Outline of GOC Approach to Develop Long-Term Strategic Economic Improvement Plan and Improve Effectiveness and Efficiency of Evaluation of State Investments in Economic Development

Part I – Maine Economic Growth Council Develop, Maintain and Monitor Progress On Strategic Plan

Step	Date	Resources Needed
MEGC develop initial strategic plan	By Dec. 31, 2018	Annual appropriation of
MEGC assess progress and prepare progress report on	By Dec. 31, 2018 By Dec. 31 every year	\$175,000 (\$350,000 per
strategic plan	thereafter	biennium) to the Maine Economic Growth Council
MEGC update plan	By Dec. 31, 2020 and	
	every 4 years	beginning for FY18
	thereafter (2024, 2028,	
	2032)	Plus one time
MEGC develop full revision of strategic plan	By Dec. 31, 2022 and	appropriation of \$150,000
	every 4 years	to MEGC for FY18 to get
	thereafter (2026, 2030)	development of first plan
MEGC formally submit initial plan, progress reports,	By their due dates (see	underway
updated plans and revised plans in writing to the Governor	above)	
and Legislature		All appropriations need to
MEGC present initial plan, progress reports and updated	Initial plan presented	be to a non-lapsing
and revised plans in public meetings to	by Jan. 31, 2019 and	account since
the Joint Standing Committee on Appropriations and	current progress	expenditures in any given
Financial Affairs;	reports, updated plans	year will be less than or
• the Joint Standing Committee on Labor, Commerce and	or revised plans by Jan.	exceed the annual
Economic Development; and	31 every year	appropriation based on
• other Joint Standing committees whose policy areas are	thereafter	whether it is a year for
captured in key components of the plan.		plan updates and revisions
MEGC distribute written copies of initial plan, progress	By Dec. 31, 2018 and]
reports and updated and revised plans to commissioners of	every year thereafter	(Note that MEGC's current
relevant State Depts (i.e. DECD, DOL, DOE, DOT), to heads		annual appropriation is
of the State's institutes of higher education (i.e. UMS,		\$55,000 so the proposed
MCCS, MMA), to heads of regional economic development		appropriation is an
agencies and other stakeholders as appropriate.		additional \$120,000 per
		year or \$240,000 per
		biennium. This is still
		substantially less than
		MEGC's original budget in
		the early 1990's which
		was \$250,000 per year.)
State and quasi-state entities, including institutes of higher	Annually as requested	
education, and non-State entities to provide information as	by MEGC	
requested by MEGC for progress monitoring		

Part II – OPEGA Evaluate Individual Programs that are in State's Portfolio of Investments in Economic

<u>Development</u> (Programs Intended to Encourage and Support Research and Development and Economic Development in the State)

The process for these evaluations would mirror that currently established for OPEGA evaluations of tax expenditures programs some of which are also economic development programs.

OPEGA would determine the population and maintain on-going inventory of these programs in concert with GOC similar to what is currently required for tax expenditure programs. The most recent inventory of economic development programs developed by Maine Development Foundation would be used as the starting population. Additions and deletions would be made over time as legislative changes to programs are made. OPEGA would also propose, and the GOC would approve, which of the programs should receive full evaluations. OPEGA would also propose a schedule for review that incorporates the additional evaluations of economic development investments into the current 6-year cycle schedule for Tax Expenditure evaluations. OPEGA estimates this will result in approximately 8 evaluations per year. The GOC would review and approve the schedule annually.

Objectives for each individual program evaluation may include an assessment of:

- (a) The fiscal impact of the tax expenditure, including past and estimated future impacts;
- (b) The extent to which the design of the tax expenditure is effective in accomplishing the tax expenditure's purposes, intent or goals and consistent with best practices;
- (c) The extent to which the tax expenditure is achieving its purposes, intent or goals, taking into consideration the economic context, market conditions and indirect benefits;
- (d) The extent to which those actually benefiting from the tax expenditure are the intended beneficiaries;
- (e) The extent to which it is likely that the desired behavior might have occurred without the tax expenditure, taking into consideration similar tax expenditures offered by other states;
- (f) The extent to which the State's administration of the tax expenditure, including enforcement efforts, is efficient and effective;
- (g) The extent to which there are other state or federal tax expenditures, direct expenditures or other programs that have similar purposes, intent or goals as the tax expenditure, and the extent to which such similar initiatives are coordinated, complementary or duplicative;
- (h) The extent to which the tax expenditure is a cost-effective use of resources compared to other options for using the same resources or addressing the same purposes, intent or goals; and
- (i) Any opportunities to improve the effectiveness of the tax expenditure in meeting its purposes, intent or goals

The evaluation reports would include conclusions regarding the extent to which the program is meeting its purposes, intent or goals and may include recommendations for continuation or repeal of the program or modification of the program to improve its performance.

Step	Date	Resources Needed
Step Specific steps for review of economic development programs would mirror those for the tax expenditure reviews which are established in 3 MRSA §§998 – 999.	Date Dates would align with those for tax expenditure reviews. OPEGA is currently determining what to propose for reasonable timelines in the future given the Office's experience with the 2016 tax expenditure evaluations.	There are currently 2 full time staff dedicated to tax expenditure reviews. OPEGA estimates need for at least 2 more Analysts and 1 Senior Analyst in the Office to take on this additional workload. Two of those staff would be dedicated to economic development/tax expenditure reviews and the other would be for the overall Office to allow for structuring the office so that some of the current roles and responsibilities the Director handles in evaluations can be shifted to another upper level staff position. Very rough estimate of additional funding required for the positions is \$332,000. Additional staff would require additional office space for OPEGA as our current location is maxed out and already not well suited for the work we need to perform. This would require additional
		suited for the work we need to perform. This

<u>Part III – DECD Contract for Macro-level Evaluation of State's Portfolio of Investments in Economic</u> <u>Development</u>

Objectives of this evaluation may include an assessment of:

- 1. the extent to which the state's portfolio of economic development investments, particularly in terms of level and types of investments, aligns with and supports the state economic development strategic plan;
- 2. the extent to which individual activities and programs, or groups of activities and programs, within the state's portfolio are contributing to the achievement of particular goals, measurable objectives and performance targets associated with the state economic development strategic plan;
- 3. how the state's portfolio of economic development investments, particularly in terms of level and types of investments, compares to investments in other states;
- 4. the impact of the state's economic development investments in improving the competitiveness the state's established and emerging technology and industry sectors in regional, national and global arenas; and
- 5. the extent to which the overall framework for the state's economic development investments provides for sufficient transparency and accountability, effective and efficient coordination among the state's activities and programs, and easy access for interested businesses and other entities.

Evaluation reports would include recommendations on:

- a. opportunities to modify the current portfolio of state economic development investments, particularly with regard to level of investment or types of activities and programs, in order to better align resources with the state economic development strategy; more cost-effectively support achievement of goals, objectives and performance targets associated with the strategy;
- b. opportunities to shift investments from economic development activities and programs to other state efforts in order to better align resources with the state economic development strategy; more cost-effectively support achievement of goals, objectives and performance targets associated with the strategy;
- c. opportunities to improve transparency and accountability for state economic development investments, coordination among economic activities and programs in the portfolio, or accessibility of businesses and other entities to those activities and programs; and
- d. any other areas for improvement.

Step	Date	Resources Needed
DECD contracts with independent evaluator to conduct	Still Working on what	\$150,000 - \$200,000 per
comprehensive evaluation of State economic development	the best timing would	evaluation. DECD
investments and submits results/report to Governor,	be for these steps to	currently has a funding
Legislature and MEGC.	coordinate with MEGC	source established that
	work on Strategic Plan	should cover these needs,
DECD Commissioner presents evaluation report and any	February 1, 2020 and	though the current
planned actions on the included recommendations in public	every four years	structure of the funding
meeting to LCRED	thereafter	source is not ideal and
DECD Commissioner submits to Governor, LCRED and AFA a	February 1, 2022 and	should be reconsidered.
progress report on the implementation of	every four years	
recommendations from the most recent evaluation	thereafter.	