

**Comparison of Funding Actions Taken By the General Fund (GF)
 and the Highway Fund (HF) to Support Each Other: FY 88 to FY11**
 (Reflects Actions through the 124th Legislature)

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Public Law/ Requirement</u>	<u>Agency; Purpose</u>	<u>GF action to Support HF</u>	<u>HF action to Support GF</u>	<u>Explanation</u>
FY 88	PL 1987, c.793	TRANSPORTATION; Highway and Bridge Construction from Maine Rainy Day Fund	\$12,000,000		Funds transferred from the MRDF for highway purposes
FY 88	PL 1987, c.793	TRANSPORTATION; Salt & Sand Storage from Maine Rainy Day Fund	\$1,200,000		Funds transferred from the MRDF for highway purposes
FY 90	PL 1989, c. 501	SECRETARY OF STATE; Motor Vehicle Building from Maine Rainy Day Fund	\$6,000,000		Funds transferred from the MRDF for highway purposes
FY 90	PL 1989, c. 501	TRANSPORTATION; Capital Construction and Repairs	\$900,000		Funds transferred from the MRDF for highway purposes
FY 91	PL 1989, c. 501	TRANSPORTATION; Capital Construction and Repairs from Maine Rainy Day Fund	\$100,000		Funds transferred from the MRDF for highway purposes
FY 91	PL 1991, c.9	TRANSPORTATION; Highway and Bridge Construction	(\$736,212)		Funds that were deappropriated from available balance forward
FY 92	Total allocations	AGRICULTURE; Public Service		\$30,297	Funds that were allocated from the HF to support what had been a cost totally borne by GF
FY 92	District Attorneys' Salaries	ATTORNEY GENERAL; District Attorneys' salaries		\$487,500	Funds that were allocated from the HF to support what had been a cost totally borne by GF

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Public Law/Requirement</u>	<u>Agency; Purpose</u>	<u>GF action to Support HF</u>	<u>HF action to Support GF</u>	<u>Explanation</u>
FY 92	HF interest to GF	not applicable		\$397,972	PL 1991, c. 622 required that HF interest earnings must be credited to GF; repealed by PL 1995, c. 368
FY 93	Weights and Measures	AGRICULTURE; Public Service - funding for Weights and Measures Inspector positions		\$31,345	Funds that were allocated from the HF to support what had been a cost totally borne by GF
FY 93	District Attorneys' Salaries	ATTORNEY GENERAL; District Attorneys' salaries		\$473,063	Funds that were allocated from the HF to support what had been a cost totally borne by GF
FY 93	HF interest to GF	HF interest credited to the GF		\$277,833	PL 1991, c. 622 required that HF interest earnings must be credited to GF; repealed by PL 1995, c. 368
FY 94	Total allocations	AGRICULTURE; Public Service		\$42,623	Funds that were allocated from the HF to support what had been a cost totally borne by GF
FY 94	Total allocations	ATTORNEY GENERAL; District Attorneys' salaries		\$901,596	Funds that were allocated from the HF to support what had been a cost totally borne by GF
FY 94	PL 1993, c. 707	TRANSPORTATION; Highway Maintenance	(\$200,000)		Funds that were deappropriated from available balance forward
FY 94	Interest Earnings	HF interest credited to the GF		\$635,428	PL 1991, c. 622 required that HF interest earnings must be credited to GF; repealed by PL 1995, c. 368
FY 95	Weights and Measures	AGRICULTURE; Public Service - funding for Weights and Measures Inspector positions		\$41,610	Funds that were allocated from the HF to support what had been a cost totally borne by GF
FY 95	Total allocations	ATTORNEY GENERAL; District Attorneys' salaries		\$964,653	Funds that were allocated from the HF to support what had been a cost totally borne by GF

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Public Law/ Requirement</u>	<u>Agency; Purpose</u>	<u>GF action to Support HF</u>	<u>HF action to Support GF</u>	<u>Explanation</u>
FY 95	HF interest to GF	HF interest credited to the GF		\$1,689,372	PL 1991, c. 622 required that HF interest earnings must be credited to GF; repealed by PL 1995, c. 368
FY 96	Weights and Measures	AGRICULTURE; Public Service - funding for Weights and Measures Inspector positions		\$45,790	Funds that were allocated from the HF to support what had been a cost totally borne by GF
FY 96	District Attorneys' Salaries	ATTORNEY GENERAL; District Attorneys' salaries		\$1,271,455	Funds that were allocated from the HF to support what had been a cost totally borne by GF
FY 97	Weights and Measures	AGRICULTURE; Public Service - funding for Weights and Measures Inspector positions		\$49,757	Funds that were allocated from the HF to support what had been a cost totally borne by GF
FY 97	District Attorneys' Salaries	ATTORNEY GENERAL; District Attorneys' salaries		\$1,365,282	Funds that were allocated from the HF to support what had been a cost totally borne by GF
FY 98	PL 1997, c. 643	TRANSPORTATION; Highway Maintenance	\$177,238		Funds that were appropriated from the GF to support what had been a cost totally borne by HF
FY 98	PL 1997, c. 643	TRANSPORTATION; Highway & Bridge Improvement	\$12,000,000		Funds that were appropriated from the GF to support what had been a cost totally borne by HF
FY 98	PL 1997, c. 643	TRANSPORTATION; Highway & Bridge Improvement	\$12,766		Funds that were appropriated from the GF to support what had been a cost totally borne by HF
FY 00	PL 1999, c.401	TRANSPORTATION; Highway & Bridge Improvement	\$5,773,969		Funds that were appropriated from the GF to support the HF
FY 00	PL 1999, c.401	TRANSPORTATION; Highway & Bridge Improvement	\$150,000		Funds to cover Personal Services costs that can not be covered by bond proceeds

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Public Law/ Requirement</u>	<u>Agency; Purpose</u>	<u>GF action to Support HF</u>	<u>HF action to Support GF</u>	<u>Explanation</u>
FY 01	PL 1999, c. 731, Part F-6	Establishment of the Transportation Funding Reserve	\$4,044,139		Lapsed GF Personal Services funds from FY 99; to be used for HF purposes (see PL 1999, c. 737, pt A and PL 1999, c. 731, pt F-7)
FY 01	PL 1999, c.401	TRANSPORTATION; Highway & Bridge Improvement	\$150,000		Funds to cover Personal Services costs that can not be covered by bond proceeds
FY 01	PL 1999, c. 731, Part F-7	Transfer from GF Unappropriated Surplus	\$20,650,000		Unappropriated GF surplus to be used for various HF purposes (see PL 1999, c. 737, pt A and PL 1999, c. 731, pt F-8)
FY 01	PL 2001, c. 1, Part C-3	Transfer from GF Unappropriated Surplus	\$500,000		To help correct an accounting error to balance the HF
FY 02	PL 2001, c.559, Part M-1	Transfer from HF Unallocated Surplus		\$500,000	To reimburse the GF for the costs of the \$500,000 appropriation made in PL 2001, c.1
FY 02	PL 2001, c.358	TRANSPORTATION; Highway & Bridge Improvement	\$153,297		Funds to cover Personal Services costs that can not be covered by bond proceeds
FY 03	PL 2001, c.358	TRANSPORTATION; Highway & Bridge Improvement	\$162,022		Funds to cover Personal Services costs that can not be covered by bond proceeds
FY 03	PI 2001, c.680	TRANSPORTATION; Highway & Bridge Improvement	\$10,000		Funds for the Town of Raymond for a Community Gateways project
FY 03	PI 2001, c.714	TRANSPORTATION; Highway & Bridge Improvement	(\$10,000)		Deappropriation of funds for the Town of Raymond for a Community Gateways project

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Public Law/ Requirement</u>	<u>Agency; Purpose</u>	<u>GF action to Support HF</u>	<u>HF action to Support GF</u>	<u>Explanation</u>
FY 03	PL 2001, c.714, Part B-12	Transfer from HF Unallocated Surplus		\$9,300,000	To reimburse the GF for funds provided for highway improvement projects
FY 03	PL 2001, c.714, Part B-14	Transfer from HF Personal Services savings		\$622,356	HF savings from furlough days transferred to GF
FY 03	PL 2003, c. 2, Part EE	Transfer from HF Unallocated Surplus		\$7,271,841	To reimburse the GF for funds provided for highway improvement projects
FY 04	PL 2003, c. 20, Part KK	Transfer from HF Unallocated Surplus		\$5,000,000	To reimburse the GF for funds provided for highway improvement projects
FY 04	PL 2003, c. 20, Part AAA	Transfer from HF to Local Government Fund		\$13,570,000	One-time HF Revenue Sharing transfer to replace GF revenue transfer
FY 04	PL 2003, c. 20, Part R-8	PUBLIC SAFETY; Repeal of the statutory provision which credits the HF with a proportional share of fees charged by the Bureau of Investigation.		\$214,500	Repeal of the statutory provision which credits the HF with a proportional share of fees charged by the Bureau of Investigation.
FY 04	PL 2003, c.20. Part A	TRANSPORTATION; Highway & Bridge Improvement	\$140,705		Funds to cover Personal Services costs that can not be covered by bond proceeds; total shown represents net funding amount.
FY 05	PL 2003, c. 20, Part KK	Transfer from HF Unallocated Surplus		\$3,000,000	To reimburse the GF for funds provided for highway improvement projects
FY 05	PL 2003, c. 20, Part AAA	Transfer from HF to Local Government Fund to GF		\$9,600,000	One-time HF Revenue Sharing transfer to replace GF revenue transfer
FY 05	PL 2003, c. 20, Part R-8	PUBLIC SAFETY; Repeal of the statutory provision which credits the HF with a proportional share of fees charged by the Bureau of Investigation.		\$214,500	Repeal of the statutory provision which credits the HF with a proportional share of fees charged by the Bureau of Investigation.

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Public Law/ Requirement</u>	<u>Agency; Purpose</u>	<u>GF action to Support HF</u>	<u>HF action to Support GF</u>	<u>Explanation</u>
FY 05	PL 2003,c.673; Part SS-2	TRANSPORTATION; Transfer from HF to GF		\$5,000,000	HF revenue realized from the sale of the Payne Rd. Bridge in Scarborough is transferred to the GF.
FY 05	PL 2003,c.673; Part SS-6	TRANSPORTATION; Transfer from HF to GF		\$6,400,000	DOT purchases the Transportation Building from DAFS resulting in a transfer of funds from the HF to the GF for the value of the building.
FY 05	PL 200, c. 673, part K-4	TRANSPORTATION; Transfer from GF to HF	\$4,818,560		Transfer of funds to reflect the ending of the payment equity project ("Anthem Swap").
FY 05	PL 2003, c.20. Part A	TRANSPORTATION; Highway & Bridge Improvement	\$140,135		Funds to cover Personal Services costs that can not be covered by bond proceeds; total shown represents net funding amount.
FY 06	PL 2005, c.457; Part GGG	TRANSPORTATION; Marine Highway Transportation		\$3,177,250	The HF assumes responsibility from the GF for providing an operating subsidy to the Maine State Ferry Service.
FY 07	PL 2005, c.457; Part GGG	TRANSPORTATION; Marine Highway Transportation		\$3,354,808	The HF assumes responsibility from the GF for providing an operating subsidy to the Maine State Ferry Service.
FY 07	PL 2005, c.519; Part HHH-2	TRANSPORTATION; Transfer from GF to HF	\$15,000,000		Transfer of funds on a one-time basis to provide supplemental funding for highway and bridge improvement projects.
Subtotal, Non State Police Funding and Bonding			\$83,136,619	\$75,930,831	
FY 88	State Police Funding	PUBLIC SAFETY; State Police funding split (GF 25% / HF 75%)		\$3,077,713	The difference between what the HF paid for State Police costs and what the HF would have paid at 60% of the total costs
FY 89	State Police Funding	PUBLIC SAFETY; State Police funding split (GF 25% / HF 75%)		\$2,952,621	The difference between what the HF paid for State Police costs and what the HF would have paid at 60% of the total costs

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Public Law/ Requirement</u>	<u>Agency; Purpose</u>	<u>GF action to Support HF</u>	<u>HF action to Support GF</u>	<u>Explanation</u>
FY 90	State Police Funding	PUBLIC SAFETY; State Police funding split (GF 50% / HF 50%)	\$2,429,037		The difference between what the HF paid for State Police costs and what the HF would have paid at 60% of the total costs
FY 91	State Police Funding	PUBLIC SAFETY; State Police funding split (GF 23% / HF 77%)		\$3,971,030	The difference between what the HF paid for State Police costs and what the HF would have paid at 60% of the total costs
FY 92	State Police Funding	PUBLIC SAFETY; State Police funding split (GF 26% / HF 74%)		\$3,242,248	The difference between what the HF paid for State Police costs and what the HF would have paid at 60% of the total costs
FY 93	State Police Funding	PUBLIC SAFETY; State Police funding split (GF 13% / HF 87%)		\$6,102,406	The difference between what the HF paid for State Police costs and what the HF would have paid at 60% of the total costs
FY 94	State Police Funding	PUBLIC SAFETY; State Police funding split (GF 12% / HF 88%)		\$6,594,929	The difference between what the HF paid for State Police costs and what the HF would have paid at 60% of the total costs
FY 95	State Police Funding	PUBLIC SAFETY; State Police funding split (GF 13% / HF 87%)		\$6,501,531	The difference between what the HF paid for State Police costs and what the HF would have paid at 60% of the total costs
FY 96	State Police Funding	PUBLIC SAFETY; State Police funding split (GF 15% / HF 85%)		\$6,526,484	The difference between what the HF paid for State Police costs and what the HF would have paid at 60% of the total costs
FY 97	State Police Funding	PUBLIC SAFETY; State Police funding split (GF 20% / HF 80%)		\$5,141,202	The difference between what the HF paid for State Police costs and what the HF would have paid at 60% of the total costs
FY 98	State Police Funding	PUBLIC SAFETY; State Police funding split (GF 40% / HF 60% - GF slightly above 40%)	\$47,773		The difference between what the GF paid for State Police costs and what the GF would have paid at 40% of the total costs
FY 99	State Police Funding	PUBLIC SAFETY; State Police funding split (GF 40% / HF 60% - GF slightly above 40%)	\$23,157		The difference between what the GF paid for State Police costs and what the GF would have paid at 40% of the total costs

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Public Law/Requirement</u>	<u>Agency; Purpose</u>	<u>GF action to Support HF</u>	<u>HF action to Support GF</u>	<u>Explanation</u>
FY 00	State Police Funding	PUBLIC SAFETY; State Police funding split (GF 40% / HF 60% - HF slightly above 60%)		\$98,297	The difference between what the HF paid for State Police costs and what the HF would have paid at 60% of the total costs
FY 01	State Police Funding	PUBLIC SAFETY; State Police funding split (GF 40% / HF 60% - GF slightly above 40%)	\$45,233		The difference between what the GF paid for State Police costs and what the GF would have paid at 40% of the total costs
FY 02	State Police Funding	PUBLIC SAFETY; State Police funding split (GF 37% / HF 63%)		\$1,203,531	The difference between what the HF paid for State Police costs and what the HF would have paid at 60% of the total costs
FY 03	State Police Funding	PUBLIC SAFETY; State Police funding split (GF 37% / HF 63%)		\$1,725,444	The difference between what the HF paid for State Police costs and what the HF would have paid at 60% of the total costs
FY 04	PL 2003, c. 20, Part B	PUBLIC SAFETY; change in State Police funding ratio from 40/60 to 37/63		\$1,316,441	Changing the funding ratio for State Police from 40/60 to 37/63.
FY 04	PL 2003, c. 20, Part B	PUBLIC SAFETY; change in State Police funding ratio from 40/60 to 37/63		\$6,931	Changing the funding ratio for State Police from 40/60 to 37/63 for one State Police Lieutenant position.
FY 05	PL 2003, c. 20, Part B	PUBLIC SAFETY; change in State Police funding ratio from 40/60 to 37/63		\$1,328,332	Changing the funding ratio for State Police from 40/60 to 37/63.
FY 05	PL 2003, c. 20, Part B	PUBLIC SAFETY; change in State Police funding ratio from 40/60 to 37/63		\$6,912	Changing the funding ratio for State Police from 40/60 to 37/63 for one State Police Lieutenant position.
FY 06	State Police Funding	PUBLIC SAFETY; State Police funding split (GF 35% / HF 65%)		\$2,210,637	The difference between what the HF paid for State Police costs and what the HF would have paid at 60% of the total costs
FY 07	State Police Funding	PUBLIC SAFETY; State Police funding split (GF 37% / HF 63%)		\$1,559,079	The difference between what the HF paid for State Police costs and what the HF would have paid at 60% of the total costs

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Public Law/ Requirement</u>	<u>Agency; Purpose</u>	<u>GF action to Support HF</u>	<u>HF action to Support GF</u>	<u>Explanation</u>
FY09	State Police Funding	PUBLIC SAFETY; State Police funding split (GF 39% / HF 61%)	\$109,337		The difference between what the HF paid for State Police costs and what the HF would have paid at 60% of the total costs
FY 10	PL 2007, c. 682	PUBLIC SAFETY; State Police funding split (GF 51% / HF 49%)	\$5,319,304		The difference between what the HF paid for State Police costs and what the HF would have paid at 60% of the total costs
Subtotal, State Police Funding			\$7,973,841	\$53,565,768	
FY97	P&S 1997, c. 56	TRANSPORTATION; General Fund Bond Issue	\$23,800,000		General Fund bond issue for state and local bridges
FY01	P&S 2001, c. 38	TRANSPORTATION; General Fund Bond Issue	\$37,400,000		General Fund bond issue for highway and bridge improvements
FY03	P&S 2003, c. 33	TRANSPORTATION; General Fund Bond Issue	\$29,000,000		General Fund bond issue for highway and bridge improvements
FY07	PL 2007, c. 39, Part G	TRANSPORTATION; General Fund Bond Issue	\$10,000,000		General Fund bond issue for highway and bridge improvements
FY09	PL 2009, c. 414	TRANSPORTATION; General Fund Bond Issue	\$5,000,000		General Fund bond issue for highway and bridge improvements
FY09	PL 2009, c. 645	TRANSPORTATION; General Fund Bond Issue	\$24,800,000		General Fund bond issue for highway and bridge improvements
Subtotal, Bond Issues			\$130,000,000	\$0	
Total without General Fund bond issues			\$91,110,460	\$129,496,599	
Total with General Fund bond issues			\$221,110,460	\$129,496,599	