Care Report Issued On October 29, 2013

Summary

The Office of the State Auditor reviewed internal controls over the calculation, application and review of Cost of Care amounts assessed to long term care (LTC) facility residents for the first nine months of fiscal year¹ 2013. The term "Cost of Care" refers to a MaineCare member's personal monthly required contribution towards his or her nursing home (NH) or private non-medical institution (PNMI) facility care. This amount is separately calculated for each resident based on their financial situation. In effect, Cost of Care is a "deductible" that an individual must pay to live in a Long Term Care (LTC) facility. LTC facilities collect this amount directly from residents eligible for the State LTC program, bill MaineCare for the usual and customary charges; and then, the claims processing system, the Maine Integrated Health Management Solution (MIHMS) is supposed to deduct the Cost of Care. LTC providers are required to return overpayments when MIHMS does not make this deduction.

The Office of Family Independence (OFI) coordinates eligibility for the various LTC Assistance Group programs that provide MaineCare benefits for certain Medicaid or state funded coverable group residents; and the Office of MaineCare Services (OMS) is responsible for payments to the NH and PNMI facilities in Maine. The Office of the State Auditor finds that improvements are needed. These needed improvements are identified in this report.

We found that known logical errors in the Automated Client Eligibility System (ACES) frequently cause income and expense information for LTC residents to be incorrect or missing. This results in Cost of Care assessments calculated by ACES to be incorrect. In order to address this, OFI personnel are required to apply "manual workarounds" to correct any errors they find in client case information pertaining to Cost of Care. Test results indicated that OFI staff did not always apply manual fixes correctly; and that other system errors remained undetected by staff altogether.

Furthermore, we found that MIHMS is not appropriately deducting Cost of Care amounts; and system edits were not appropriately set to deny, pend or re-open claims for review in two circumstances. In both circumstances, providers were or would be paid by both the resident and by MIHMS for the same monthly room and board costs. Immediately following is a description of the audit procedures performed, the results of those applied procedures and our conclusions and recommendations.

Range of Estimated Financial Impact

OFI Assessments: Total Cost of Care assessed to potential LTC residents for the first nine months of fiscal year 2013 was \$89 million. Audit procedures applied to our sample indicated that nine (or, about 15%) of the sixty Cost of Care assessments tested remained in error despite manual correction by OFI staff in some cases. The dollars associated with the 15% error rate were minor because income and expense errors offset each other.

OMS Payments: Based on eligibility calculations, the theoretical maximum² Cost of Care deduction from LTC provider payments for the first nine months of fiscal year 2013 is \$89 million. We estimate that the actual Cost of Care deductions that should have been taken for the first nine months of fiscal year 2013 are \$76 million (85%³ of \$89 million). We found that in a sample of sixty randomly selected claims and interim rates set by the Department, providers were overpaid by \$16,924 (or about 29%) of the total \$57,713 Cost of Care amounts. Twenty-nine percent of \$76 million is \$22 million, *annualized* this amounts to \$29 million. We know that DHHS has some procedures in place to recover these funds since the MIHMS implementation in 2010. However, we believe these procedures are far from adequate and do not address the root causes on a timely basis.

Included in the \$16,924 overpayment amount are \$6,324 of MIHMS payment processing errors identified in more detail below, for five NH payments and two PNMI facility payments.

³ Nine of our original 60 item sample used to test OFI Assessments had to be replaced because they were not yet residing in an NH or PNMI.

All references to a fiscal year are for the State fiscal year ending June 30.

² Not all individuals assessed a Cost of Care amount by OFI reside in a NH or PNMI. Some choose to stay at home, or remain in a hospital or other LTC facility type.

Therefore, our testing indicates that approximately 15% of individuals for whom a potential Cost of Care was calculated, were not yet residing in a NH or PNMI.

The remaining \$10,600 was because Cost of Care was not fully deducted from twenty-two other PNMI claims, or over 75% of the 30 PNMI claims sampled prior to payment. One issue is that although these PNMI payments were for residents eligible for Medicaid, Cost of Care deductions were not applied to all their monthly federal and State charges because such deductions are not allowed by this federal program for residents of PNMI facilities. The other issue is that these PNMI overpayments were primarily due to a nominal amount of \$1 per day being paid for room and board on an interim basis until costs are settled annually. Obviously, PNMI providers cannot function on a periodic payment of one dollar per day per resident. Except for the one dollar per day, DHHS classifies the payment as All Inclusive Comprehensive and Other Therapeutic Services, which we find to be misleading, at the least. DHHS has a manual partially effective procedure in place to recover overpayments from these providers. However, MIHMS continues to overpay; OMS continues to seek recoupment from providers; OMS provides some receivable amounts to HHSSC⁴ as a limited number of PNMI providers send in payments; OMS continues to track remaining balances and offset amounts; and applicable credits should be applied by HHSSC to the quarterly federal financial report. Some providers are cooperating, and some are not. This "overpay and recover" procedure cannot mitigate the fact that at any given time about \$27 million or more of State and federal money is not available for government use. It remains unclear why OMS has assumed sole financial responsibility for these overpayments, rather than with the HHSSC. The Service Center is ultimately responsible for crediting the federal share of these overpayments on the federal CMS-64 reports. This is a serious matter that deserves priority attention by the State.

Background

We originally discovered issues with Cost of Care while auditing Medicaid for fiscal year 2006. These issues might have existed prior to this date. Cost of Care amounts had not been deducted from NH or PNMI facility payments correctly; and the result is that providers were being paid both by the MaineCare member and by MaineCare.

Problems persist in the current MIHMS system.

Procedures

We performed the following procedures⁵ for the nine month period ending 3/31/2013:

- reviewed State law pertaining to Cost of Care,
- reviewed relevant sections of the State Medicaid Manual promulgated by the federal government, the MaineCare Eligibility Manual and the MaineCare Benefits Manual,
- evaluated OIT technical design documents that depict how ACES assesses Cost of Care for individuals and related mechanical and human controls,
- evaluated OMS and fiscal agent technical design documents that depict how MIHMS adjudicates Cost of Care for individuals and the related mechanical and human controls,
- determined whether the MIHMS system logic is correct,
- tested the accuracy of a sample of sixty Cost of Care assessments⁶ made by ACES for clients that are classified as members of certain DHHS program coverage groups residing in NH and PNMI facilities,
- tested the accuracy and success rate of manual compensating controls⁷ over the same sixty Cost of Care assessments,
- tested sixty claim payments to LTC providers to determine whether payments made to providers for monthly resident charges were reduced by Cost of Care amounts⁸,
- tested existing compensating controls, such as "pend or deny" edits in MIHMS, that would force resolution of payment errors related to Cost of Care for a sample of sixty NH and PNMI provider payments,
- tested the consistency of eligibility and Cost of Care information from system-to-system (ACES⁹ to MIHMS) through the DataHub¹⁰ for a sample of sixty claims,
- reviewed the adequacy of the DHHS process used by a contractor to measure and track the amounts due back from NH facilities that received overpayments because the correct Cost of Care amount was not deducted from payments for monthly resident costs,

⁴ HHSSC - Health and Human Services Service Center

not in order of importance

certain types of client income, expenses and allowances are used in this calculation

⁷ Part of the typical case management process is for OFI eligibility personnel to determine whether cost of care was computed correctly by ACES for each client, correcting errors as they are encountered and at times in a more directed manner.

⁸ Cost of care amounts that should be collected by LTC providers from the clients housed in their facility.

⁹ The ACES system electronically transfers cost of care amounts and other eligibility information for each client to the DataHub in an ongoing

basis. ¹⁰ The DataHub is Maine's intermediary Health Care Information database system between ACES and MIHMS.

- reviewed the adequacy of the OMS controls in place to measure and track the amounts due back from PNMI facilities that received overpayments because the appropriate Cost of Care amount was not deducted from payments for monthly resident costs, and
- identified other issues that were detected during the audit that pertained to compliance with State law.

<u>Results</u>

Our testing of a sample of 60 randomly selected cases from all clients in a NH or PNMI residence assessed a Cost of Care for the period indicated that ACES incorrectly computed Cost of Care because known system errors caused income or expense information to be incorrect or missing for 13 of the 60 random Cost of Care assessments, as follows:

	ACES Error Observed
10	ACES did not include all or part of State Supplement payments ¹¹ as income for SSI clients.
2	ACES miscalculated the spousal income allocation.
	ACES failed to update annual SSI ¹² income from SVES ¹³ since 2009; and to list case on the SVES
1	discrepancy report.
13	Total

In response, OFI has established manual workarounds or "fixes" as compensating controls to address such known ACES system design problems in automatically assessing Cost of Care to client cases. Test results indicated; however, that OFI staff did not correctly apply manual fixes or detect system errors for 9 of the 13 system errors, as follows:

Instances	Errors Observed
3	ACES did not include all or part of State Supplement payment as income for SSI clients.
6	OFI personnel did not detect system errors and apply manual fixes to client records.
9	Total

Continued on next page...

¹³ State Verification and Exchange System

v

¹¹ A standard applies that is established by the State for the total SSI payment. The federal SSI payment and any countable income are deducted from the State standard. The remainder is the State Supplementation. This is typically an additional \$10 or \$15 per month, but can be as high as \$234 in some client cases.

¹² Supplemental Security Income (SSI) guarantees a minimum monthly income to people who are at least 65 years old, or blind, or disabled with limited income and resources.

Our testing of a sample of 60 claim payments for the same clients and period tested above, indicated that Cost of Care for 8 (5 NH and 3 PNMI) claims were not correctly deducted from provider payments, because:

Instances	Errors Observed
	Situation No. 1: Claims were found submitted for payment in a manner which could potentially be used to force a payment to be improperly paid from both MaineCare and from the client. We are not disclosing specific details of the issue in this report to avoid the possibility of compromising Department data and resources. However, we have notified appropriate Department management of the specific issues.
	Situation No. 2: Retroactive Eligibility Payment Errors - MIHMS system edits were not actively set to reopen four tested claims when retroactive DataHub information was received by MIHMS and caused client Cost of Care and eligibility information to change only after NH or PNMI providers were paid for monthly resident costs. The end result is that the provider is or ultimately will be erroneously paid by both the client and by the State, so the State needs to recover the excess payment from the provider in some manner. A solution ¹⁴ to this retroactive Cost of Care and Eligibility assessment dilemma is
4	being developed.
8	Total

The results of other tests we performed were not found to be problematic; or will be tested further during our testing of the federal Medicaid program.

Conclusions

We found important opportunities for needed improvement. These opportunities relate to key controls over system functionality and compensating controls that are in place to correct for known system deficiencies.

- (1) Known system errors, which occur consistently as ACES computes Cost of Care amounts, must be addressed by the Department. Allowing such errors to continue is inefficient and wasteful of financial and human resources. It creates too many opportunities for human error and testing indicates there is no guarantee that system errors will be detected through manual processes.
- (2) Systemic errors (caused by MIHMS and ACES system flaws) are predictable and typically can be resolved once identified. The root causes for MIHMS payment errors we detected were systemic and not isolated in nature, indicating these internal control weaknesses should be addressed by the Department. If not, payment errors and an opportunity for improper activity will continue.
- (3) Consistent and meaningful exception review on an ongoing basis would allow for timely detection and tracking of payment errors; and the efficient recovery of overpayments.

Root Causes

Systemic ACES and OFI deficiencies include:

- Known ACES system errors which occur consistently for Cost of Care calculations include: 6
 - (1) SSI recipients: not counting State Supplement payments between \$10 and \$234 per month as income
 - (2) NH residents: miscalculation of the monthly spousal income allocation¹⁵ and daily medical rates
 - (3) SSI recipients: not consistently updating all SSI income amounts from SVES
 - (4) SSI recipients: not reporting all instances of SVES failure on the SVES discrepancy report
 - (5) NH residents: computed spousal income allowance is off by about \$33 to \$37 per month
- Inefficient compensating controls because OFI personnel need additional training

Manual recalculations of Cost of Care amounts included arithmetic errors and misunderstandings regarding what client information should be considered when performing these computations. Also, correct procedures were not always followed by OFI staff as they applied manual fixes to ACES records.

4

¹⁴ TR#5620 - A trouble report (TR) is a system defect that the system contractor must fix for free, without additional negotiated funding.

¹⁵ This known system issue is referred to by OFI as, ACES task #13658.

Systemic MIHMS claim processing errors detected:

• No MIHMS system edit is set to pend or deny claims when they are submitted by a NH or PNMI facility provider in a certain way that we are intentionally not disclosing to protect Department resources

System edits that could resolve this matter were set to ignore during our testing. In all 4 instances detected within our sample, no Cost of Care amount was deducted from room and board costs prior to payment. The result is that the provider erroneously got paid by both the client and by the State.

 Compensating controls to detect and reopen claims for retroactive Cost of Care or other eligibility changes are insufficient

Electronic methods to detect instances when DataHub client eligibility and Cost of Care information is received by MIHMS exist only after payments are made are not set to reopen such claims for review by OMS to force resolution. Another 4 of the 60 claims we tested were such instances. It was also discovered that no State personnel were instructed to regularly generate and review exception reports or use other tools that can detect such retroactive eligibility or Cost of Care assessments to force resolution of claims previously paid in error.

Fractured Communication

Improvement of cross system communication and review processes should continue to expand the pockets of understanding to a less selective group of personnel within the Department and in certain DAFS¹⁶ entities. The path from eligibility determination to MaineCare provider payments and ultimately to proper financial reporting is complicated involving multiple systems and complex business rules, which requires a large and diverse team of management, program, policy, financial and Information Technology (IT) experts, internal and external to the Department. The decision to outsource payment processing to a fiscal agent and the limitations of State agency resources adds additional complexity to this communications process. While the State and its contractors have developed communication channels, defining all user roles and responsibilities will need to continue in an ongoing basis, unless a more centralized approach to operations is put into place.

Recommendations

We recommend that OFI continue to improve internal controls to ensure that Cost of Care amounts are computed correctly for clients residing in LTC facilities, such as:

- coordinating the remediation of ACES system problems with DAFS OIT¹⁷
- continuing their efforts to review and correct client records related to income, expenses, personal needs allowances, and daily medical rates to compensate for ACES deficiencies in computing Cost of Care amounts, and
- providing additional training to staff who must make manual corrections to Cost of Care information in ACES.

We recommend that OMS continue to implement additional controls and system corrections that would allow Cost of Care amounts to be properly deducted from monthly NH and PNMI facility payments. These include:

- directing Molina to activate certain system edits that will cause LTC claims to pend, deny or reopen for manual review prior to paying providers (this will allow for more offsets against future claims),
- assigning more personnel to review exception reports or use other tools to detect and track errors for adjustment against future claims,
- ensuring that an adequate number of staff is assigned to track and manage the significant balances due back to the State from overpaid PNMI facilities, that staff is adequately educated, qualified, and employed on a permanent basis, and

¹⁶ DAFS (Department of Administration and Finances) - HHSSC (Health and Human Services Service Center) and OIT (Office of Information Technology).

⁷ Office of Information Technology
providing comprehensive receivable, payment and offset information to the HHSSC; and consider transferring responsibility for overpayment accounting and collections activities to the HHSSC, subject to internal audit oversight.

Agency Responses

Agency contact, Acting Director of Health Care Management and Policy, OMS.

- The State's Change Management staff is researching a variety of solutions (to the undisclosed situation). No estimated date can be provided for a decision or implementation of a system change. In the interim, we will implement a manual review by State Quality Assurance staff to research and identify claims that meet the (undisclosed) criteria for adjustment. Also, the State is actively involved in a redesign of the reimbursement methodology for Private Non-Medical Institutions.
- Retroactive Cost of Care determinations obviously create collection problems. As was discussed in our 5/29/13 meeting with Molina and State staff, most claims in this situation have finalized before the COC information is received. The State has a dedicated resource who works on COC issues. She does not use the certain report that Molina referred to in our meeting, as we believe other tools are more useful; (but she does use) a different Molina-generated report and coordinates her findings with the State adjustment supervisor. Because your audit did show that our current efforts are incomplete, we will be reconsidering our overall COC review to see where it can be strengthened.
- The Cost of Care process has been corrected for members with Cost Reimbursement Boarding Home (Rate Code 53) coverage.

6

APPENDIX M

Pay for Performance Models, Maine Health Care Association

Pay for Performance – Considerations for Maine

Potential Measures

Staffing

1.Direct Care Staff Turnover

- All nursing staff
- o RN
- o LPN
- o CNA

Criteria:

Achievement – Less than ____% (state or national average)

OR

Improvement – ___% reduction in ____ (timeframe)

Tracking/Reporting Tool: Advancing Excellence staff turnover tracking tool reported via AE website (define frequency)

Other state comparisons:

Colorado – Staff retention rate (excluding NHA and DON) at or above 60% (3 points of 100) & Staff retention improvement (3 points of 100) - A 5% improvement on the staff retention rate per year for facilities with less than a 55% retention rate. Facilities with 60% retention rate or greater must remain consistent from year to year.

Georgia – quarterly average RN/LPN (1 point of 3 required), CNA (1 point of 3 required).

Kansas – staff turnover rate less than/equal to 75^{th} percentile (41%) = \$2.50 per diem add-on. Or greater than 75^{th} percentile but reduced more than or equal to 10% = \$0.25 per diem add-on.

Indiana – ratio from Medicaid cost reports annually – RN/LPN (3 points of 100) & CNA (3 points of 100).

Oklahoma – retention, % CNA & nurses with 12 mos or more tenure. Minimum 50% CNA's with 12 months or more tenure. Minimum 60% nurses with 12 mos or more tenure.

2.Staffing Levels (case mix adjusted)

- o RN
- o LPN
- o CNA

<u>Criteria:</u>

Achievement - More than _____ hours per patient day (state or national average)

OR

Improvement – ___% increase in ____ timeframe

Tracking/Reporting Tool: OSCAR data submitted by facility during annual licensing survey (adjust for case mix)

Other state comparisons:

Kansas – CMI adjusted staffing ratio greater than or equal to 75^{th} percentile (4.81) = \$2.50 per diem addon. Or less than 75^{th} percentile but improved more than or equal to 10% = \$0.25 per diem add-on.

Indiana – nursing hours per resident day weighted by facility specific wage rates by staff type and facility total acuity from Medicaid cost reports (10 points of 100).

Oklahoma – minimum 3.5 hours per patient day required.

Person Centered Care

Consistent Assignment

• CNA

Criteria:

Achievement - No more than 12 caregivers per resident in a month for long stay residents and no more than 12 caregivers per resident in a two week period for short stay residents

OR

Improvement – ___% reduction of number of caregivers in ____ timeframe

Tracking/Reporting Tool: Advancing Excellence consistent assignment tracking tool reported via AE website

Other state comparisons:

Colorado – (6 points of 100) Use AE tool. Measure 4th quarter. Rewarded for 50% or 80% consistent assignments.

Oklahoma -meets AE criteria.

Satisfaction

1.Resident Satisfaction

- Overall recommendation score
- Response rate

<u>Criteria:</u>

Achievement – More than ____% (state or national average)

OR

Improvement – ___% increase in ____ timeframe

Tracking/Reporting Tool: MyInnerView survey

Other state comparisons:

Colorado: (Pre-requisite) Survey must be developed, recognized, and standardized by an entity external to the facility. Must be administered on an annual basis with results tabulated by an agency external to the facility.

Indiana: face to face survey of sample of nursing home residents conducted by independent organization using valid and reliable, publicly available survey instrument (12 points of 100).

Oklahoma – Oklahoma Health Care Authority Focus on Excellence survey, combined score of 72 on 100 point scale.

2.Family Satisfaction

- Overall recommendation score
- Response rate

Criteria:

Achievement – More than ____% (state or national average)

OR

Improvement – ___% increase in ____ timeframe

Tracking/Reporting Tool: MyInnerView survey

Other state comparisons:

Colorado: (Pre-requisite) Survey must be developed, recognized, and standardized by an entity external to the facility. Must be administered on an annual basis with results tabulated by an agency external to the facility.

Georgia – Score for "would you recommend this facility" % excellent and % good to meet or exceed state average of 85% combined (1 point of 3 required). Quarterly review.

Indiana: Mail out or online survey of representative sample of nursing home family members conducted by independent organization using valid and reliable, publicly available survey instrument (9 points of 100).

Oklahoma – Oklahoma Health Care Authority Focus on Excellence survey, combined score of 72 on 100 point scale.

Quality Program Participation

Advancing Excellence (AE) Campaign in America's Nursing Homes

Criteria:

Achievement – Registered, two goals selected & participating by entering data on AE website for two goals monthly for six consecutive months

OR

Improvement – Registered, two goals selected & participating by entering data on AE website for one goal monthly for six consecutive months

Tracking/Reporting Tool: AE website report

Other state comparisons:

Colorado: (1 point) Participation in AE campaign

Quality Measures

1.Pain

• Percent of short stay residents who self-report moderate to severe pain

• Percent of long stay residents who self-report moderate to severe pain <u>Criteria</u>:

Achievement – Less than _____% (state or national average)

OR

Improvement – ___% reduction in (timeframe)

Tracking/Reporting Tool: Quality Measures report

Other state comparisons:

Colorado - Long stay 6.3 or less (5 points), Greater than 6.3 but less than or equal to 9.9 (3 points)

Georgia – (1 point)

2.Antipsychotic medication

- Percent of short stay residents who newly received an antipsychotic medication
- Percent of long stay residents who received an antipsychotic medication

Criteria:

Achievement – Less than ____% (state or national average)

OR

Improvement – ____% reduction in _____ (timeframe)

Tracking/Reporting Tool: Quality Measures report

Other state comparisons:

Colorado – 8.7 or less (5 points), Greater than 8.7 but less than or equal to 11.3 (3 points)

APPENDIX N

Testimony from Leo J. Delicata, Legal Services for the Elderly

LEGAL SERVICES FOR THE ELDERLY, INC.

16 422

1

136 U.S. Route 1, Scarborough, Maine 04074 (207) 396-6502 • 1-800-427-7411 • Fax (207) 883-8249 • TTY (207) 883-0532 Offices in Augusta, Bangor, Lewiston, Portland, and Presque Isle

> LSE Hotline 1-800-750-5353 (Voice/TTY) www.mainelse.org

Statement of Leo J. Delicata, Esq, Legal Services for the Elderly to the Commission to Study Long-term Care Facilities on November 15, 2013

Co-chairpersons Senator Craven and Representative Stuckey, and members of the Commission,

On behalf of Legal Services for the Elderly I would like to offer a general comment about your draft recommendations and a specific comment about the staffing issue.

Most of the draft recommendations are premised on a conclusion that MaineCare payments to nursing facilities are inadequate and have been so for many years. We agree with this conclusion.

The facts are simple enough. Tough economic times caused a policy change that significantly reduced the number of nursing facilities. Changes to the MaineCare principles of reimbursement ensured a system of underfunding for the remaining facilities. Ultimately this caused a shift to other payment sources with a resulting reduction of access for MaineCare eligible consumers. Over time, payments from those other sources have been reduced or in some cases virtually eliminated depending on the size and location of the particular facility. Many nursing facilities are now challenged to continue providing quality care. Indeed, some are in danger of ceasing to provide care altogether. We agree that it is time to address this general lack of adequate funding. We support all of the draft recommendations of this Commission in this regard and applaud your effort to begin the process of making the changes necessary to appropriately fund this important level of care.

With respect to the staffing recommendation, we agree with the recommendation not to change the current minimal staffing ratios. At the same time we do not believe that these minimums ensure quality of care or that they adequately promote quality of life as required by the Nursing Home Reform Act of 1987. They should do both.

We understand that many facilities staff beyond the numbers required by our regulations. Many others are not able to do so because of financial challenges. As was suggested several times by several commissioners it is not the lack of will that is a barrier to better staffing it is truly a matter of money. If the economic issues are successfully addressed as proposed by this Commission, the shared expectation of providers and consumers should be that the current staffing standards will also be significantly improved. The future system of reimbursement must include enough funding to enable all facilities to staff at a level that makes the promise of quality of care and quality of life a reality for all nursing facility residents. Otherwise this level of care will become more unavailable and more problematic for the residents of our State.

We commend the Commission for the number of issues that you discussed throughout the course of your sessions. We also recognize and appreciate the range and depth of your discussion on many of those issues. As someone who represents many older consumers of long-term care services, I personally thank you for the time and effort that you devoted to the work of this Commission. The residents of nursing facilities are among the most physically and mentally challenged in our State and your discussions were ultimately about improving their lives and the lives of those who love them. We hope that your recommendations are accepted and that the funding necessary to make them a reality will be a high priority for all.

Thank you for giving me this opportunity to provide this statement.

Leo J.Delicata, Esq

APPENDIX O

Department of Health and Human Services calculation for increased reimbursement for high Medicaid utilization The attached work papers ESTIMATES the amount of funds needed to pay ALL NF, RURAL NF and URBAN NF providers an added cost per MaineCare resident day for each percentage point above a certain threshold.

There are 3 TABS: ALL NFs, RURAL ONLY, and URBAN ONLY

The percentage used to compare to the threshold percentages is the ratio of State to Total resident days. (State = MaineCare)

The percentages are 70%, 75%, 80% and 85%.

There are four (4) estimates involved:

- 1. \$0.20 for each percentage point greater than 70% (see columns 9 and 10)
- \$0.20 for each percentage point greater than 75% (see columns 11 and 12)
- \$0.20 for each percentage point greater than 80% (see columns 13 and 14)
- 4. \$0.20 for each percentage point greater than 85% (see columns 15 and 16)

Based on this ESTIMATE

The cost (state and federal combined) would be APPROXIMATELY:

	<u>ALL NF's</u>	<u>RURAL</u>	<u>URBAN</u>
Greater than 70% is	\$1,452,201	\$753,414	\$698,787
Greater than 75% is	\$734,655	\$407,400	\$327,255
Greater than 80% is	\$254,083	\$165,388	\$88,695
Greater than 85% is	\$101,669	\$67,141	\$34,528

ESTIMATED DATA **

** Data Source: As filed cost report data. Some of the data may be derived from cost reports prior to being "accepted". Sometimes data changes through the cost report acceptance process.

The cost (state funds only) would be APPROXIMATELY:					
	ALL NF's	<u>RURAL</u>	URBAN		
Greater than 70% is	\$390,787	\$202,744	\$188,044		
Greater than 75% is	\$197,696	\$109,631	\$88,064		
Greater than 80% is	\$68,374	\$44,506	\$23,868		
Greater than 85% is	\$27,359	\$18,068	\$9,291		

APPENDIX P

Maine Health Care Association calculations for increased reimbursement models

High MaineCare Facilities Supplement	\$	2,881,190	\$	2,881,190
Rebasing Routine Component to 110%	\$	9,835,382	\$	9,835,382
Rebasing Direct Component to 110%	\$	15,695,158	\$	-
Rebasing Direct Component at actual cost	\$	-	\$	18,181,159
2% COLA in 2014	\$	4,254,079	\$	4,254,079
Total	\$	32,665,809	\$	35,151,810
ACA Complianace as a fixed cost (2015)	?		?	
State Share Only (37%)	\$	12,086,349	\$	13,006,170

APPENDIX Q

Office of Policy and Legal Analysis, memo pay for performance program, Kristin Brawn

OPLA RESEARCH REQUEST MEMO

To: Jane Orbeton, Senior Legislative Analyst From: Kristin Brawn, Legislative Researcher Date: December 2, 2013 RE: State Medicaid Pay-for-Performance Programs in Long-Term Care

Hi Jane,

You asked me to research Medicaid pay-for-performance programs in nursing homes for other states, in particular, the reimbursement mechanism for those programs. I contacted NCSL to see if they had any information, and they are currently researching the information, as they didn't have anything readily available. My contact at NCSL sent me a few articles regarding pay-for-performance programs in nursing homes, which I have summarized below. I am also attaching a comparison table of state Medicaid pay-for-performance programs in nursing homes, which I compiled from the articles I received from NCSL and my own online research.

Summaries of Nursing Home Pay for Performance Program Articles

Miller, E.A. and Doherty, J. Pay for Performance in Five States: Lessons for the Nursing Home Sector. *Public Administration Review*. 73(S1):S153-S163, 2013.

- Examines pay-for-performance in five Medicaid nursing programs: IA, MN, OK, UT and VT.
- To minimize the risk of provider opposition and to promote long-term sustainability, states should <u>consider using "new" dollars</u> to fund pay-for-performance rather than reallocating existing dollars.
- <u>Use of a range of measures</u> is preferred because it spreads the risk of poor performance across multiple dimensions, thereby minimizing the chances of unduly penalizing providers that perform well overall while reducing the chances that providers might gain rewards by focusing on a single quality dimension to the exclusion of others; it also minimizes the risk of gaming or outright fraud.
- Key to gaining stakeholder acceptance and therefore the chances of program success is <u>engaging</u> <u>industry and other stakeholder representatives early on and throughout</u> the pay-for-performance design and adoption process.
- The <u>composite score approach</u> is generally preferred because it evaluates and allocates rewards on the basis of each facility's actual performance while simplifying the calculation and reporting of program outcomes compared to systems that do so separately for each individual measure.
- To incentivize low- and middle-level performers while also rewarding good performers, states could reward relative improvement and procedural advances, as well as absolute performance.
- <u>Minimizing the administrative burdens</u> associated with the adoption of P4P is particularly important, including permitting providers to use existing data systems to report performance where appropriate.
- <u>State subsidization of the additional data collection costs</u>, say, by contracting with a vendor, would likely reduce provider resistance while promoting systematic compilation and assessment of the data recorded.
- The <u>fixed per diem add-on approach</u> is preferred because it is dependent exclusively on the basis of facility performance rather than on how much money facilities happen to be paid.
- States should <u>build in flexibility</u> to provide state officials with opportunities to adjust pay-forperformance programs, thereby enabling both facilities and the state to take advantage of new knowledge and experience to improve program effectiveness.
- <u>Phasing in pay for performance slowly</u>, beginning with performance measurement, followed by public report cards and, finally, introducing pay-for-performance incentives, maximizes opportunities

Prepared by the Office of Policy and Legal Analysis

for stakeholder acceptance and learning. Moreover, an emphasis on measurement ensures that facilities have access to important performance data; provides richer data for report cards and state-level quality monitoring; and, where funding for pay for performance is available, provides a fair basis for distributing incentive payments.

Werner, R.M., Konetzka, R.T., and Liang, K. The Effect of Pay-for-Performance in Nursing Homes: Evidence from State Medicaid Programs. *Health Services Research*. 48(4):1393-1414, August 2013.

- Most states use a payment model based on a point system that is translated into per diem add-ons.
- <u>Quality improvement under pay-for-performance was inconsistent</u>. While three clinical quality measures (the percent of residents being physically restrained, in moderate to severe pain, and developed pressure sores) improved with the implementation of pay-for-performance in states with pay-for-performance compared with states without pay-for-performance, other targeted quality measures either did not change or worsened. Of the two structural measures of quality that were tied to payment (total number of deficiencies and nurse staffing) deficiency rates worsened slightly under pay-for-performance while staffing levels did not change.
- <u>Medicaid-based pay-for-performance in nursing homes did not result in consistent improvements in nursing home quality</u>. Expectations for improvement in nursing home care under pay-for-performance should be tempered.
- <u>The incentives themselves may have been too small to effectively motivate changes</u> in performance, particularly for the measures of staffing as staffing increases are very costly.
- <u>There may be ways to get more of a return without increasing the size of the reward</u>. Most nursing homes received annual bonuses for their performance. However, <u>more frequent feedback on performance in the form of quarterly or even monthly payments</u> may increase attention to performance in these areas because it provides frequent positive reinforcement.
- Another reason the current pay-for-performance programs may have failed to consistently achieve quality improvement is that the <u>incentives were paid to the nursing home, rather than to the individual staff</u> members.

Miller, S.C., Looze, J., Shield, R., Clark, M.A., Lepore, M., Tyler, D., Sterns, S., and Mor, V. Culture Change Practice in U.S. Nursing Homes; Prevalence and Variation by State Medicaid Reimbursement Policies. *The Gerontologist.* Mar. 20, 2013.

- In 2009-10, a survey was conducted of a stratified proportionate random sample of nursing home directors of nursing and administrators at 4,149 U.S. nursing homes; contact achieved with 3,695.
- <u>85% of directors of nursing reported some culture change implementation.</u>
- Controlling for nursing home attributes, a <u>\$10 higher Medicaid rate was associated with higher</u> nursing home environment scores.
- Compared with nursing homes in non-pay-for-performance states, <u>nursing homes in states with pay-for-performance including culture change performance had twice the likelihood of superior culture change scores across all domains, and nursing homes in other pay-for-performance states had superior physical environment and staff empowerment scores.</u>
- <u>Changes in Medicaid reimbursement policies may be a promising strategy for increasing culture change practice implementation. Future research examining nursing home culture change practice implementation pre-post pay-for-performance policy changes is recommended.</u>

Comparison of State Medicaid Pay-for-Performance Programs for Nursing Homes

According to an article on the Kaiser Health News website (<u>http://www.kaiserhealthnews.org/stories/2012/august/15/ohio-medicaid-nursing-homes.aspx</u>), there are currently 10 states with nursing home pay-for-performance programs. There are also two states (VA and IN) with proposed programs, and two states (MD and TX) have received legislative approval for nursing home pay-for-performance programs. The 10 states with active nursing home pay-for-performance programs are listed in the table below.

	Use Performance Measures?	Incentive Payment
California Skilled Nursing Facility Quality and Supplemental Payment System (Welfare and Institutions Code §14126.022)	Yes	Supplemental payments; amount is not specified
Colorado Nursing Facility Pay for Performance Program (CO Department of Health Care Policy and Financing, 2012)	Yes	Per diem add-on \$1.00 - \$4.00 per day, depending on points awarded
Georgia Nursing Home Quality Incentive Program (Briesacher et al., 2009)	Yes	Per diem add-on 1% of per diem rate
Iowa Nursing Facility Pay-for-Performance Program (Admin. Code §81.6(16)(g)	Yes	Per diem add-on 1%-5% of the direct care plus non-direct care cost component patient-day-weighted medians, depending on points awarded
Kansas Nursing Facility Quality and Efficiency Outcome Incentive Factor (Briesacher et al., 2009)	Yes	Per diem add-on \$1.00 - \$3.00 per day
Nevada Supplemental Payment to Free-Standing Nursing Facilities (NV State Plan, Attachment 4.19-D)	Yes	Per diem add-on 50% of supplemental payment is based on Medicaid occupancy, MDS accuracy and quality measures
Ohio Long-Term Care Quality Initiative (OH Revised Code §§5165.15 and 5165.25)	Yes	Per diem add-on \$3.29 - \$16.44, depending on points awarded
Oklahoma Focus on Excellence (Briesacher et al., 2009; Miller and Doherty, 2013)	Yes	Per diem add-on 1%-5% (\$1.09-\$5.45) of per diem rate, depending on points awarded
Utah Nursing Home Quality Improvement Initiative (Briesacher et al., 2009; Miller and Doherty, 2013)	Yes	Per diem add-on \$0.50-\$0.60 per patient per day
Vermont (Werner et al., 2010; Miller and Doherty, 2013)	Yes	Bonuses not based on per diem add-ons Each facility that qualifies for a bonus payment receives \$25,000 To be eligible, facilities must be deficiency free on most recent health and fire safety inspection survey and participate in the Gold Star Employer Program

Sources:

Briesacher, B., Field, T.S., Baril, J., and Gurwitz, J.H.: Pay for Performance in Nursing Homes. *Health Care Financing Review* 30(3): 1-13, 2009. Available at <u>http://www.cms.gov/Research-Statistics-Data-and-Systems/Research/HealthCareFinancingReview/downloads/09Springpg1.pdf</u>.

Colorado Department of Health Care Policy and Financing. 2012 Nursing Facilities Pay for Performance Review. Available at

http://www.colorado.gov/cs/Satellite?blobcol=urldata&blobheader=application%2Fpdf&blobkey=id&blobta ble=MungoBlobs&blobwhere=1251825889266&ssbinary=true.

Kuhmerker, K. and Hartman, T.: Pay-for-Performance in State Medicaid Programs: A Survey of State Medicaid Directors and Programs. 2007. Available at: http://commonwealthfund.org/publications/publications_show.htm?doc_id=472891.

Miller, E.A. and Doherty, J. Pay for Performance in Five States: Lessons for the Nursing Home Sector. *Public Administration Review*. 73(S1):S153-S163, 2013.

Miller, S.C., Looze, J., Shield, R., Clark, M.A., Lepore, M., Tyler, D., Sterns, S., and Mor, V. Culture Change Practice in U.S. Nursing Homes; Prevalence and Variation by State Medicaid Reimbursement Policies. *The Gerontologist*. Mar. 20, 2013.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Report to Congress: Plan to Implement a Medicare Skilled Nursing Facility Value-Based Purchasing Program. Available at <u>http://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/SNFPPS/Downloads/SNF-VBP-RTC.pdf</u>.

Werner, R.M., Konetzka, R.T., and Liang, K. The Effect of Pay-for-Performance in Nursing Homes: Evidence from State Medicaid Programs. *Health Services Research*. 48(4):1393-1414, August 2013.

Werner, R.M., Konetzka, R.T., and Liang, K. State Adoption of Nursing Home Pay-for-Performance. *Medical Care Research and Review*. 67(3):364-377, 2010.